RECONSTRUCTION OF THE CONSONANTS OF PROTO-AMAMI

Junnosuke Urakami

KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

Abbreviations:		Symbols	:
Sib	Siba dialect	/ /	Phonemic transcription
Sho	Shodon dialect	[]	phonetic transcription
Nas	Nase dialect	, ,	irrecoverable segment
Ong	Ongachi dialect	/	in the environment of
Yen	Yen dialect	>	has become
Yoa	Yoan dialect	<	has come from
San	Sani dialect	#	morpheme boundary
		~	alternates with
Kyu	Kyushu dialect	$\langle L \rangle$	loan
Kag	Kagoshima dialect	<l?></l?>	possible loan
MdAm	Modern Amami	С	any consonant
MdJ	Modern Japanese	V	any vowel
MC	Middle Chinese	id	identical gloss
OJ	Old Japanese	IRC	irrecoverable
Ok	Okinawa dialect	INV	invalid
PA	Proto-Amami	(SF)	stem final
PJR	Proto-Japanese Ryukyuan	C?	C with glottal element
PR	Proto-Ryukyuan		
Pre-OJ	Pre-Old-Japanese		
Proto-SS	Proto-Sib-Sho		
Proto-ONYY	Proto-Ong-Nas-Yen-Yoa		
SJ	Standard Japanese		
Ymt	Yamatohama dialect		

Received Oct. 7, 1991

INTRODUCTION

The aim of this article is to reconstruct the consonants of "Proto-Amami", the language from which the modern Amami dialects have descended.

In the past few decades some of the most controversial problems in the phonology of Old Japanese(OJ) have centred around a number of putative phonological contrasts represented in the orthography of the extant OJ texts and traditionally known as the 'Kō-rui' and 'Otsu-rui' (lit. 'A-type' and 'B-type') syllables. These A/B-type contrasts are supposed to have been lost in the Post-OJ period.

There are about a dozen important works on this topic already. However, these works have almost entirely been based upon the reconstructed phonetic values of the Chinese characters used to render the OJ syllables. Even though the results of the phonetic evaluation of OJ through this approach are as a whole supported by those of a morphophonemic analysis of OJ, the arguments remain moot, since the reconstruction of the Chinese values has been done through a quite complex process which partially takes into consideration how the Chinese characters were used to represent the OJ syllables.

The other approach often previously employed to this problem of OJ phonology has been by means of a comparison of OJ and the Ryukyu dialects, which have been proved to be related to Japanes. In this approach, the Amami dialects of Ryukyu are the most important of all. This is because a direct counterpart of the OJ A/B contrast has been claimed to exist today in some of the Amami dialects.

In view of this important fact, an attempt was made to reconstruct the Proto-Amami (PA) phonemes. This is because it was believed that PA, if reconstructed systematically and rigourously, would provide the most reliable data for a reconsideration of the OJ problem in the future.

As a phonological reconstruction of PA which follows the recognised principles of Indo-European comparative linguistics had never before been attempted, no data comprehensive enough for the purpose could be found. Hence, the need arose of collecting and transcribing data first hand.

Therefore the writer planned and carried out a series of fieldwork surveys in the Amami Islands. In the following subsections I will provide the details of those surveys in the Amami Islands.

Finally, please note that as the title shows, this article deals with the reconstruction process of the PA consonants only. This is simply because the writer intends, considering

Gifu Shotoku Gakuen University

RECONSTRUCTION OF THE CONSONANTS OF PROTO-AMAMI

the length of the present paper, to present the case of the vowels in another article under the tentative title, "Proto-Amami", in the Annals of Gifu University for Education and Languages, No 24.

1.0 LINGUISTIC SURVEYS IN THE AMAMI ISLANDS

With a view to finding possible evidence to shed light on the problems of OJ phonology, I undertook three fieldwork surveys in the Amami Islands between October 1985 and January 1988.

In the early days of this study, my concern was not only for the Amami dialects, but also for those of Okinawa. Both groups belong to the Nothern Ryukyuan languages. Therefore my first survey was designed to cover over twenty dialects found in Okinawa, Yoron, Okinoerabu, Tokunoshima, and the Amami Islands.

The second and third surveys, however, were targeted entirely at the Amami dialects, as the writer had by then established that this would best and most economically provide the comparative evidence desired.

1.1 Date and duration of surveys

The date and duration of the three surveys are as follows:

First survey October 1985 to December 1985

Second survey March 1986 to April 1986

Third survey January 1988

1.2 Selecting the dialects

The writer collected data from eleven Amami dialects. From these eleven dialects, I selected those dialects which would make the phonological reconstruction and examination the most economical and effective for the ultimate purpose of the examination of OJ phonology through the reconstruction of PA.

The selection was made based on the following priniciples:

- 1) The dialects should represent the entire geographical area of the Amami Islands.
- 2) The geographical distance between the selected dialects should be as far as possible

In accordance with the principles given above, the following dialects were selected. Abbreviations used henceforth for these dialects are given to the right (note that when we refer to the place name, the abbreviation is not used).

Siba	Sib	Yen	Yen
Shodon	Sho	Yoan	Yoa
Ongachi	Ong	Sani	San
Nase	Nas		

The name, sex and date of birth for each informant is given below, along with the dates during which the data were collected.

Figure 01. Informant information

Dial	Name	Sex	Date/Birth	Date/Collected
San	Kazuro Maeda	M	**************************************	19 Nov 1985
Yoa	Tadashi Higo	M	9/2/1905	17 Nov 1985
	(Kei Higo	F	12/12/1906	17 Nov 1985)
Yen	Kaneyoshi Nagata	M	18/12/1898	21 Nov 1985
Nas	Yoshinobu Ebara	M	5/10/1905	22,26 Nov 1985
Ong	Gengo Mori	M	16/10/1921	25 Nov 1985
Sho	Minoru Yoshikawa	M	1/10/1916	24 Mar 1986
Sib	Shingi Nakajima	M	1916	25 Mar 1986

The professions of the informants before retirement were as follows.

Shi Fisherman

Sho Farmer

Ong School teacher

Nas School teacher

Yen General labourer. This informant stated that he had worked for six years in Kobe Japen as a cab driver.

Yoa School teacher. This informant was extremely well-educated.

San Silk weaver. This informant had a passionate hobby, singing and playing traditional music on the shamisen.

1.3 Selecting lexical items for the surveys

To set up a questionnaire, words and glosses from the known OJ lexicon were chosen, with the intention of finding the corresponding forms from each of the seven dialects.

The OJ words were carefully selected so that they would, as a whole, contain as many A-type, B-type, and Non-A/B-type syllables an possible, but in the most economical way.

The total number of lexical items which were chosen for research is 261 (cf. Appendix One-master list).

2.0 THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE PROTO-AMAMI CONSONANTS

2.1 Phonemicization used for the reconstruction

In this section, I would like to discuss the traditional approach used to date by Japanese linguists to make a phonological analysis of the Menern Amami dialects.

These traditional conventions of phonemicization, applied systematically for the first time by Hirayama (in his pioneer work which resulted in a massive collection of data which include immense lists, wholely descriptive in nature, of lexical items found in the Ryukyu Islands) reveal certain problems in the setting up of the phonemes. I belive that these difficulties are the result of a rigid adherence to pre-determined abstract principles by pioneer researchers of the Ryukyu dialects such as Hirayama. Observe three examples which concern us closely:

absence of glottal element

Q first element of geminated consonant

N word-final moraic /n/

In the following subsections we will deal with these three 'phonemes'.

That the phonemic inventory of a language be as economical as possible, and consequently possibly rather abstract to a greater or lesser extent, may serve a useful purpose when analysis is required to be rigorously synchronic. However, I believe that this principle must be modified when setting up a phonemic inventory for the purpose of carrying out historical research such as the reconstruction of a proto-language. In this way we will not find ourselves too far distant from the phonetic reality of the languages.

Based upon this view, I would like to attempt in this section to provide some modification to the traditional inventories of the Amami dialects for the purpose of our historical reconstruction.

We observe in previous phonemicizations the use of some phonemes which I must simply regard as unnecessary. For example, the use of the phoneme /'/ reveals an unjustifiable level of abstraction. It is quite difficult for any reader of Hirayama to associate this phoneme with its phonetic reality. Observe the following set of phomene strings in terms of their phonemic notation and the phonetic realization he gives (cf. Hirayama 1966, 25f):

Hirayama's notation as illustrated by the above examples, is so complex and abstract, that /'/ is realized as [j], [w], or \emptyset , depending on the segments which follow it. Hirayama uses this symbol in order to represent both syllables consisting of single vowels without a glottal stop, and semivowels without a glottal stop : e. g.

[i],[j], etc.

It is one of the phonological features of the Ryukyu dialects that the morpheme initial vowels and semi-vowels are often preceded by a glottal stop element: e. g. Nas / ?irabī/ [?irabī] 'to choose', and /?ju'u/ [?ju:] 'fish'. But I do not see the necessity of introducing a phoneme /'/ when /?/ has already been clearly established. Once we have realized that word-initial vowels are preceded by a glottal stop, the repetition is pointless, and we need only mark the presence or the absence of the glottal stop, but not both. For example, /?i/ and /i/ can, if need be, adequately denote the two contrasting syllables [?i] and [i].

Morever, using /'/ causes such complexities in transcribing some words as follows : Nas /'o' osa' N/ for [o : san] 'funny' (cf. Hirayama 1966, 26f)

By retranscribing the above as /oosan/, we get a representation far closer to the phonetic quality [o:san].

As it happens, as far as our data are concerned, we do not find any examples for the contrast $\frac{?}{::}$. In all cases, the morpheme initial vowels are preceded by [?].

Therefore, we use neither /? / nor /'/ in our notation. We consider that word-initial vowels are preceded by a glottal stop. Only when we quote words from data other than our own will we use /'/: e. g. Yoro /'ja'/ [ja:] 'house' (Hirayama, 36f).

According to Hirayama, the glottal/non-glottal contrast is observed even in cases other than morpheme-initial vowels. For instance, Yoro /? ju'u/ [? ju :] 'fish' : : /'ja'a/ [ja :] 'house' (Hirayama, 36f). In such cases, however, I will represent the contrast by 'j?'and'j', since we will set up C?/C for the non-aspirated/aspirated (or pharyngealized/non-pharyngealized, or glottalized/non-glottalized) series of consonants.

