Plural Suffixes in the Altaic Languages
By NICHOLAS POPPE (University of Washington)

1. One of the peculiar features of some of the Altaic languages is the relatively great number of plural suffixes, namely in Mongolian and Tungus. Written Mongolian has the suffixes -nar, -s, -d, -ud, and -nu-yud to which the suffixes -čud, -n, and several other endings can be added. In the Tungus languages, too, several suffixes exist, namely -l, -r, -sal (>hal), and -nasal (>nahal). The remaining Altaic languages possess a limited number of plural suffixes. Thus, the only „living“ suffix of the plural in the Turkic languages is -lar, in Chuvash -sem < *sayun, while the Korean language does not have plural suffixes at all. However, survivals of other plural suffixes in Turkic are evidence that formerly there were several suffixes of the plural. The formation of the plural in the Altaic languages, therefore, displays a rather complicated picture, it being impossible to establish definite rules in all cases, because the use of certain suffixes depends neither upon the structure of the words concerned nor upon the final sounds of the stems, nor upon the meanings of the words. Thus Khalkha aŋa ,elder brother’ has the plural form aŋanər while erə ,man’ has erəs. The same, Manchu displays similar irregularities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Singular</th>
<th>Plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aŋu ,master’</td>
<td>aŋu-se</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sefu ,teacher’</td>
<td>sefu-ta</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another peculiar trait of the Altaic languages is the frequent combinations of several plural suffixes. This results in compound suffixes, e.g. Khalkha lamənərút ,the lamas’ (<lama-nar-ud with the suffixes -nar and -ud); Tungus ačinasal ,the cousins’ (<ači-na-sal with the suffixes -na and -sal).

It should also be noticed that the plural forms easily lose their plural meaning and become new words with the meaning of singular forms, e.g. Khalkha xəwəxət ,boy’ (xəwəxən ,girl, child’), exənər ,woman’ (exə ,mother’), etc.

These preliminary remarks lead us to expect that at least some of the plural suffixes might be compound endings not only in Mongolian or Tungus, while ancient, fossil plural suffixes, now not felt as such, might be found in certain words expressing the idea of a singular. We shall see that this is the case.
I. Suff. -*t

a. Mongolian -d

2. This suffix is taken by stems ending in n and sun;\textsuperscript{14} by a large number of stems ending in r;\textsuperscript{15} by a few stems ending in l; by a few stems ending in vowels;\textsuperscript{16} and by the nomen actoris in -γči / -gči and nouns ending in -či.\textsuperscript{17}

These rules can be illustrated by the following examples taken from Written Mongolian:

- modud ,trees' from modun,
- nuγud ,ducks' from nuγusun,
- nököd ,friends' from nökör <*nöker,
- tüsimed ,officials' from tüsimeł,
- busud ,the others' from busu,
- iregači ,the comers' from iregači,
- temürči ,the blacksmiths' from temürči.\textsuperscript{18}

Many forms ending in -d became tribal names, e.g. dörbed (the name of an Oirat, i.e. a West Mongolian tribe) from dörben ,four', bayid,\textsuperscript{19} buriyad ,Buriat', oyirad ,Oirat', cf. also the names of the Khori Buriat clans γaljud, kübdüd, γučid, šarayid, and bodoγγud,\textsuperscript{20} etc.

3. Words ending in the remaining consonants form the plural in Written Mongolian with the suffix -ud. Many words ending in r also take this suffix,\textsuperscript{21} e.g.

- jobalan-ud ,sufferings' from jobalan,
- kereğ-ünd ,affairs' from kereğ,
- bulay-ud 'springs, wells' from bulay,
- galab-ud ,the kalpa-periods' from galab,
- arad-ud ,ordinary people' from arad,
- ulus-ud ,peoples' from ulus,
- aral-ud ,islands' from aral,
- nom-ud ,books' from nom,
- üker-ünd ,cows, oxen' from üker, etc.

The suffixe -ud is, of course, the same as -d, but it has a connective vowel -u-.