In the traditional definition, /N/ is (as is /Q/, discussed below) a 'mora (ic) phoneme'. For this reason, and indeed for this reason alone, the phoneme /N/ has been set up by linguists in opposition to /n/ [n].

However, as far as both the data provided by Hirayama himself, and our own data are concerned, the appearance of /N/ and /n/ is in complementary distribution and, hence, completely predictable: the former stands only in the morpheme-final position, and the latter in all other positions. For example, Nas nabī 'cooking pot' (50) vs. kuN 'this' (88).

Hirayama may, of course, have had other evidence for positing a phonemic contrast /N/::/n/, but he does not provide us with any evidence or reasoning. However, as these two phonemes appear strictly in complementary environments in our data, I will unify all sounds represented by /N/ and /n/ into /n/ for the present work.

According to the traditional view assumed by Japanese linguists, the phoneme /Q/ is 'another moraic archiphoneme' (Vance (1987); 39f) known as 'sokuon'. The nature of this 'archiphoneme' varies according to the consonant which follows it. In other words, /Q/ represents the first element of a geminated consonant, the geminated consonant being a conspicuous element of Japanese phonology. Observe the following examples of

Standard Japanese from Vance 1987 (40f):

gloss	sound
'leaf'	[hap:^pa]
'stuck'	[hat : ta]
'ignition'	[hak: ka]
'departure'	[ha\ : \sa]
'ordering'	[hac : c\w:]
	'leaf' 'stuck' 'ignition' 'departure'

The string of -QCV- generally has two moras, whereas -CV- has one. In this sense, -QC- is understood as a geminated consonant, or according to Vance (p.39f) a 'phonetic long voiceless obstruents'. Since our concern is not the minute analysis of Japanese phonetics, I would like to transcribe -QC- as simply [pp], [ss], etc.

Therefore, Vance's examples given above will be retranscribed as:

Vance's transcription	Our retranscription	No. of moras
a . /haQpa/	/happa/	3
b./haQta/	/hatta/	3
c . /haQka/	/hakka/	3
d./haQja/	/ha§a/	3
e . /haQtʃuu/	/hatt\su:/	4

Following this line, I will also transcribe the long consonant of the Amami dialects as /-CC-/[-CC-]; e.g. /-pp-[-pp] etc.

In the Amami dialects (in fact, in almost all of the Ryukyu dialects) we find a contrast between aspirated and non-aspirated consonants. Historically speaking, this contrast is partially the bi-product of the loss of an earlier vocalic contrast traditionally known as $^*u/*o: viz. ^*C^hu>C^hu$ and $^*C^ho>Cu$.

This deaspiration process is alternatively interperted as glottalization or laryngealization according to the traditional approach of Japanese linguitis such as Hirayama and Nakamoto.

Following Hirayama, I will represent this contrast by marking the glottalization rather than the aspiration. This choice is based on the fact that the frequency of the aspirated, hence non-glottalized consonants, is much higher. Therefore marking glottalization is the most economical way of phonemically representing the contrast. I will use the notation of Nakamoto 1979, i.e. C?, e.g. [k²ugi] 'nail' (Note 1) rather than

Hirayama's notation for the sake of convenience in typing.

The Amami dialects have seven vowels. These seven vowels in combination with a preceding consonant, form seven types of syllable, i.e:

In addition to these, we find listed by Japanese linguists the following types of syllable with a palatal glide:

These ten types of syllable form the main part of the syllable systems of the Amami dialects (Note 2).

For instance, we have , therefore, the following syllables for the case of the consonant $[\,k\,]\,$ (/k/) :

 $[ki \quad ke \quad k\"i \quad k\~e \quad ka \quad ku \quad ko] \; ; \qquad [kja \quad kju \quad kjo] \\ However, in the case of [s], [z] \; , and [t], we have[\S], [3] \; and [t\S] \; in front of [i]. For example, observe the following set, especially the first item in it:$

In Standard Japanese, the traditional manner of phonemicizing is to represent $[\S i]$, [3i], and $[t\S i]$ as /si/, /ti/, and /zi/ respectively. This is done because in SJ, the syllables [si], [ti], and [di] do not occur.

In the Amami dialects, on the other hand, there has been observed, though quite rarely, a contrast between [si] and [ʃi], for example, and Hirayama (1966,24f) in his syllable inventory for Nas, includes [ti] and [tʃi]. However, as far as our data is concerned, this contrast does not appear at all.

However, based on the phonetic reality, we will break with traditional Japanese linguistic practice, and use $/\sin/3i$ and $/\sin/3i$.

In Japanese phonetics, the occurrence of [z] and [dz] remains a controversial problem. This is perhaps because the two phones appear to behave sometimes as allophones and sometimes as free variants. However, there will be no controversy in saying that they belong to the same phoneme, normally written as /z/.

A parallel phenomenon is observed in the Amami dialects. Observe the following from Hirayama $1966\ (24f)$:

$$/zi/$$
 $/ze/$ $/zi/$ $/ze/$ $/za/$ $/zu/$ $/zo/$; $/zjo/$ $[dzi]$ $[dze]$ $[dze]$ $[dza]$ $[dzu]$ $[dzo]$; $[dzo]$

According to the examples given in Hirayama, it seems that the [z] does not occur

in the Amami dialects.

However because it is more economical, and because, morphophonemically, it is the voiced counterpart of /s/ in the Modern Amami dialects, I will agree with Hirayama (and, in fact, with most of other Ryukyuan linguists), and use /z/ rather than /dz/ to represent [dz].

Hirayama 1966 uses /ç/for the affricate [ts] ([t'z] in Hirayama). However, I will use /ts/ for convenience in typing.

To sum up the above argument, I would like to list up here the consonent and vowel phonemes used in our data :

Consonants:

Figure 02. Phonemes used for reconstruction

			Con	Somani	· .			
Stops:								
		p		t		k		
				t?		k?		
		b		d		g		
Fricatives	:							
		F		s	S	h		
Nasals:								
		m		n				
Affricates	:							
		ts			t∫			
		z			3			
Tap:								
		r						
Semi-vow	els:							
		j	W					
		j?						
			V	owels	:			
	Front		Cen	itral			Back	
High	1		ï				u	
							U	
Mid	e		ë				O	
Low			a					

2.2 Principles of reconstruction

2.2.1 Informant notation

- 1) (-) indicates the informant did not respond.
- 2) Definite loans : $(\langle L \rangle)$ indicates that the informant stated 'this word is from Japanese'.
- 3) Possible loans : $(\langle L? \rangle)$ shows the informant stated he or she thought the word might be from Japanese.
 - 4) If the informant responded with doublets we give both forms (~).
- 5) When the same phoneme occurs more than once in a word, we use a and b to distinguish between them. E.g. the /u/s in (117) mutu would be denoted by 117a and 117b.

2.2.2 Invalid cases

- 1) Unless we see three dialectal forms unmarked with $\langle L \rangle$ or $\langle L? \rangle$, we do not attempt PA reconstruction.
- 2) If we see three marks for $\langle L \rangle$ or $\langle L? \rangle$ we consider the material to be invalid for reconstruction.
- 3) If a word with an irregular reflex is also semantically deviant from OJ, we designate the comparison as invalid. The examples of this number only four, and are lexical items (5), (119), (168), and (173).
- 4) If we consider that a word is, although unmarked with $\langle L? \rangle$ or $\langle L \rangle$, nonetheless clearly a loan word from MdJ, we consider it to be invalid. In fact we have only one lexical item this kind, (260).

2.2.3 Irrecoverable cases

- 1) If we connot decide between two segments which is the 'true' PA segment, we consider the segment to be irrecoverable and mark it with ' '; e.g. (103a,b) PA *j k .
- 2) If an entire PA word is made up of such segments, we consider it irrecoverable, and mark it IRC.

2.2.4 Position

- 1) Unless significant, we do not divide consonants according to their position in the word. Note that PA had no word-final consonants.
 - 2) Vowels are always presented by position.

2.2.5 Glosses

- 1) Glosses are from OJ.
- 2) Unless specifically required, we do not give the dialectal gloss. When necessary (i.e when different from OJ), we cite dialectal glosses in our argument.

Gifu Shotoku Gakuen University

RECONSTRUCTION OF THE CONSONANTS OF PROTO-AMAMI

2.2.6 /U/ and /F/

- 1) Although /U/ is a potential phoneme of the MdAm dialects, it appears only sporadically in our data. We never see it throughout a lexical item. There is no case for setting up *U as a proto-segment, and its relevance as a marginal phoneme must be left for further study.
- 2) We have only a few cases of /F/ found in Sho, Ong, and Nas, and its phonemic status is very tentative. However, we have included /F/ in our inventory of phonemes because of its possible relevance to sound changes related to subgrouping of the dialects.

2.2.7 Excluding a form in parenthesis

We exclude various forms (by putting the relevant form in parenthesis) given by informants from our reconstruction for several reasons.

- 1) If it is a conclusive form suffix (jun) or an adjective suffix (sa), we exlude it from reconstruction unless the verb stem final is affected.
- 2) If we decide a form is not cognate with the others in a lexical item, we exlude it from reconstructios; e.g. (83) Ong ju (3i) wa 'weak'; (113 Sho tun(kana)'fellow'.

2.3 Reconstruction

2.3.1 PA *p

In our data, we find eleven tokens of the correspondence series showing /p/ in San, /h/ in Yen and Yoa, /h/ or /F/ in Sho, Ong, and Nas, and /h/ or /hw/ in Sib (Pattern 1). Pattern 1 is observed only in word-final position.

For the occurrence of /F/ in Sho and Ong we find the following facts. In Sho, /F/ appears when the PA environment is $_*a$ (Note 3) while /h/ occurs when it is $_*i$ or $_*u$; e.g. (143) Faak 'box' vs. (79) hugur 'bag' and (128) hirju-'wide'. The case of (261) hanī 'wing', with /h/ before /a/ is the only exception to this.

In Ong, /F/ appears when the PA environment is _*a and _*u, and /h/ appears before _*i. For example: (232) Fuʃi 'star' vs. (128) hiru— 'wide'. The /h/ of (157) is an exception to this. However we should consider that (157) is the only case where the reflexes are at a word-boundary (this may also be the reason for Sib /hw/ in (157), since Sib has /h/ in all the other relevant lexical items).

From the above facts, we can infer that all the reflexes in Chart 401 are from the same proto-consonant, which we reconstruct as *p.

Chart 01. Modern reflexes for PA *p Pattern 1

No.	Sib	Sho	Nng	Oas	Yen	Yoa	San
(79)	h	h	F 〈L〉	F	h	h	p
128,153 261	h	h	h	h	h	h	p
143	h	F		h	h	h	p
(157)	hw	F	h	h	h	h	р
216	h	h		h		h	p
231,232 233	h	h	F	h	h	h	p

Reconstructing *p, rather than *h or *F, will be seen to be the most appropriate choice if we consider the phonetic plausibility and systematic consistency of the PA plosive series: i.e. *p, *t, and *k (cf. 2.3.2 and 2.3.3 for *t and *k respectively); and *b, *d, and *g (cf. 2.3.4,2.3.5, and 2.3.6 respectively).

Judging from its shape, I would suggest the possibility that Nas /Fukuro/ for 'bag' (79) is also a loan word from MdJ /Fukuro/ 'id'.

We have another two examples to consider as coming from *p, but here the San slots are blank (Chart 02).

As far as these correspondence patterns are concerned, when the San slots are empty, there appears to be no telling whether the reflexes are from *p or *k. This is because, with the exception of the San slots, the patterns related to *p and *k in certain intervocalic environments (cf.2.3.3) resemble one another very closely.

Note, however, that the environments for the above mentioned *p and *k cases are different. The eleven *p cases in Chart 01 are all found morpheme-initially, whereas the *k cases are found intervocalically between certain vowels (generally *ë) (cf.2.3.3).

Because they occur in the morpheme-initial position, we shall therefore ascribe the reflexes found in (34) and (61) to PA *p.

Chart 02. Modern reflexes for PA *p Pattern 2

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
34		_	h	h	h		_
61	h	h	h	h	h	_	

The sound changes that we have found for *p are as follows:

2.3.2 PA *t and allophone *ts

From almost forty items with /t/ throughout (pattern 1), we reconstruct PA *t (Chart 03).

In (46) for 'mountain', San has /d/ instead of /t/, as does Nas in (165) for 'place'. I would like to posit that these reflexes are from *t. Hence, the initial consonant of the PA words has become /d/ for an unknown reason. We can classify them as exceptions to Pattern 1, and unrelated to the similar reflexes we find in Pattern 4.

Chart 03. Modern reflexes for PA *t Pattern 1

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
9,12,70,96,108, 110,114a,114b, 115,116,146,147 164,225,							
246	t	t	t	t	t	t	t
8	t	t	t	t		t	t
15	-	t	t	t	_	_	t
67	t	t	without the state of the state		_	t	
71	t	t	_	$t \langle L \rangle$	$t \langle L \rangle$	t	t
72	t		t	t	t	t	t
73	t	t	volumen.	_	t	t	t (L)
87	t	t		t	t	$t \langle L \rangle$	t
95	t	t	t	_	t		_
105,169a 169b	t	t	t	t	t		t
107	t	t	t	t			t
111	t	_	t	t	t	t	t
112	_	t	t	t	t		_
113	t	t	t	t		variable	_
117	t	t	t	t	$t \langle L \rangle$		t
127	t		t	t	t	t	
145b		t	t	t	t	-	t

						Junnosuk	e Urakami
162	t	t	t	t	t	t	
167	t	t	t	t	_	t	
191	t	t		$t \langle L \rangle$			t
244		Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, whic		_	t	t	t

Chart 04. Modern reflexes for PA *t Pattern 2

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
46	t	t	t	t			d
165	t	t	t	d	t	t	ŧ

We find five tokens of a correspondence series which occurs both word-initially and word-medially (136) in the environment of _*ī. As this pattern is in complementary distribution with *t, we set up *ts as an allophone of *t.

Chart 05. Modern reflexes for PA *ts Pattern 2

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
(30)	t	t	ts	ts	ts 〈L''〉	ts	s
48	t?	t?	ts	ts	ts	ts	ts
77		t?	ts	ts	ts	ts	ts
136	_	t	ts	ts	ts	ts	ts
145a	_	ts	ts	ts	s	ts	ts

San /s/ in (30) and Yen /s/ in (145a) are exceptions.

The /ts/ in Sho (145a) is the only example we find for /ts/ for either Shi or Sho in our data. We will consider it an exception to Pattern 3, where otherwise in Sib and Sho we find that /t/ or /t?/ has replaced *ts. The choice of /t?/ may be influenced by the following consonant (_im in (48) and _in (77)), but we lack sufficient evidence for a firm determination. This patters, in fact, shows an interesting situation and is open to several different interpretations. We present this argument as the most plausible explanation, and note the issue of *ts as a point for further study.

In our data we have three cases where isolated /d/ appears in patterns otherwise consisting of /t/ throughout. This /d/ is (unlike Pattern 2) found in

Chart 06. Modern reflexes for PA *t Pattern 4

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
(7)	t~+d	+d	t	t	t	t	t
163	+d	t	t			t	_
166	t	+d	t	t	t		$t\ \langle L\rangle$

morpheme-initial position when the morpheme in quention is attached to another one which precedes it.

The voicing which takes place in this particular environment is similar to an occurrence in Japanese generally known as the 'rendaku' (lit. 'voicing') phenomena. There can be no doubt that this 'rendaku' is a synchronic phenomenon in the MdA dialects too. The alternation of Sib +duk $^{\sim}$ toki 'time' (7) provides us with evidence for this.

Therefore the proto-segment for the above cases can be postulated to have been *t. We find that PA *t has remained unchanged, and that tis allophone *ts has undergone the following change:

2.3.3 PA *k and allophone *h

As discussed in the following subsections, there is good reason to assume that PA *k had an allophone *h. We will discuss the rather complex differences in environment of these two proto-segments in the following subsection.

Chart 07. Modern reflexes for PA *k Pattern 1

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
			*k-				
29,96, 155a,248, 249	k	k	k	k	k	k	k
67	k	k		_		k	k
76	k	k	k		k	k	k
) 5	k	k	k	+	k	_	
97	k	_	k	k	and the other	_	k
100		k	k	k	k	k	k
(138)	k	k	k	k	k	k	p

55

Junnosuke Urakami

196	Annual Control of the	k	k	k	k	k	k
205	k	k	k	k	k		_
222	k	_	k	k	·,	k	k <l?></l?>
223	k	k	k		**********	_	
250	k	k	k	k	k	k	
7,30, 102,103, 225,251, 252 105 254	k k k	k k —	*-k- k k	k k k	k k k	k 	k k k <l?></l?>

The correspondences listed in Chart 07 above consist of MdA /k/ throughout. From these examples, we reconstruct *k.

San /p/ in (138) is as exception (cf. Chart 01 for PA *p in word-final position, and Chart 09 below for word-initial *k in complementary distribution).

On the other hand, we find seven tokens of a correspondence series consisting mainly of /h/ and an infrequent \emptyset in Yen, Yoa and San (Pattern 2). From these correspondences, we reconstruct *h.

This *h, however, and *k reconstructed above are found to be in complementary distribution in terms of their PA environments.

We find *h between *ë_*ë (44,45,46), *u_ë (56), *o_*o (155b, 160, and 165), and *a *a (84) (Note4). On the other hand, *k is found in the following environments: *u_*i (7), * \bar{i}_i 'i (30), * \bar{u}_i 'u (102,105), * \bar{u}_i 'a (251,252), #_(nine lexical items, Chart 408) (Note 5).

Due to this distribution pattern, we must conclude that giving phonemic status to *h is not justifiable. Therefore, I shall recognize *h as an allophone of *k.

Chart 08. Modern reflexes for PA *k Pattern 2-*h

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
44	h		h	h	Ø	Ø	Ø
4 5	h	h	h	h	h	Ø	h
46	h	h	h	h		_	h
66	h	h	h	h	h	h	_
4	h	h	h	h	h	h	h
(104)	_	h	h	h	h	h	Ø

(142)	h	h	h	h	h	*****	h
(155b)	h	h	k	h	Ø	Ø	Ø
(160)	h	h	h	h	k	h	Ø
(165)	ø∼h	Ø	Ø	Ø	k	k	Ø

Yen /k/ in (160) is an exception.

The occurrences of \emptyset in (165) are caused by the dropping of *h in this item, and are exceptions. Also, Yen and Yoa /k/ may be the result of lexical borrowing from MdJ tokoro 'id'.

The word-initial correspondences consisting of /h/ in San and /k/ throughout the other dialects may be the best evidence for postulating that *k had allophone *h (Pattern 3).

This correspondence pattern is found to be in almost exact complementary distribution with Pattern 1 (Chart 07). The PA environments for Pattern 3 are $\#_*aCi$ (40,41, and 42,), $\#_*i$ (25,60,157) and $\#_*u$ (43,68,91,92,101,87,141). The environment for (259) is irrecoverable. Pattern 1 has different environments (notably $\#_*o$), with the following exception: (100) and (248), where *k is found in $\#_*u$. We therefore ascribe Pattern 3 to *k.

Chart 09. Modern reflexes for PA *k Pattern 3

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
4,40,41,42,							
68,91,92, 101,157,							
(259)	k	k	k	k	k	k	h
25	k	k	k	k	k	k	h
43	k	k	_	k	k		h
60	k	k	k	k	k	k	h
87	k	k	_	k	k	k	h
141	k	k~h	k	k	k	<u></u>	h
(57)	k	k	k	k	_	k	_
(58)	k	k	k	k	k	_	
(216)	k	k	-	k	*****	k	h

The correspondences of (57) and (58) are vacant in the San slots. However, from the relevant PA environment, $\#_{\ddot{I}}$, I posit that the San reflexes would be /h/. Hence, I will classify these items into Pattern 3.

Correspondence Pattern 4, drawn from (88),(89), and (90) (Chart 10) may be lexically defined, and is, hence, an exception to Pattern 3.

From the initial consonants (/k/) of the lexical items in these three cases, and from the environments in which they are placed $(\#_u)$, we are able to predict that Yoa and San would have /h/.

The initial elements of the lexical items in (88),(89), and (90) (u- in Yoa and San, and ku- in the other dialects: perhaps for 'this') must have come from a common PA source. This can be reconstructed as *ku-'id'.