4. In the Ordos (Urdus) dialect the suffix -d has become -D or -t. It replaces the final consonants n, l, r, and the suffix -ud has become there -üt.\textsuperscript{22} The corresponding suffixes in Khalkha are -D (-t) and -üt,\textsuperscript{23} in Buriat -D (-t),\textsuperscript{24} in Kalmuck -D (after n, l, r) and -uD (after the remaining consonants).\textsuperscript{25}

It goes without saying that the suffix -d / -ud occurs also in Middle Mongolian, e.g. in the language of the ḫP'ags-pa script.\textsuperscript{26} In the latter the suffix -ud transforms the final q/g of the stem into a glottal stop, e.g. čèri'udun ,of the soldiers'. The same occurs in the language of the Hua-yi yi-yu, e.g. ḳuya'udi ,the coats of mail',\textsuperscript{27} and in the Secret History, e.g. uru'ud-ača ,from the descendents'.\textsuperscript{28} In the language represented in the dictionary
Muqaddimat al-Adab stems ending in n, r, and -či also form the plural with the suffix -t (in intervocalic position it is d), e.g. e'ület 'clouds', nökt 'comrades', tabuqčit 'servants', and after the remaining consonants of the stem the suffix is there -üt, e.g. baýut 'ties'.

The suffixes -t and -üt occur also in the Mogol language. In Dagur all the final consonants of a syllable or stem (b, d, γ, g, and s) have become r, e.g. lar'tši 'leaf' = Written Mongolian nabči id., čarxy- 'to sting' = qadqu-id., and so on. Hence the suffix -d has become in Dagur -r, e.g. uγir 'daughters' (sing. ugγın), k'eu'k'er 'children' (sing. k'eu'k'è), and so on.

5. In Written Mongolian there is a rare plural suffix -γud / -gūd, not mentioned in Mongolian grammars. It occurs, for instance, in čaγaγčiγud 'white' (mares) from čaγaγčin. Cf. also alaγči'ut qonît 'motley sheep' in the Secret History and qongqoqči'ut 'the dark-brown' from qongqoqčin, a feminine form of qongqor. The same suffix -ut < -γud can be found in many tribal names, e.g. baya'udiyar, an instrumental of baya'ut (stem bayan 'rich'), salji'ut derived from salji, a proper name, and so on. The forms ending in -γut are primary stems ending in vowels or in n alternating with zero. Therefore, it is improbable that alaγči'ut is a plural of a hypothetic *alaγčiγ (*alaγčiγ) or *alaγčiγun as HAENISCH thinks. On the contrary, the suffix is -γud and γ fills the hiatus between the final vowel of the stem and the vowel of the suffix -ud (where -u- is originally a connective vowel). This suffix will be found later on in Turkic in the form -γut.

6. In Written Mongolian there is also a suffix -nuγud which joins stems ending in l, r, and sometimes in n, e.g. γal-nuγud 'the fires' (γal), γar-nuγud 'the hands' (γar), burqan-nuγud 'the Buddhas' (burqan). This suffix is known in Ordos (-nüüt), Khalkha, and Buriat. In Kalmuck its correspondence is -müüt which in my opinion is a contamination of -nüüt < -nuγud and -mad (cf. Written Mongolian aqaimad). In Mogol we find -nüüt. The suffix -nuγud consists of that same -γud with -n- by analogy with the stems ending in n. It is to be noticed that many tribal names are derived with this suffix, e.g. χabarınüüt, χaranüüt (Alar Buriat clans), χaranüüt, ϱarganüüt (Ordos clans), etc.

7. A characteristic of the Mongolian languages is plural suffixes combined into one compound suffix. There are the following compound suffixes containing the primary suffix -d: Ordos und Khalkha -narüüt (<<*nar-ud), -düt (<<*d -ud), -süt (<<*s-ud), Buriat Alar -hüt (<<*d-ud and -s-ud) and -nhüt (<<*nuγud-ud). These are productive suffixes, and their compound nature is felt. However, there are a few suffixes which are no longer felt as compounds, though they are such from the point of view of historical linguistics. In the first place I mention the Written Mongolian suffix -čud, Ordos and Khalkha -čšüt, Buriat -šüt, and Kalmuck -tšūD. The Written Mongolian suffix -čud consists of d (plural suffix) and -ču which is found in qaraču 'commoner' — plural qaračus or qaračud. There is also
a suffix -tšül consisting of the same -čůn and another plural suffix -čl which will be discussed below.