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
88	k	k	k	k	k	ø	Ø
89	k	PROFESSION.	k		k		Ø
90	_	k	k	k	k	Ø	Ø

PA *h has remained unchanged in all dialects. For PA *k, we have found the following sound changes :

2.3.4 PA *b

Seven items show the pattern consisting of /b/ throughout (Pattern 1, Chart 11)). From them, we reconstruct PA *b. Sib \emptyset in (108) is an exception.

Chart 11. Modern reflexes for PA *b Pattern 1

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
50,60,68	b	b	b	b	b	b	b

108	Ø	b	b	b	b	b	b
95	b	Ъ	Ъ		b	NAME OF THE PARTY.	_
209	b	a_strans	b	b	b	b	b
215	b	b	*****	b	_	Approxima	energy.

It is one of the sound changes specific to Sib and Sho that word-final *i and *u in the environment of $C_{\#}$ are lost. (Note 3).

This change has resulted in closed syllables for Sib and Sho. The voiced consonants which have thus become word-final, have subsequently been devoiced in both Sib and Sho.

For instance, PA *tabi 'journey' > Sib tap 'id' and Sho tap 'id' (191). The correspondence pattern found in Chart 12 having /p/ in Sib and Sho, and /b/ in the other dialects (Pattern 2) is the result of this devoicing process.

Chart 12. Modern reflexes for PA *b Pattern 2

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
193	p	р	b	b	b	b	b
196		p	b	b	b	b	b
191	p	p		$b \langle L \rangle$	b	b	b

The correspondences of both (226b) and (238) include /b/ and /m/ (Chart 13). Chart 13. Modern reflexes for PA *b Pattern 3

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
226b	b	b	b	b	b	m	m
238	b	m	b	b	b	b	b

I would put the presence of /m/ to lexical borrowing from MdJ; viz. (226b) Yoa mamor (in) 'to defend', San mamor (jun) 'id':: MdJ mamor (u) 'id'; (238) Sho k' umo 'spider':: MdJ kumo 'id'.

One item shows a pattern consisting of /b/ and \emptyset . I have not found any environmental or other evidence to justify a sound change PA *b > \emptyset in Yen, Yoa, and San. Nor do we find any Japanese word(s) to suggest lexical borrowing. Therefore, I will simply treat this case as an exception.

Chart 14. Modern reflexes for PA *b Pattern 4

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
122	b	b	b	b	Ø	Ø	Ø

For PA *b, we find the following sound changes:

*b >
$$P/_i(>\emptyset)$$
in Sib, Sho
> b elsewhere

2.3.5. PA *d

We find eight cases supporting a correspondence series consisting of /d/ throuhout (Chart 15). Therefore, we reconstruct *d.

Chart 15. Modern reflexes for PA *d Pattern 1

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
17,45 75,118	d	d	d	d	d	d	d
74	$d \langle L \rangle$	neconomic .	d	d	d	d	d
76	d	d	d		d	d	d
198	_	d	d	d	d	d	d

We treat the correspondence of (11) and (168) as a case of the 'rendaku' phenomena (cf.2.3.2) in PA.

Chart 16. Modern reflexes for PA *d Pattern 2

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
11	d	d	d	d	d	d	t

The /d/ in (11) found throughout the dialects with the exception of San is, in all cases, the initial segment of the second element of a compound word for 'spirit of the dead' (observe this in the master list).

The San word tsuuntamasii should be analyzed as tsuu 'person' (cf.(10) San tsu 'person') plus a genitive marker n plus tamasii (perhaps tama $+ \sin$). Consider that we have no evidence that 'rendaku' applies when the element in question follows a genitive

marker in MdA.

Based upon the above argument, we must consider that, as a compound word, the initial phoneme of the second element was *d.

Unless PA had this *d as a 'rendaku' case, we connot account for the fact that all the modern dialects (except San, in which tamasi is not considered to be part of a compound word) show *d for this case.

PA *d has been retained as /d/ in all the modern dialects to the present day.

2.3.6 PA *g

We have twelve items with the correspondence /g/ throughout. We reconstruct PA *g from them (Pattern 1).

Sib /k/ in (158) is as exception. The reflex /g/ in Ong, Nas, and Yen stands as the initial consonant of the second element of a compound word (cf. master list). It is a retention of PA *g, which is hence relevant to the 'rendaku' phenomena (cf.2.3.2; 2.3.5) of PA. The Sib /k/ on the other hand, is the initial segment of an independent word, kumor 'to shout'. As we have in this series three +/g/'s and one /k/, we will treat the reconstruction as a case of 'rendaku'.

Chart 17. Modern reflexes for PA *g Pattern 1

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
31,32,40,			1.01.000				
147,161	g	g	g	g	g	g	g
65		_	g	g	_	g	g
67	*g	+g		water. Print	namouline	+g	
91	g	_	g	g	g	g	g
99	+g	+g	^{+}g	+g	+g		
106	g	g	g	g	_	g	g
113	+g		+g	+g		_	_
127	g		g	g	g	g	
(158)	$\#\mathbf{k}$		+g	+g	+g	_	_
162	g	g	g	g	g	g	

We have a correspondence series consisting of /k/ in Sib and Sho, and /g/ in the other dialects (Pattern 2). We can relate this pattern to the devoicing process of PA * b as seen in Sib and Sho (cf.2.3.4).

Chart 18. Modern reflexes for PA *g Pattern 2

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
186	k		g	g			σ
187	k	k	g	_	g	g	g g 〈L〉
201	k	k	g	g	g	g	g
245	k	k	g	g	g	g	g

For PA *g, we have found the following sound changes :

*g
$$>$$
 k/_i,u(> 0)# in Sib, Sho
> g elsewhere

2.3.7 PA *s

PA has *s, which has remained as /s/ in the seven modern dialects regardless of environment, with a few rare exceptions (Chart 19).

The Nase /ʃ~s/ in (258) may be due to lexical borrowing from MdJ or Kyushu dialect (hereafter Kyu), cf. Nas ase ~aʃe 'sweat', MdJ ase 'id', and Kyu aʃe 'id'. For Nas aʃe 'id', refer to Nas kaze 'wind', which may be from MdJ kaze 'id', or Kyu kaze 'id' (259). Chart 19. Modern reflexes for PA *s

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
32,44, 245,249			10140				
245,249	s	s	s	s	s	S	s
80	s	S	S	s		s	S
130	s	_	S	s		s	s
(131)	SS	s		_	S		3
.33	s		S	_	_	_	
50a,b	s		s	S	6	s	
84	s	s	_		S	S	S
212)	(_	S	-		S
224)	3	S	S	S	S	S	S
	S	S	S	S	s	SS	SS
258)	s	s	s	s~ʃ	s	s	s

The /\$\int Sib (212), and in the Ong doublet in (258) must be treated as exceptions. The occurrences of /ss/\$'s in Sib (131) and Yoa (224) are exeptions.

We have found the PA *s has not undergone any sound changes to date.

2.3.8 PA *z

Evidence in support of the existence of *z in PA is neither plentiful nor powerful. We find only three lexical items exemplifying it, as observed in the following subsections.

However, it is nevertheless possible to justify the reconstruction of *z, for although our cases are few in number, they show a certain consistency in their patterning.

We find two iters with a correspondence series consisting of /d/ in Sib and Sho, and /z/ in the other dialects.

Observe how *z contrasts with *d; e.g. (92) *kuzu 'last year' vs. (17) *wuduri 'dance, jumping'. We therefore reconstruct *z from these correspondences.

Chart 20. Modern reflexes for PA *z Pattern 1

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
92	d	d	Z	Z	Z	z	Z
259	d	d	Z	3	Z	z	Z

We find /3/ in Nas in the correspondence of (259). The syllable is /3e/. This may be due to lexical borrowing from Kyu Kaze 'wind' (cf.2.3.7).

Patters 2, discussed in this subsection, is represented by only one item. However, it becomes of particular interest if we observe it in parallel to PA *b and *g, which became /p/ and /k/ respectively in word-final position in Sib and Sho (cf,2.3.4 and 2.3. 6). Observe that we have /d/ in Sib and Sho in (92) and (259), whereas we have /t/ in (203), where the reflex is in word-final position.

We can therefore reasonably ascribe the reflexes of (203) to the same PA segment as those of (92) and (259), that is to PA *z.

Chart 21. Modern reflexes for PA *z Pattern 2

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
203	t	t	Z	z	Z	z	Z

We have found the following sound changes for PA *z:

Junnosuke Urakami

$$z$$
 > d/_u z in Sib, Sho > z/elsewhere

2.3.9 PA *5

We have four tokens of a correspondence series with $/\sqrt{j}$ throughout (Chart 22). From them we reconstruct * \sqrt{j} for PA (Note 6).

Chart 22. Modern reflexes for PA * Pattern 1

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
82	S	Ş	S	S	S	S	ſ
.15	_	-14		_		S	ĺ
211	S	ſ	S	S	S	S	
(104SF)	_	ſ	-MANAGEME		_	S	S

The pattern consisting of /s/ in Nas and /J/ throughout the other dialects is found in the seven items listed in Chart 23 below.

Chart 23. Modern reflexes for PA * Pattern 2

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
21,60,							-
232,252	S	ſ	S	S	S	S	ſ
8	S	S	S	S	S	((
15		_	S	s			,
149	_	ſ	(S	ſ	(,
(4)	ſ	(ſ	ſ	,	,	,
(87)	ĺ	ſ		,	j	3)
(205)	,	, C	C	3))	7
(200)	y	7	ì)	ſ	S	_

The pattern is found when the environment comes from PA $_*i.$

Three lexical items (4,87, and 205) are exceptions to this Pattern. However, it is very likely that Nas / \S i/ in (205) is caused by interdialectal lexical borrowing; cf. (205) Nas këë \S i, from PA *kë: \S i 'tidal wave caused by typhoon', is culturally very specific. Thus, Nas ku \S i, 'waste' in (4) and Nas kutu \S i (87) are the only unexplainable items which I will define as exceptions.

Therefore I shall ascribe the reflexes in Chart 25 to PA *j.

For this ascription, remember also why we decided to phonemicize $/\Si/$, /3i/, and $/t\Si/$ for the Amami syllables $[\Si]$, [d3i], and $[t\Si]$ respectively, rejecting the traditional pronemicization of /si/, /zi/, and /ti/. This was because we respected the phonetic reality rather than the more abstract phonemicization seen in Hirayama (1966). This approach has been used in setting up our PA phonemes too.