Another compound suffix is -nad (<<-na-d)> which is not mentioned in the grammars of Written Mongolian. It occurs in spoken Mongolian languages, namely in West Buriat dialects, e. g. Ekhrir aɣanat ,the elder brothers’, đumunet ,the younger brothers’, cf. also the names of Buriat clans abayənad (derived from abayə ,paternal uncle”), segened, emexenəd, and so on.55

Similarly, the primary plural suffix -d is contained in the well known Written Mongolian suffix -mad: cf. aqamad ,senior’, cf. egečiməd , elder ’ and döyimed , younger’ in the Secret History (cf. Written Mongolian egeči , the elder sister’ and degűü , the younger sister or brother’).56 At the present time, the suffix -mad is no longer a productive plural suffix. It has lost its original meaning and has become a derivation suffix. Historically words ending in -mad/-med are plural forms (with the suffix -d) of stems ending in -ma/-me. The latter can be compared with the adjective suffix -ma/me in the Manchu-Tungus languages, cf. Barguzin Tungus hulama ,red’, bagdama ,white’, seleme ,made of iron’ (sele ,iron’), etc. Thus, Written Mongolian aqamad is a plural of *aqama (primary stem aqa).

The suffix -mad has been preserved in a few words, but it has greatly influenced the plural formation in Kalmuck, where it contaminated with the suffix -nûD and resulted in a new suffix -müD.57 In its turn aqamad has become semantically a singular form in the same manner as kelek ,son’, originally a plural of kelek ,child, girl’, etc.

The plural suffix -ud is contained in the suffix -ütšin which forms the names of groups of individuals connected with the original idea of the basic word, e. g. Ordos 'urtišin ,wedding party guests’,58 Khalkha angütšiq ,hunters’, Buriat Alar emumšen ,physician’ (now a singular <<*em-ud-čin). The suffix -čl = Written Mongolian -či is well known and forms the professional names, e. g. moduči ,carpenter’ from modun ,tree, wood’. The forms in -ütσi are the same forms but derived from the plural stems with the suffix -ud. This type resembles much the Tungus names of professions with the suffix -lč, e. g. nannalči ,currier’ from nanna <<*nanda ,skin, hide’ (plural nannal).

b. Turkic -t

8. The plural suffix -t has been preserved in Turkic only as an ending of a few fossil words. It occurs mainly in Ancient Turkic. The plural suffix -čt with the connective vowel -u/-ü is present in the name of the Turks of the VI—VIII centuries *tûrküt.59 Other forms with -t are known: tarqa, a plural of tarqan ,a high rank of a nobleman”(cf. Mo. darqan ,a free person exempt from corvey’, plural darqad, cf. also the Mongolian tribal name darqad); tigirt ,princes’ from tığıt; tašqut ,verses’; silt ,milk’,60 etc. To these fossil Turkic forms we may add the Yakut word yotut ,a gathering or assembly of women’, cf. yotun ,wife’ = other Turkic languages qatın-qadin
Written Mongolian qatun 'queen, lady' (plural qatud); another Turkic word ending in -t is Turkic yigit, Kirgiz dźigit, a young equestrian, a brave young man, a knight' derived from Turkic yığan, Osmanlı yığan, Kirgiz dżiyän 'two men who have married two sisters' = Written Mongolian jige 'the child of the younger sister or daughter' = Kalmuck zé id.\textsuperscript{53} cf. also Tatar narah 'pine tree' = Written Mongolian narasun id., plur. narad.\textsuperscript{54} The Yakut suffix -sit- -cît,\textsuperscript{55} in the other Turkic languages -ći of the professional names, also belongs into this group, e.g. Yakut altañit 'copper smith' from altan, baliksüt 'fisher' from balik (in other Turkic languages altincï, balıqçï). I find the ancient plural suffix -rüt in the Ancient Turkic word alparut 'the brave men', ururut 'the warriors', and bayarat 'the rich men'. Pelliot and Von Gabain are inclined to see in alparut and bayarut plural forms ending in -t derived from alparu 'brave' and bayarû 'rich'.\textsuperscript{56} This contradicts the Mongolian data where we find in the Secret History such tribal names as baya'ud\textsuperscript{57} (cf. bayan 'rich'). Above we met with the Mongolian suffix -rud and, therefore, it is to be assumed that in Ancient Turkic there was a suffix -t (-ut) and another one -rüt. Such a word derived with the suffix -rüt (originally a plural suffix like in Written Mongolian ekerer 'woman') is Uigur uraryut 'woman'.\textsuperscript{58} Ancient plural forms are also the Turkic tribal names ending in -t, e.g. Tälgit, Soyot, Burut, and so on.

To conclude this section it should be added that though the corresponding Mongolian suffix is -d, the Turkic suffix -t reflects the ancient pronunciation better. The fact is that in Mongolian final strong (voiceless) consonants of syllables or stems have become weak sounds (mediae lenes). In other words, Mongolian -d (-ud, -yud)< *-t (-ut, -*rüt). If *d had been the original sound it would have participated in the Turkic developments *(b)>z and y,\textsuperscript{59} but this has not happened and testifies to the fact that the ancient consonant was *t and not *d.

c. Manchu-Tungus -*t

9. There is no plural suffix -t in Modern Tungus. However, traces of this suffix can be easily found. I have in mind the possessive suffix of the third person of the plural -tin, cf. Tungus (Nerchinsk dialect) haga 'bowl, cup' — hagän 'his bowl' — hagatin 'their bowl'. The possessive suffix is in Tungus languages -n, that of the third person of the plural is -tin.\textsuperscript{60} The vowel -i- is a connective vowel, -t is the ancient plural suffix -*t, and the final -n is the possessive suffix of the third person. It is possible that a few singular forms like hunäät 'girl, daughter' were formerly plural forms though this is difficult to prove.

Traces of a plural ending -t can be found also in Tungus tribal names, e.g. brangat, buldet, and so on.\textsuperscript{70}

10. The ancient plural suffix -*t or, to say better, its vocalised variety -*ta.
(-*te) has been preserved in Manchu where it forms the plural of quite a number of nouns, e.g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Singular</th>
<th>Plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aχun ,the elder brother'</td>
<td>aχuta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama ,father'</td>
<td>amata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amjı ,uncle'</td>
<td>amjıta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da ,prince'</td>
<td>data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saryan ,wife'</td>
<td>saryata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sefu ,teacher'</td>
<td>sefuta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ge ,the elder brother of the husband'</td>
<td>gete, etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The plural forms in -ta are thus formed from names of the relatives and a few professional names.\(^{71}\) It is possible that the same suffix -*ta is contained in the Jurči suffix -t'ah-i.\(^{72}\)

To conclude this chapter I must mention that the Manchu-Tungus suffix -*ta was compared long ago with the Mongolian suffix -d (and also Turkic) by MUNKÁCSI though not everything he states about it is correct.\(^{73}\)

II. Suff. -s

a. Mongolian -s

11. The suffix -s forms in Written Mongolian the plural of stems ending in vowels und diphthongs. The only stem ending in n which forms the plural with this suffix is kümün ,person, man', plur. kümüs ,people'. Examples: emes ,women', eres ,men', aγulas ,mountains', üges ,words', erdenis ,jewels', moγas (sing. moγaï) ,snakes', činosa (sing. činoa < *činua) ,wolves'.\(^{74}\) This suffix occurs in colloquial Mongolian languages: in Ordos,\(^{75}\) Khalkha,\(^{76}\) and Kalmuck.\(^{77}\) In Mogol -*s usually results in -z, e.g. činôz ,wolves'.\(^{78}\) This suffix is also attested in Middle-Mongolian, e.g. in the language represented in Mongolian glossaries by Moslem authors\(^{79}\) or in the language of the ṣPags-pa script (cf. there ěrđinis ,jewels').\(^{80}\)

In Buriat the final *s (at the end of syllables and stems) has resulted in D (t), e.g. boD- ,to rise, to get up' = Written Mongolian bọs- id., ulat ,people' = Written Mongolian ulus, etc.\(^{81}\) Consequently, the plural suffix -*s has also developed into -d and converged with the other plural suffix -d <-*d, e.g. aχat ,the elder brothers' = Written Mongolian aqas; erat ,men' = Written Mongolian eres, etc. In intervocalic position -d becomes -h-, e.g. burÛt ,calves' — burûhi (genitive of the plural), hebêt ,the felts' — hebêhi (gen. plur.), etc.\(^{82}\)

The suffix -s is the only surviving plural suffix in the Mongguor language. There it is -s (only the dative case), e.g. Derăseni sGesDu wari ,present liquor to the superiors'!. In all the other cases the suffix is -sGi, which is correctly analysed by the Reverend MOSTAERT as -s (plural suffix) and -Gi, an additional element of unknown origin.\(^{83}\) This element -Gi is probably a deictic one.
The suffix -s occurs as part of several compound suffixes. In Ordos there is a suffix -ūsus which is analogic to the suffix -ūD<-*ud discussed above. The suffix -ūsus has developed from -*us. We find it only in personal pronouns: Ordos BiDanūs ,we', Khalkha t’anūs or t’anūs ,you' (used in a derogatory sense).85

b. Manchu-Tungus -*sa

12. The suffix -sa (Barguzin -ha) forms in Tungus collective nouns, e. g. Barguzin mōha ,forest' from mō ,tree'. In Manchu the suffix -sa (-se,-si,-so) is the usual plural suffix of that language, e. g. ambasa ,the officials' from amban, sakdasa ,old men' from sakda, agese, princes' from age, etc.86 The suffix vowel changes in Manchu, but definite rules cannot be established, e. g. omosi ,grand children' from omol. The suffix -sa is the same as Tungus -sa in mōsa ,forest', though it is also possible that Manchu -sa < -*sal = Tungus plural suffix -sal (-hal), because the final l of the stem was dropped in Manchu. In the Tungus languages the suffix -sal forms the plural of stems ending in n or r. The latter are dropped, e. g. ädžasäl ,masters' from ädžän, samäsal ,shamans' from samän, nojōsal ,masters' from nojōn, ūkusäl ,cows' from ūkūr,87 etc.