We have found the following sound change for PA *\(\):

*
$$\S$$
 > s/_i in Nas
 \S elsewhere

2.3.10 PA *3

We find only one set of corresponding reflexes that lead us to the reconstruction of *3 for PA. As was the case for *z in 2. 3. 8, this reconstruction can be supported by examining it with reference to *\sqrt{3} and the devoicing phenomena of word-final consonants in Sib and Sho.

Chart 24. Modern reflexes for PA *3

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
146	ts	tſ	3	z	3	3	3

Observe first the z in the Nas slot. This z is found before \bar{z} .

For (146), let us refer to the fact that PA *\(\)i has become \(\)si/ in Nas (2.3.9).

Sib and Sho /tʃ/ in (146) can be accounted for by a phenomenon parallel to the devoicing phenomena of word-final voiced consonants (e.g.2.3.4 for *bi)>p# in Sib and Sho, etc.).

Based upon the above examination, we shall recognize the existence of *3 in PA.

We have found the following sound changes for *3:

*3 >
$$t\int_{i}^{t}$$
 in Sib, Sho
> $z/_{i}$ in Nas
> 3 elsewhere

2.3.11. PA *ts

In all environments other than $_*i$, we find correspondences consisting unpredictably of /tt// and /t//, with isolated exceptions /t/ and //// (Pattern 1). This denotes that

Pattern 1 and 2 are found in complementary distribution. Therefore, the reflexes of the two patterns should be ascribed to the same proto-segment.

Chart 25. Modern reflexes for PA *ts Pattern 1

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
10	ttʃ~	tS	tts	ttʃ	tt\^~	ttʃ	tſ
	tſ				tſ		
11	ttʃ	t∫	tt\	tt(ttʃ~	tſ	tſ
14	ttʃ	t∫	t∫	tt(-	tſ	tſ
15		t∫	t∫	tt\			t١
16	tſ	tſ	tſ	t	SS	manners	t
100	SS.	SS.	SS.	tt\		t∬	S
125	_	_	_		tſ	t∬	t∫
228	tt∫	tt∫	tt(tt§	tt§	tt∫	tts

We find two items of a correspondence series with /ts/ in Nas and /tʃ/ in the other dialects (with an exception /ttʃ/ in Yen and San (235)). The common environment for these correspondences is PA *_i which has become /ī/ in Nas. Thus, the relevant Nas syllable is /tsī/.

Based upon the parellel phenonemon with /si/ in Nas and /si/ in the other dialects (cf.2.3.9), we reconstruct *ts for PA.

Chart 26. Modern reflexes for PA *tJ Pattern 2

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
124	tſ	tŚ	ts	ts	tſ	t∫	t∫
235	t∫	t∫	tſ	ts	tt\	t§	tt∫

We find the following sound change for PA *t\scripts:

*t
$$\S$$
 > ts/_i in Nas

t \S /_i in the other dialects

> tt \S oh t \S in other environments

Note that a strong case can be made for reconstructing *s and *ts, rather than *\(\) and *t\(\), but that we have chosen to present the latter.

2.3.12 PA *m

We have numerous examples for the correspondence pattern consisting of /m/ throughout (Chart 27). We reconstruct *m for PA from these examples. Note that this pattern is observed only in word-initial position.

The occurrences of Ø in Ong, Yen and Yoa (22) are exceptions.

The occurrence of \emptyset in San (22) is also an exception, and unrelated to the argument presented in the next sub-section.

Sib doublet /n/ in (226a) is an exception.

Chart 27. Modern reflexes for PA *m Pattern 1

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
			*m-				
27,53a,62,78a, 125,126,207, 230a,234,235, 252							
252	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
16	m	m	m	m	m	400000	m
(22)	m	m	Ø	m	Ø	Ø	Ø
(23)	m	m	m	m	m	Ø	m
63		_	m	m	m	m	m
64	m		m	m	m		
65	_		m	m		m	m
73	m	m	_	_	m	m	$m \ \langle L \rangle$
85	m	m	$m\ \langle L \rangle$	m	m	m	m
117	m	m	m	m	$m \ \langle L \rangle$	***	m
127	m		m	m	m	m	m
142	m	m	m	m	m	_	m
194	m	m	m	m	m	_	m
199a		m	m	m	m	m	m
210		m	m	m	m	_	m
211	m	m	m	m	m	_	m
217	m	m		m	regionalites		-
(226a)	m	m~n	m	m	m	m	m
237	m		m	m	m	angli Andrew	$m \ \langle L \rangle$
255	m	m	m	m	_	m	m

However, PA *m has been lost in San in intervocalic position. This sound change has created a correspondence pattern having \emptyset in San (Chart 28).

Four cases (11,23,28,29,169,236a) in Chart 428 are exceptions, uneplainably showing /m/ in San.

Although the San slots are blank for six lexical items, we can ascribe them to Pattern 2 based on their word-medial position.

The case of (230a,b) is the single example of the consonant string *mm. Note that the second /m/ has been lost in San.

Chart 28. Modern retlexes for PA *m Pattern 2

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
37,41,42,48 53b,78b, 164,230b							
164,230b	m	m	m	m	m	m	Ø
(35)	m	m	m	n~m	n	$m \ \langle L \rangle$	Ø
13	m	m		m	m	_	Ø
07	m	_	m	attitibude	_	necessis.	Ø
.18			m	m		m	Ø
.23	m		m	m	m	m	Ø
.30	m		m	m	_	m	Ø
.35	m	_	m	m	_	m	Ø
.45	_	m	m	m	m	_	Ø
.49		m	m	m	m	m	Ø
.79	m	m	m	m		m	ø
(11,29, (36a,230a)	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
(23)	m	m	m	m	m	ø	m
(28)	_	m	$m \langle L \rangle$	m	m	m	m
(169)	m	m	m	m	m		m
112)		m	m	m	m		
[158]	m	manner	m	m	m	_	
163)	m	m	m			m	
167)	m	m	m	m	_	m	_
220)	$m \langle L \rangle$	$m \langle L \rangle$	m	m	m	m	
223)	m	m	m				

We find two tokens of a correspondence series with /m/ in Sho, /n/ in San, with /m/ or /n/ in the other dialects and Sib blank in both cases). This correspondence pattern is observed only in the environment of $_*i$: (Note 3).

Chart 29. Modern reflexes for PA *m Pattern 3

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
199b	_	m	n	n	n	n	n
200		m	m	m	distribution.	m	n

We have found the following sound changes for *m:

*m
$$> \emptyset/V_V$$
 in San $> m$ elsewhere

2.3.13 PA *n

PA had *n which has not undergone any changes to the time of MdAm. Examples for this are ample (Chart 30).

Chart 30. Modern reflexes for PA *n

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
23,50,70,84, 88,121,122, 123,126,157, 160,161, 201;233,241 251,261	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
6	n		n	_	n	n	n
18	n		n	n	n	n	n
19	n	n	n	n	n	_	n
67	n	n		_	**************************************	n	_
77	anaum.	n	-	n	n	n	_
89	n		n	_	n	_	n
97	n	_	n	n	_		n
106	n	n	n	n	NAMES OF THE PARTY	n	n
113	n	n	n	n		_	-
127	n		n	n	n	n	n
166	n	n	n	n	n		$n \langle L \rangle$
171	n		n	n	n	n	$n \ \langle L \rangle$
172	n	_	n	n	$n \ \langle L \rangle$	_	_
198	_		n	n	n	n	n

As we observed in the previous subsection, we find that PA *n is retained as /n/ everywhere in every modern dialect.

2.3.14 PA *r

PA *r, like *n discussed in 2.3.14 above. is well-preserved in the modern Amami dialects (Chart 33).

Chart 33. Modern reflexes for PA *r

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
9,17,68,148,			9 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10.00			
153,155, 165,226							
9,17,68,148, 153,155, 165,226, 248	r	r	r	r	r	r	r
6	r		r		r	r	_
18	r	-	r	r	r	r	r
19	r	r	r	r	r		r
28		r	r 〈L?〉	r	r	r	r
34	_	-	r	r	r		
35	_	_	r	r	_	r	r
71	r	r	_	$r \langle L \rangle$	$r \langle L \rangle$	r	r
79	r	r	$r \langle L \rangle$	r	r	r	r
90		r	r	r	r	r	r
99	r	r	r	r	r		_
102	r		r	r	r	r	r
106	r	r	r	r	-	r	r
111	r		r	r	$r \langle L \rangle$	r	r
(113)	rj		r	r	_	_	-
21	r	MARKA.	r	r		r	r
.22	r	-	r	r	r	r	r
127	r		r	r	r	r	_
.29	_	r	r	r	MAAAA	r	r
134	parameter.		_	r		r	r
149		r	r	r	r	r	r
.52	-	_	r	_	r	r	r
58	r		r	r	r		-
60	_			r	4-mbra	r	r
63	r	_				r	
72	r		r	r	$r \langle L \rangle$	WOODS ATTA	
75	r~d	r	r	r	_	r	r

176	r	r		$r \langle L \rangle$	r	r	r
180		r	r	r	r	r	r
202	r	war-		r	r	r	r
215	r	r		r	_		
220	$r \langle L \rangle$	$r \ \langle L \rangle$	r	r	r	r	
237	r		r	r	r	Name of the latest state o	$r \langle L? \rangle$
247	r	r	r	r	r		r
250	r	r	r	r	r	r	_
255	r	r	r	r	AAAMAAN	r	r

Shi /rj/ in (113) is the only exception to this pattern.

PA *r has not undergone any sound changes.

2.3.15 PA *j 2.3.15.1 Prevocalic *j

We find a correspondence series of 20 items with $/\mathrm{j}/$ throughout. From them we reconstruct *j for PA.

Chart 32. Modern reflexes for PA *j

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
75,84,103,118, 189,214	i	į	i	i	į	i	i
35	i	i	i	i	i	j 〈L〉	i
74	j 〈L〉	_	j	j	j	j	j
83	j	j	j		j		j
(85)	n	j	$n \ \langle L \rangle$	j	j	j	j
89	j	manufactures	j		j		j
102	j	_	j	j	j	j	j
132	_		j	j	_	j	j
133	j	_	j		_	j	_
134		j	police November	j	_	j	j
135	j	j	j	j	******	j	j
136		j	j	j	j	j	j
152	duction	-	j	_	j	j	j
175	j	j	j	j	-	j	j
200	***************************************	j	j	j	******	j	j
209	j		j	j	j	j	j

71

Junnosuke Urakami

217	j	j	Manual Control of the	j	_	or contractors	
254	j		_	j	j		$j~\langle L?\rangle$

Sib /n/ in (85) is an exception, which may be the result of the change $_*$ jo in PA *majo 'cocoon' to /n/, but the cause of the change remains unexplained.