The Tungus plural suffix -sal is a compound and consists of -sa (cf. mō-sa ,forest, woods') and another plural suffix -l (cf. bira-l ,rivers', sing. bira) which will be discussed below.

In the Solon language the suffix is -sal (-sel) or -sul (-sul), e. g. xوفيšal ,snakes', samäsal ,shamans',88 etc. The suffix -sal is a combination of -*sa + -*l, and the suffix -sul has developed from -*s and -*l with a connective vowel -u.

The suffix -sal occurs in Tungus as a component of the suffix -nasal (> -nahal), e. g. ačinasal ,the cousins' from ači, badžanasal ,the brothers-in-law' from badža, bärigänasäl ,the wives of the elder brothers' from bärigän, etc.89 The suffix -nasal is analysed as -na (plural suffix, cf. Mongolian -na-r, -na-d), -sa (cf. the Tungus suffix -sa in mōsa ,forest, woods', Manchu plural suffix -sa), and -l (cf. Tungus bira-l ,rivers', vide infra).

The suffix -sa differs from the Mongolian plural suffix -s by its vocalisation. We shall see further below that the plural suffixes occur in two forms: 1. in the unvocalised form and 2. in the vocalised form. The suffix -sa is analogic to certain other plural suffixes in the Manchu-Tungus language group. Cf. the following parallels:

| Manchu -ta : Mongolian -d (Turkic -t) = Manchu -sa : Mongolian -s = Tungus -na : Mongolian -n (vide infra). |

C. Turkic -*s

13. The plural suffix -s occurs in Turkic only as a fossil ending of certain tribal names, e. g. ľisbaras from ľisbara,90 töläss, and others. Besides, KOT-
WICZ has demonstrated that this suffix is contained in the possessive suffix of the third person -si <-*s (plural) + -i <-*i ,he'.\textsuperscript{91} This plural suffix -*s can be found also in the Chuvash suffix of the third person of the plural -čsē.\textsuperscript{92}

To conclude this section may I observe that the suffix -*s continues to exist in all the branches of the Altaic languages (except Korean): in Manchu-Tungus languages (-sa, -sa-l, -na-sa-l), in Mongolian (-s, -us), and in Turkic (-*s).

III. Suffix -*l

a. Tungus -l

14. The Tungus suffix -l forms the plural of stems ending in vowels. With the connective vowel -i- it occurs on stems ending in consonants. Stems ending in w require the connective vowel -ur-, e.g. mōl ,trees' from mō, mūl ,waters' from mū, biral ,rivers' from bira, takšil ,bowls, cups' from takši, oktol ,roads' from okto, etc.

In a few cases certain changes occur in the stem, e.g. nākūn ,the younger brother' — plural nāknīl (and also nāmnil).\textsuperscript{93} There is no plural in -l in the Solon language, but this suffix occurs there as part of the plural reflexive possessive suffix: singular -wi and plural -*wil > Solon -weli.\textsuperscript{94}

The suffix -l is contained in the Tungus plural suffixes -sal <-*sa-l and -nasal <-*na-sa-l. The suffix -sal exists as a loan in the Dagur language, e.g. Dagur berēsel ,the daughters-in-law', k'wusul ,people', etc.\textsuperscript{95} There is no such suffix in Manchu, because the final *l has been dropped in Manchu in all words.

b. Mongolian -l

15. The suffix -l exists in Mongolian as such only in the oldest documents although not in Written Mongolian.

The suffix -l of the plural was discovered in Mongolian by LEWICKI. He found it in a few words ending in -sun (which is in my opinion a singular mark), -ulsun <-*γulsun, and vowels in the language of the Secret History, e.g. nengjì'üli ,the searchers', kimul ,nails', daba'al ,mountain passes', etc.\textsuperscript{96} Possibly the words aγral ,dry dung' and γutul ,boots' belong also in this category.\textsuperscript{97}

16. In certain spoken Mongolian languages there is besides the plural suffix -čud (-tśūD or -tśūt) also a suffix -tšūl, e.g. Khalkha -tšūl in Bagh'tśūl ,children'.\textsuperscript{98} Alar Burjat -šūl (e.g. baješūl ,rich people'),\textsuperscript{99} etc.

The suffix -tšūl <-*čul is a compound and consists of -l which is a plural suffix and -ču (e.g. Mo. qaraču ,subject, an ordinary man, commoner').\textsuperscript{100}
c. Turkic -*la

17. The plural suffix -*l does not occur in this form in Turkic. It is, however, possible that it has been preserved in certain words where it is no longer felt as a plural ending. There is in the Turkic languages a plural suffix -*la as a component of the usual plural suffix -lar. The latter is at the present time the only active plural suffix in Turkic. Its initial consonant l assimilates and dissimilates, in various Turkic languages, with the final consonant of the stem and results in -tar, -dar, and -nar.\(^{101}\) The origin of the suffix -lar is believed to be obscure.\(^ {102}\) It is usually compared with Mongolian -nar,\(^ {103}\) but this correspondence raises great doubts, because the Mongolian intervocalic n corresponds to n in Turkic and not l.\(^ {104}\)

According to FOKOS the Turkic plural forms in -lar are by origin verbal nouns (with the suff. -r) of denominal verbs (with the suff. -la-).\(^ {105}\) This interpretation raises objections, because it is hard to understand how a verbal noun can become a plural of a substantive noun. Besides, one should not disregard that the vowel of the verbal suffix -la- was long (cf. Yakut -lā-), while it is short in the plural suffix.

We shall see below that the Mongolian suffix -nar consists of an ancient plural suffix -*na and another plural suffix -*r. As for the Turkic suffix -lar I consider it a compound composed of -*la and the plural suffix -*r mentioned here. The relation of the element -la- to the remaining plural suffixes can be demonstrated in the following proportion:

\[-*la:*r = Manchu -ta:*t (Turkic -t, Mongolian -d) = Manchu -sa:*s (Mongolian -s).\]

Thus we can state that the suffix -lar contains an element -*la which is related to the plural suffix -l (Mongolian and Tungus) and differs from the latter in its vocalisation in the same manner as Tungus -sa and Manchu -ta differ from Mongolian and Turkic -s and -*t.

IV. Suff. -*n

a. Mongolian -n

18. The plural suffix -n was found by the Reverend MOSTAERT on stems ending in the diphthong aj. Thereby the words concerned drop their i, e.g. Gažān 'pigs' from Gažān < *\(γ\)aqāj. He quotes also a few examples from the Secret History and Hua-yi yī-yu.\(^ {106}\) Professor HAENISCH demonstrated that the so called nomen futuri in -qui also forms a plural with the suffix -n, the form in question ending in -qun.\(^ {107}\) Finally I found that not only forms in -qui have a plural in -n\(^ {108}\) but also the so called nomen actors (with the suffix -qi - are, e.g. yabuqč'in ,those going' in the hP'ags-pa script.\(^ {109}\) Later on, the existence of the plural suffix -n was also acknowledged by other authors,\(^ {110}\) and Haenisch demonstrated in a summarizing article that the plural in -n is formed from stems ending in aj, uj, -qui, -küi, -či, -rči, and in general from all stems ending in i (i).\(^ {111}\)
b. Tungus -na

19. Just as the Manchu-Tungus suffixes -ta, -sa, and the Turkic suffix -*la exist parallel with the suffixes -t, -s, and -l, differing from the latter by their vowel a, a vocalised plural suffix -na exists in Tungus, parallel with the unvocalised Mongolian suffix -n. I find it in the compound plural suffix -nasal,¹¹² which consists of the plural suffix -sal discussed above and another plural suffix -na. Its relation to the Mongolian plural suffix -n can be represented in the following proportion:

Tungus -na : Mongolian -n = Tungus -sa : Mongolian -s = Manchu -ta : Mongolian -d (<= -*t).