2.3.15.2 Postcontonantal *j

We find eight lexical items with palatalized consonants in Sib and Sho (exceptions being Sho /n/ in (124) and blanks in (192) in both dialects), with unpredictably scattered palatalized consonants elsewhere.

These cases are found both word initially, and word-medially in the environment *i $_V$. As we find contrasting unmarked C; e.g. (153) *piru vs. (128) *pirju we therefore ascribe these cases to *Cj.

Chart 33. Modern realexes for PA *Cj

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San	PA
			-	_*Cj_				
20	nj	nj	n	_	nj	n	n	*nj
21	rj	rj	r	r	r	r	r	*rj
22	nj	nj	n?j	mj	nj	nj	nj	*nj
73	nj	nj			n	nj	n 〈L〉	*nj
(124)	nj	n?j	n?j	n?j	n	n?j	n?j	*nj
128	rj	rj	r	r	r	r	r	*rj
				*Cj—			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
192		_	nj	nj	nj	nj	nj	*nj
204	kj	kj	k	kj	kj	kj	h	*kj

PA *j has been retained as /j/ everywhere in all the modern dialects.

PA *Cj has undergone the following sound changes:

2.3.16 PA *w

We have numerous tokens of a correspondence series consisting of mostly /w/ with scattered \emptyset 's. Therefore, we reconstruct *w for PA.

Although our data does not happen to contain any examples of word-initial /w-/ other thas /wu/, Hirayama (1966) attests the existence of word-initian /wa/.

The sporadic occurrence of \emptyset in the word-initial environment of $_*u$ is unexplainable. However, the occurrence of \emptyset in word-medial position in Sib and Sho may be related to the environment *a.

Chart 34. Modern retexes for PA *w

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
			*w				
31,241	w	w	w	w	w	w	w
12	W	w	Ø	w	w	w	w
17	w	Ø	w	w	w	w	w
56	w	w	w	w	w	Ø	~~~
178	w	Ø	w	w	w	w	w
201	w	w	$\mathbf{w}^{\sim} \emptyset \langle L? \rangle$	w	w	Ø 〈L?〉	w
239	w	w	w	Ø	w	_	_
240	w	_	w	w	w		w
242	_	w	w	w	w	w	w
			*w-				
83	Ø	Ø	_	w	_	w	

We find the following sound changes for PA *w:

2.3.17 PA *k? and allophone *kk

If we gather from our data all the correspondences with $/k^?/$ and /or/ kk/, we find patterns which can be summarized as belonging to two types :

Eg. (20)
$$k$$
? k k ? kk k ? k

2) pattern not having /k?/ (Pattern 2)

Eg. (183) k k k kk kk k k

These two patterns are found in complementary

Chart 35. Modern retlexes for PA *k? Pattern 1

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
20	k '?	k	k?	#10. Au	kk	k?	k
148	k	k	k	k	k '?	kk	kk
176	k?	k		$k \ \langle L \rangle$	kk	k	k
179	k?	k '	kk	kk		k?	k '?
180		k	kk	k	kk	k ?	k ''
187	k ?	k '?	k		k	k	k 〈L〉
202	k?	k	k	k	k	k	k?
203	k '?	k '?	k	k	k	k	k
238	k	k '?	k?	k	k	k	k
(255)	k '?	h	k	k	**************************************	kk	

distribution; viz. Pattern 1 is found word-initially and pattern 2 intervocalically. Therefore we set up *k? and its allophone *kk.

Although we see a clear complementary distribution, the patterning is nonetheless very undifferentiated, an inconsistency perhaps pointing to a merger with the reflexes of *k.

Sho /h/ in (255) from Chart 35 is an exception. Chart 36. Modern reflexes for PA *kk Pattern 2

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
79	kk	g	k 〈L〉	k	kk	k	kk
140	k	g	k	k	k~kk		
(143)	k	k		kk	k ?	kk	kk
182	k		k	k	k	kk	k
183	k	k	k	kk	kk	k	k
184	k	k	k	kk	k	_	k
194	k	k	k	kk	k		k

Note that in Chart 36, Yen $/k^?/$ in (143) is an exception, being the only example of $/k^?/$ in the intervocalic position. Sho /g/'s in (79) and (140) are also exceptions. We find the following sound changes for PA * $k^?$:

74

$$k^2$$
 > k^2 , kk , $k/\#$ _in all dialects

2.3.18 Tentative reconstructions: PA *t2, *j2, and *kkw

We find three instances where only limited data (one or two lexical items) leads us to reconstruct tentative proto-segments for various reasons.

2.3.18.1 PA *t?

Although this reflex is found in just one item, we tentatively reconstruct t, noting its parallelism with k? (2.3.17).

Chart 37. Modern reflexes for PA *t

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San	PA
13	t?	t?	t?	tt	t?	t?	t?	*t?

2.3.18.2 PA *j?

We find one case with /j? / in Sho, Ong, Nas, Yen, San, and an exception /j in Yoa and blank in Sib. Because this item contrasts with (132) PA *ju 'lifetime' we reconstruct *j?.

Chart 38. Modern reflexes for PA *j?

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
243		j?	j?	j?	j?	j	j?

2.3.18.3 PA *kkw

We find one example with labio-velar /kkw/ throughout, Based on contrasts such as (41) -kamï 'pot' vs. (66) *kkwa 'child' we tentatively reconstruct *kkw.

Chart 39. Modern reflexes for PA *kkw

No.	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San
66	kkw						

NOTES

- 1. Nakamoto 1979 gives the Ryukyuan forms only phonetic notation.
- 2. Note that we do very occasionally observe syllables in the shape of CwV; i.e. with a labial glide. However, as this is irrelevant to our present argument, we shall pass over this type of glide for the moment.
- 3. The overall reconstruction process of the PA vowels will be presented in the article under the tentative title "Proto-Amami" in the Annals of Gifu University for Education and Languages, No 24.

The annals is to be published in the Autumn of 1992.

- 4. The PA vowels before and after *h in (104) and (142) are irrecoverable bacause of the inconsistent shapes of the dialectal forms.
- 5. The PA vowels before and after *k in (103) are also irrecoverable.
- 6. For (4), (87), (205), see Chart 23 and the relevant paragraphs.

REFERENCES

Bynon, Theodora 1977. Historical linguistics, Cambridge. Cambridge University Press.

Chambers, J.K. & Trudgill, P. 1984ed. Dialectology. Cambridge, Camgridge University Press.

Hirayama, Teruo et al 1966. Ryukyu hogen no sogo-teki kenkyu [Studies on the dialects of Ryukyu] Tokyo : Meiji shoin.

Matsumoto Katsumi 1984 'Gengo-shi no saiken to gengo fuhen. [Reconstruction of the history of languages and language universality] 'Gengo Kenkyū. Vol.

Nakamoto, Masachie 1976. Ryukyu hōgen on'in no kenkyū [Studies in the phonology of the Ryukyu dialects] . Tokyo : Hosei daigaku press.

Osada, Suma & Suyama, Nahoko 1977. Amami hōgen bunrui jiten [Classified dictionary of the Amami dialects] . Tokyo : Kasama Shoin.

Ōtsuki, Fumihiko 1932-1937. Daigenkai. Tokyo: Toyama Shobō.

Serafim, Leon A. 1985. Shodon: the prehistory of a Northers Ryukyuan dialects of Japan. Tokyo: Honpo Shoseki.

Shibata, Takeshi & Yasuko, Mitsuishi 1979. 'Historical relationship between the Nara-period Old Japanese and the dialect of Shiba, Kakeroma, Amami islands'. Explorations in linguistics, Papesr in honor of K. Inoue.

Vance, Timothy J. 1987. An introduction to Japanese phonology. SUNY press: Albany, NY.

				APPENDI	APPENDIX ONE: MASTER LIST	ASTER LIS				
O.	vo. Gloss	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San	OJ	No.
-	'capital'	mijaku				THE PARTY OF THE P		mijako 〈L?〉	mi,jako,	1
2	'next door'	tunar	I	1	tonari $\langle L \rangle$	I	I	(so)tonari	to,nari	2
က	'Shinto prayer'	1	I	nurito	1	l	nurito	norito $\langle L \rangle$	no ₂ rito ₁	8
- 1	'waste'	kUʃ	kuʃ~ kuʃi	kuʃi	kuļi	koji	kuʃi	hu§i	ko_2si	4
.0	'steaming basket'	l	kuʃiki	kajiki—	kajike	kajiki—	kajiki	kuʃsi	ko_2 siki	ις
9	'impurity'	nikkrī(rjun)	1	nikkre—	ı	nīgīre	nīguri	nīgīrī	nig02ri	9
r-	'time'	duk ⁻ toki	duk	tuki	tuki	tuki	toki	tuki	to_2ki_1	7
∞	'time, years'	tu∫ii	tuʃi	tuʃi	tusī	ļ	toļi	tuʃi	to ₂ si	∞
6	'bird'	tuur	tur	turi	turi	turi	tori	turi	to ₂ ri	6
10	'person'	tʃu~ ttʃuu	tĵun	tťu	tt∫uu	tʃu⁻ ttʃu	ttʃu	tĵu	pi,to_2	10
Ξ	'spirit of the dead'	ttʃuu.dama	t/uu.dama	ttʃudama	tt∫udama~ t∫uudama	tt∫udama` t∫udama	tʃuudama	tʃuuntamasii	pi,to ₂ dama	11
12	12 'husband'	wutu	wutuu	utu	wutu	wutu	wutu	wutu	$wopi_1to_2$	12
13	'one'	t?I(t)	$\mathbf{t}^2\Pi(\mathbf{t})$	t²∏(tsI)	ttīī(tsī)	t?II(tsI)	$t^2\Pi(t;i)$	$t^2\Pi(tsi)$	pi_1to_2	13
7	'one day'	tt∫ui	tjii	tʃui	tt∫ui	1	tʃii	t§ii	$\mathrm{pi}_1\mathrm{to}_2\mathrm{pi}_1$	14
15	one year,	1	t(ntn(n)	tʃutuʃi	tt∫utusī	I	1	tʃuutuʃi	pi,to2to1se	15
16	'three years'	mit)uu	mitʃuu	mit/uu	mitusī	mi∬u	ı	mituʃi	mi,to2se	16
17	'dance, jumping`	wudur	udur	wuduri	wuduri	wuduri	wuduri	wuduri	wodo ₂ ri	17
18	'paste'	nurii	I	nuri	nuri	nuri	nuri	nuri	no ₂ ri	18
19	'laver'	nurii	nurii	nuri	nuri	nori	1	nuri	no ₂ ri	19
20	'yesterday'	k²injuu	kinjuu	k²inu		kkinju	\mathbf{k}^{2} inu	kinuu	ki_1no_2pu	20
21	'white'	/irju—	(ir.ju	ÿıru—	sīru)iru)iru)iru	$siro_1$	21
22	'straw raincoat'	minjo^ minjoo	minjo~ minjoo	of⊱n	mmjo	njo	oju	njun	mi ₁ no ₂	22

Junnosuke Urakami

No.	No. Gloss	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San	OJ	No.
23	'chisel'	numi~ numii	numi	numi	numi	nomi	nnu	mnu	no ₂ mi ₂	23
24	'waking'	uhi	uhi(jun)	hwi(ru)	hīr(jun)	hu(n)	hī(n)	(u)nn	oki ₂	24
25	'tree'	кп	kï	kī	kï	kī	kï	hīī	ki _z	25
56	'fire'	***************************************	1	ſ	1	matsī		I	, id	26
27	'fruit'	mi	mii	mi	mi	mi	mi	mi	mi,	27
28	ʻgrudge'	I	uram	—urami $\langle L? \rangle$	uram(f)	urami	urami	uram(jun)	$\frac{1}{2}$ urami $_2$	58
29	'bog'	kam- kami	kam	kami	kami	kami	kami	kami	kami ₂	59
30	'moon'	tīkii~ udēk	tik—~ —dīki—	tsīki	tsīki	tsīki 〈L?〉	tsīki	sīki	tuki ₂	30
31	'reed'	wugi	wugii	wugi	wuqi	wugi	wugi	wugi	$wuoi_2$	31
32	'J cedar'	sīgi	sīgii	sīgi	sīgi	sfīgi	sīgi	sīgi	$sugi_2$	32
33	'clover'	hagi	1				hagi $\langle L \rangle$	hagi 〈L?〉	pagi ₂	33
34	'winnower'	1	ŀ	hiri	hiri	hir(u)	ı	I	pi ₂	34
35	'darkness'	—jam	—jam	—jam	—jan~ jami	jan—	$jami {-} \langle L \rangle$	jaa—	jami ₂	35
36	'bond'	ikee~ ike		ihe	ı	ike	ihi	ike 〈L?〉	ike ₂	36
37	'dream'	imī	imī	imī	imī	imï	imi	J²uu	ime ₂	37
38	'surface'	uï	ш	uīī	LE	п	ie	w?ī	npe ₂	38
39	'plum tree'	I	I	$ \text{ume } \langle L \rangle$	1	1	-	ume $\langle L \rangle$	ume ₂	39
40	'shadow'	kagëë	kagëë	kagï	kagë	kagë	kagë	hagë	$kage_2$	40
1	'pot'	kamï	−gamˆ kamï	kamï	kamï	kamï	kami	hau	$kame_2$	41
	'tortoise'	kamï	kamĭ	kamï	kamĭ	kamï	kami	hau	$kame_{z}$	42
43		kumï	kumï	1	kumï	kīmī		huu	ko ₂ me ₂	43
7	'J liquor'	sīhë	se(ggwa)	sëhë	sëhë	sĭe	sī(h)ë	see	sake ₂	44
4	'bamboo'		dīhëë	dëhë	dëhë	dëhë	dë(h)ë	dehe	take ₂	45
91	46 'mountain' t	tëhëë —dëhëë	tîhëë	tëhë	tëhë	I		dehe	take ₂	46

No.	No. Gloss	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San	OJ	No.
47	47 'sake'								tame ₂	47
: ×	Sanc Solaw,	t<īmī	t²imiï	tsîmî	tsīmī	tsīmī	tsīmī	tsfī	tume ₂	48
67	'seedling'	nei	nëë	nai	n²jë	nae	nae	nai	nape ₂	49
9.00		nabëë	nabīī	nabï	nabï	nabë	nabī	nabī	nabe ₂	20
51		1	ı	I	1	hake $\langle L \rangle$	I	hake 〈L?〉	pake ₂	91
52	,ÁIJ,	hĩe hwei	Fëë	Fë	hīī	hë	hai	pai	pape ₂	52
53	'beans'	mamï	mamī	mamï	mamī	mame	mamī	mau	$mame_2$	53
10	'serions'	***		1	ı		ı	1	$mame_2$	54
i ic		ı	wakame (L)	wakame $\langle L \rangle$	ļ		wakame	wahame $\langle L \rangle$	$wakame_2$	55
92	-	wuhī	wuhī	wuhï	wuhi	wuhë	nhu	1	$woke_2$	99
70		kii	kп	kī	kī		kī	ļ	ke_2	57
, xx		—kï	— k Tī	—kī	kī	-ke	1		ke_2	28
66		1	1	ļ	I		1		ke ₂	59
09		kību∫i	k ību(kībuļi	kībīsi	kībuļi	kībuļi	kībuļi	ke ₂ buri	09
61	'food receptacle`	—hi—	- hi - hi	hi	hi	—hi—	1	1	pe ₂	61
65	٠.	mĭ	mīī	mï	mĩ	mĩ	mï	mĩ	me ₂	62
63			****	mĩ	mĭ	-mï	mī	mĩ	me_2	63
- 64		më	1	më—	mī—	më—	1	ı	me ₂ gusi	64
65		1	ı	mïguri	mīguri	l	mīgīr(jīn)	muguri	me ₂ guri	65
99	child'	kkwaa	kkwaa	kkwa	kkwa	kkwa	kkwa	kkwa	ko_1	99
29	'short sword'	kugatana	kugatanaa	I	ŀ	l	kugatana	1	ko ₁ gatana	29
89		kubura	kubura	kubura	kubura	kubura	kubura	hubura	komura	89
69		ı	I	ļ	ĺ	i	1	ļ	$neko_1$	69
0.2	70 public person	tunëë—	tunī—	tunī —	tone—	tone—	tone	tone	to _i ne	20
7.1	71 'tiger'	turaa	turaa	ı	tura $\langle L \rangle$	tora 〈L?〉	tura	tura	to,ra	71

Junnosuke Urakami

No.	No. Gloss	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San	OJ	N o
72	ʻtrace'	atoo		ato	ato	ato	-ato	ato	ato, (ato,)	72
73	'bay'	minjatUU	minjato	1	1	minato	minjato	minato (L)	mi,nato,	2. 2.
74	ʻinn'	$jado-\langle L\rangle$		jado	jado	jado	jado	iadU	iado,	74
75	'door'	jadoo	jado	jado	jado	jado	jado	jadU	iado,	7.5
92	'gateway'	kadoo	kado	kado	1	kadu	kado	kado	kado,	92
22	'antler'	ı	t?īno	1	tsino	tsino	tsīno		tuno,	2.2
28	ʻthigh'	mUmoo	omnu	тото	тот	momo—	momo—	тоо—	mo,mo	28
62	'bag,sack pouch'	hukkuru	hugur	Fukuro $\langle L \rangle$	Fukuro	hokkoro	hukuro~ hokoro	pukkuru	pukuroı	62
		0s-	0S	08—	0s-	os—	1	1	SO_1	80
81	'sky'	i	1	sora $\langle L \rangle$	I	1	1	-	so_1 ra	81
82	'beach'	o}i	ijo	oji	iso~ ijo	oļi	oji	ı	iso_1	83
83	'weak'	jua—	jua-	ju(3i)wa—	ı	juwa—	I	juwa—	jo,wa	83
	'midnight'	junaha	junaha	junaha	junaha	junaha	junaha	junaha	jo,naka	84
85	'cocoon'	man	maju	$maju \ \langle L \rangle$	majo	maju	maju	maju	$majo_1$	85
98	'this—'	ku— kur	I	ku~ kuri	1	ļ	ļ	ı	ko_{z}	98
28	'this year'	kutus	kutuu§		kutußi	kurußi	kutuʃi 〈L〉	hutu(i	$_{ m ko,to,si}$	28
88	'this—'	kun	kun	kun	kun	kun—	un	un	ko ₂ no ₂	88
68	'this world'	kun.ju	1	kun:ju	1	kun.ju		unju	ko ₂ no ₂ jo ₂	68
06	'this'	1	kuur	kurī	kurī	kurī	urī	urī	ko²re	06
91	'to row'	Kug(juru)	kug(zii)	kug(i)	hug(jun)	kug(jun)	kUg(i)	hug(jun)	k_{O_2} gu	91
. 76	'last year'	kuduu	kuduu	kuzu	kīzī	kuzn	kuzu	nznų	$k_{O_2ZO_2}$	95
. 86	'speech'		1	ı	, and	1	I	kutu	ko_2to_2	93
. 16	'thing'	ļ	ì	1	1	1			k0,t0,	94
. 36	'language'	kutuba	kutuba	kutuba	ı	kutuba	1	ı	ko ₂ to ₂ ba	95
, 96	'bull'	kītīī	kutīus	kutī	kītī—	kote(kkwa)* kote(usikkwa)	kutë	kotousi	$ko_2to_2pi_1usi$	96
. 26	97 'liking'	konom—	1	kunumi	kono(dī)	I	I	konoi	ko ₂ no ₂ mi ₁	26