I find the element -na also in the Mongolian plural suffix -nar¹¹³ <= -*na + -*r (vide infra), e. g. aqa-nar, 'the elder brothers'. It should be noted that d is also found in the dialects instead of a final r (which is another plural suffix), i. e. here the plural suffix is -nad and not -nar. This occurs in West Buriat dialects, e. g. in Ekhirit where we find such forms as aχanat 'the elder brothers', duumnet 'the younger brothers', etc. The suffix -nad consists of -na and -d and not -nar and -d (with the final r dropped as in nχet, plur. of nχer, comrade, friend'), because the combination of the suffixes -nar and -d exists in the form -narút.

c. Turkic -an

20. The suffix -an was first mentioned as a possible plural suffix by KAARE GRØNBECH in his book „Der türkische Sprachbau“. He pointed out such words as ärän (sing. är 'man') and oýlan (sing. oýul 'son'). Afterward, other examples became known, such as ärän qırqin 'young men and girls' and örtän 'flames' (sing. ört 'fire').¹¹⁴ It is beyond doubt that the forms ending in -an are plural forms, because in the dictionary of Mâhmûd al-Kâşyârî the word ärän is explained as a multitude of men.¹¹⁵

It is improbable that the vowel a/â in -an/-ân is a connective vowel, because a connective vowel is always a narrow one (i/i or u/û). We have seen above that the suffix -n is taken by stems ending in diphthongs with i (aj, uj) or in the vowel i. It is possible, therefore, that the forms ending in an/ân are plural forms of stems ending in aj/âj. The latter can be a diminutive or caressive suffix = Mongolian -aj/-eij, e. g. abaj 'daddy, dearest', ebej 'mommy', aqaî 'my dear' (primarily 'little elder brother', cf. aqa 'elder brother'), etc. The plural of aqaî would be in Mongolian *aqan and in the same manner the plural of *ârâj 'man' or *oýulaî, little son should be *ârân and *oýulan or *oýlan.

There is, however, another possibility that Turkic -an is the same as the Tungus suffix -an acting as a sort of conjunction, e. g. akinan nekûnûn 'the elder and the younger brother' (akin 'elder brother', nekûn 'the younger
brother'), atirkänen etirkänen ,the husband and the wife'. This Tungus suffix contains the same n as the plural suffixes.\textsuperscript{116} No matter what the vowel a/ä in -an/-än is, the final consonant n is a plural suffix and corresponds to Mongolian -n.

V. Suff. \*r

a. Tungus -r

21. The plural is formed in Tungus with the suffix -r of stems ending in n. The latter is dropped, e. g. oror ,reindeers' from oron, halgar ,feet' from halgan, cf. also Barguzin Tungus -war, the reflexive-possessive plural suffix = Mongolian -ban, primarily only a singular.\textsuperscript{117} Many Tungus tribal names ending in -gir are originally plural forms of singular stems ending in -gin, e. g. Kimagir, Putugir, etc. derived from Kimagin etc., which according to VASILEVIĆ means ,a woman of the clan Kima'.\textsuperscript{118} The clan names ending in -gir are numerous, and among the Barguzin Tungus I encountered such as the Limägir, Čapögir, Samägir, Balikägir, etc. The ending -gir of these names was mentioned in one of the articles of Kotwicz.\textsuperscript{119} I agree with him that the origin and exact meaning of -gir need further elucidation.\textsuperscript{120} It is beyond question, however, that -r in -gir is a plural ending, and the primary singular ending of the clan names concerned is -gin. I find this -gin in numerous Mongolian clan and tribe names, such as Borjigin, Qataгин, Adargin, etc., known in the Secret History and other sources.\textsuperscript{121} The ending -r of the plural can be found in Solon only in a few words, e. g. elur ,these' and talur ,those'.\textsuperscript{122} The suffix -\*r exists also in Manchu where a number of nouns form a plural with -ri, e. g mafari ,the grand fathers' (sing. mafa), mamari ,the grandmothers' (sing. mama), and many words ending in -xon, -xun, and -xün (their plural forms ending in -xöri, -xuri, and -xûri).\textsuperscript{123}

b. Mongolian -\*r

22. There is no plural suffix -r as such in Mongolian, but it forms part of the suffix -nar, e. g. aqanar ,the elder brothers'. I already mentioned above that along with the suffix -nar there is also a suffix -nat < -\*nad in Buriat dialects. This demonstrates that the elements -r and -d can be disconnected from -na.

c. Turkic -\*r

23. The plural suffix -r can be found in the usual Turkic plural suffix -lar. The element -la has been explained above and corresponds to Mongolian and Tungus -l.

The suffix -\*r without the element la does not occur as -r in Turkic. The consonant \*r with a vowel causing palatalisation (namely \*i or \*i) developed,
as Ramstedt has demonstrated, into *r. The latter can be found there where Mongolian and Tungus have the consonant r preceded or followed by the vowel i, i.e. ir or ri. This *r has developed into *z in Proto-Turkic. This observation is important, because it enables us to identify the ancient plural suffix -*r with the final z of the possessive suffix of the second person of the plural -ŋiz (in Uzbek and other Turkic languages), e.g. Uzb. ataŋiz ’your father’, Uzb. änāŋiz ’your mother’, Uzb. sözüŋiz ’your word’, etc., while the possessive suffix of the second person of the singular is -ŋ, i.e. without a final z.

The same suffix can be found in the ending of the second person of the plural of the imperative, e.g. Uzb. barıŋiz ’go!’, Uzb. keliŋiz ’come!’, etc. It is tempting to identify the final consonant z of these suffixes with the consonant r of the same suffixes in the dialects of the Altai Turks, the so-called Altai Kiţi („the Altai People“) who live in the Oirot Soviet Republic. The possessive suffix of the second person of the plural is there -γar < -*ŋar, e.g. adarγar ’your father’, änäγar ’your mother’, sözigäγar ’your word’; cf. also the suffix of the plural of the imperative -γar. It is, however, doubtful that Altai Turkic -γar is a further development of -*ŋ + -ar. I agree with Dr. J. BENZING that Altai Turkic -γar < -*ŋ + -ər < *γlar. The consonant z of the suffix -ŋiz and the consonant r of the plural suffix -lar are nevertheless developments of the same *r: the consonant *r remained in -lar, because the vowel a of the element *la did not palatalize it, while *r developed into z in -ŋiz, because the vowel i palatalized it.

The Turkic z regularly corresponds to r in Chuvash and all the remaining Altaic languages (Korean l/r), e.g. Korean kalbi ’ribs’, North Korean karbi id. = Manchu qalbin ’fleshy parts on both sides of the abdomen’ = Mongolian qarbiŋ id. = Turkic qazi ’fat on the stomach’ = Chuvash jur < *χur < *qar < *qaf, fat. These suffixes are common Altaic suffixes, inherited from the Common Altaic language. It is to be noted that similar suffixes namely -t and -n exist also in Finno-Ugrian. In summarising the results of this article may I remark that the plural suffixes occur in two forms: 1. in an unvocalised form, e.g. -s and 2. in a
vocalised form, e.g. -sa. Both forms occur as such (the connective vowel does not count), e.g. Mongolian -d or -ud, and as part of combined suffixes, e.g. Mongolian -na-r and -na-d, Turkic -la-r, and so on.

This can be represented in the following manner:

1. Unvocalised -*t:
   - Mongolian -d, -ud, -rud, -nad, -nurud, -čud
   - Turkic -t, -rut, (Yakut) -či-, -sit
   - Tungus -t, (possessive ending) -tin

   Vocalised -*ta:
   - Manchu -ta

2. Unvocalised -*s:
   - Mongolian -s, -us
   - Turkic -s, (possessive ending) -si
   - Chuvash -ēsē

   Vocalised -*sa:
   - Manchu -sa, Tungus -sa, -sal, -nasal

3. Unvocalised -*l:
   - Mongolian -l, -tšul <-*čul
   - Tungus -l, -sal, -nasal

   Vocalised -*la:
   - Turkic -lar

4. Unvocalised -*n:
   - Mongolian -n
   - Turkic -n, -an

   Vocalised -*na:
   - Mongolian -nar, -nad
   - Tungus -nasal

5. Unvocalised -*r:
   - Tungus -r
   - Chuvash -r
   - Turkic -r (in -lar) ~ -z (in -ηiz)
   - Mongolian -r (in -nar)

As observed above plural forms sometimes become singular forms. Such a form is, for instance, Mongolian sayid 'minister', 'high official' (sing. sayin 'good'). The general rule is that to acquire the meaning of a substantive words acting as attributes (words which are adjectives, participles, etc. in other languages) form a plural. In other words, the singular of such a word is an attributive word, its plural is a substantive. Examples: erdemten 'scholar, scientist' from erdemtej 'virtuous, learned', erketen 'organ' (of the body) from erketej 'strong, powerful', erketen 'citizen' (in the Modern language) from erketej 'possessing political rights' (the primary stem is erke 'right'), amitan 'living being, creature, animal' from amitaj 'living',
oyutan 'student' (of a university), cf. oyutan-u surγγγuli 'university' from oyutaj or oyutu 'clever, intelligent, possessing intelligence', oroγγad 'a graduate', a plural of the nomen perfecti in γsan of the verb oro-<*ora-, to enter’, a loan translation of Chinese chin-shih 'a scholar who has passed the final examinations in the capital',\textsuperscript{134} etc.
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