No. Gloss	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San	OJ	No.
					1	l	+	1	86
'congealing'	gur	—guur	—guri	—gīri	—guri	ı	l	ko ₂ ri	66
100 'kill'	ku∭(uu)~ kurus(un)	kuʃʃ(un)	kuss(u)	kutt§(un)	I	kutt§(un)	kuruʃ(un)	ko_2ro_2su	100
101 'voice'	kuii	kui	kui	kui	kui	kui	hui	ko ₂ we	101
'to become pleased'	jurukub—	ì	juruku(dī)	jurukum(i)	jurukub(jun)	jurukum(i)	juruku(jun)	jo ₂ ro ₂ ko ₂ ku	102
103 'horizontal'	juku	juku	juku	juku	joko	joko	joko	jo ₂ ko ₂	103
104 'to wake'	I	khuʃ(un)	hп hп(fi)	hī	hu(suna)	huʃ(un)	uuʃ(un)	oko ₂ su	104
105 'bed'	tuk	tuuk	tuku	tīkī	toko	I	tuku	$to_2 ko_2$	105
106 'vestige'	nagur` naguur	nagur	nagur(ī)	saguri	1	naguri	naguri	nago ₂ ri	106
107 'and'	tu	tu	tu	tu	1	I	tu	to _z	107
108 'to fly'	tu(dur)	tub(jun)	tub(uri)	tub(jun)	tub(jun)	tub(jun)	tub(jun)	to_2bu	108
109 'tree top'	1		1			!	1	to_2busa	109
110 'far'	tuu—	tun-	tun—	tuu-	tuu—	tun—	tuu—	to ₂ po	110
111 'pass by'	toori—	I	tuuri	turi~ tuuri	toori (L?)	tuuri	tuuri	to ₂ pori	111
112 'to stop'	I	tumI(jun)	tumI(ri)	tim(f)	tim(fri)~ tum(fri)	I	I	to _z mu	112
113 'fellow'	tungarjaa	tun(kana)	tungara	tungara	1	I	-	to ₂ mo ₂ gare	113
114 'younger brother'	ututuu	utuutu	ututu	ututu	ututu	ututu	utuutu	oto ₂ pi ₁ to ₂	114
115 'to drop'	I	utuu(tfi)	utu(tʃi)	utu(sf)	utu§(an)	utu(an)	utu§(un)	oto ₂ su	115
116 'sound'	utUU	utu	utu	utu	utu	utu	utu	oto ₂	116
117 'trunk'	mutu	mutu	mutu	mutu	moto $\langle L? \rangle$	1	mutu	$mo_2 to_2$	1117
118 'stagnatdon'	judu(dur)	judu(dun)	judumi	judumi	judu(dī)	judumi	jndui	$jo_2do_2mi_1$	118
119 'plover'	tſiʒorja	t§iʒorjaa	—tʃiʒoria	tsīzīrja	tider(i)	—tizurja	tiʒurja	tide ₂ ri	119
120 'J cypress'	hinok	hinok	hdnuki	hinoki $\langle L? \rangle$	hinoki $\langle L \rangle$	hinukki	hinoki $\langle L \rangle$	$pi_1no_2ki_2$	120
121 'to ride'	nor	nu(tf)	nur(u)	nur(i)	no(otľ)	nor(jun)	nor(jun)	no ₂ ru	121

т	1	T T 1	
Junnosu	20	Irob	2 m 1
Juniosu	\mathbf{r}	Olan	ann

Gloss Sib		Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San	OJ	o Z
nubuur(jur)	nubu(ut		nubur(jun)	nuburi	noor(jun)	noor(in)	noor(jun)	no ₂ bori	122
to drink' num(juur) nu(dǐ)	nu(dīī)		(un) mnu	num(jun)	nUm(jun)	num(jun)	nu(jun)	nozmu	123
injot{~ injoot{	inot(n²jut{i	n²juutsï	nut§i	n?jut{i	n²jut∫i	ino_2ti	124
125 'to carry' mu(tʃuur) mu(ttsī)	mu(ttsf)		mu(turi)	mi(tsi)	mut{(un)	muttʃ(un)	mutf(un)	mo_2 tu	125
mom mom	mon		mnu	mnm	mm	nunu	mun	$m_{0_2}n_{0_2}$	126
'talking —mungatari — together'	I		mungatare	mungatari	mungatare	mungatari	I	mo2no2gatari	127
hirju— hirju—	hirju—		hiru—	hiru—	hiru—	hiru—	piru—	$\mathrm{pi_1ro_2}$	128
'to lower' — uru(ti)	uru(ti)		uru(sun)	uru(sī)	I	uru§(un)	uru§(un)	oro ₂ su	129
sīmī(rju) —	I		sīmī(run)	sīmī(rjun)	I	sīmī	sïëun	so ₂ mu	130
osnosn	0s0 0s0		I	I	-080	1	ı	0802	131
I	I		ju	'nį	I	ju	ņí	jo²	132
'to bring, $jUs(r-)$ —	I		jus(I)	I	Í	jus(m)	ı	jo ₂ su	133
'to approach' — ju(tī)	ju(tī)		1	jur(jun)	ı	jur(jun)	jur(jun)	jo ₂ su	134
to count' $Jum(juur)$ $jU(dr)$	jU(dr)		jum(un)	jum(jun)	ı	jum(jun)	ju(jun)	jo _z mu	135
– juut	juut		juutsī	juutsī	juttsī	juutsī	juutsï	jo,tu	136
-	mayo:		1	1	ku	1	1	ko_1	137
138 'powder, ku– koo	k00		ku	ku	1	ku	nd	ko_1	138
1	1		1	I	I	I	1	ko ₁	139
140 'bamboo —ku —gu	ng—		ku	—ku	ku~ kku		I	$\mathbf{k}o_1$	140
'to cross hu(irjuu) ku(jati) ku(jati)	ku(jati)		ku(ti)	ku(sī)	kī(sī)* ku(sī)	1	huʃ(un)	ko_1su	141
'son—in— muhoo muho` law' muho	muho~ muho		muhu	muhu	mono	1	moho	$muko^*mo_1ko_1$	142
hak Faak	Faak		-	hakku	hak²u	haku	paku	pako,	143
	***		tu	tu	1	to 〈L〉	ļ	to_i	144

145 'to, endeav. out.' tuuts tuuts 146 'housewife' tuuts tuuts 147 'to grind' tug(jur) tug(ji) 148 'black' kuru- kuru- 149 'interesting' - umosire 150 'skirting' susU - 151 'night' - - 152 'night' - - 153 'day' -hir - 154 'recently' - - 155 'mind' kuhoro kohoro 156 'answer' - - 157 'leaf kinhwa kinFa 158 'to shut in' kumor - 160 'to remain' noho(os) noho(tsa) 161 'to wipe' nugë(ë) nuga(tf) 162 'blame' tugaa -tuga^ 163 'wharf' -domar tUma(tf) 164 'stern' tunoo tomo	tuzi tuzi tug(i) kuru— omojiru— sfso ju juru —hiru kukoro	tsftfm(I) tfzf tfg(jf) kuru— omosfrf— sfso — hiru	sitim(I) ~ situm(I) tuʒi tuʒi tug(jun) k²uro omojiru— sīso	tugi tug(jun) kkuro omojiru—	tsito(on) tuʒi tug(jun)	tuto ₁ mu to ₁ zi	145
tuutstuutstuur) kuruu- susU juu hir - kuhoro - kuhoro - noho(os) nugë(ë) tugaadomar tumoo		tfzi ttg(ji) kuru— omosiri— siso — — hiru	tugi tug(jun) k?uro omo{iru- sīso	tugi tug(jun) kkuro omojiru— susu	tu3i tug(jun)	toızi	146
tug(jur) kuru— susU ju— hir hir kuhoro kuhoro noho(os) nugë(ë) tugaa domar tumoo		tig(ji) kuru— omosiri— siso — — hiru	tug(jun) k²uro omoliru— sīso	tug (jun) kkuro omojiru— susu	tug(jun)		>
kuru— sus.U ju— '— -hir - kuhoro - kinhwa n' kumor - n' noho(os) nugë(ë) tugaa -domar tumoo		kuru— omosīri— siso — — hiru	k²uro omo∫iru— siso	kkuro omojiru— susu		to ₂ gu	147
= susU ju— — — - hir — kuhoro — kinhwa kumor — noho(os) nugë(ë) tugaa —domar tumoo		omosiri— siso — — — hiru	omo\iru— sīso	omo§iru— susu	kkuru	$kuro_1$	148
susU ju— kuhoro kinhwa in' kumor in' nobo(os) nugë(ë) tugaadomar tumoo		sīso - - 	sīso	nsns	oo∫iru—	omosiro ₁	149
ju— — hir kuhoro — kinhwa n' kumor — n' nobo(os) nugë(ë) tugaa —domar tumoo		- - 	Ι.		sīso	suso,	150
		 hiru			ı	joı	151
-hir kuhoro - kinhwa n' kumor - n' noho(os) nugë(ë) tugaa -domar		—hiru	juru	juru	juru	jo₁ru	152
kuhoro kinhwa '- kinhwa '- n' kumor - n' nobo(os) nugë(ë) tugaadomar tumoo			—hiru	hiru	—piru	piıru	153
kuhoro		ı	konogoro $\langle L? \rangle$		honogoro	$ko_2no_2ko_2ro_2$	154
kinhwa in' kumor ain' noho(os) rugaa —domar tumoo		kohoro	kooro	kooro	kooro	$ko_2ko_2ro_2$	155
kinhwa in' kumor - ain' noho(os) tugaadomar tumoo	kotae	kutae—	kutae(ru)	$kotae(ru) \langle L^{2}_{2} \rangle$	$kotae(ru) \ \langle L^{ 2}_{ 2} \rangle \ kotae(ru) \ \langle L \rangle)$	ko_2tape_2	156
in' kumor - ain' noho(os) tugaa -domar tumoo	kīnha	kīnha	kïnoha	kīnha	hinpa	ko ₂ no ₂ pa	157
	gumur(i)	—gomar(i)	-gomar(i)	I	1	ko ₂ mo ₂ ru	158
ain' noho(os) nugë(ë) tugaa domar tumoo	-	koto (L)	1	$koto \; \langle L? \rangle$	1	ko_2to_2	159
e' nugë(ë) tugaa —domar tumoo	aa) noho(sī)	nohor(un)	noko(si)	nohor(i)	noor(jun)	no_2ko_2ru	160
tugaa —domar tumoo) noga(u)	noga(i)	nuga(u)	nuga(i)	nuga(jun)	no2go2bn	161
, —domar tumoo	tuga toga	tuga	tuga	tuga—	ĺ	to ₂ ga	162
tumoo	i) toma(të)	l	1	tumari	I	to ₂ mari	163
	tomo	tomo	tomo	tomo	100	to ₂ mo	164
165 'place' —turo toroo tuhoro	toro	doro	tokoro	tokoro	toro	$to_2ko_2ro_2$	165
'm a m's —tuno— —dono place' tunoo—	tusn	tono—	tono—	ı	tolo $\langle L? \rangle$	to ₂ no ₂	166
167 'friend' tumu tomo	-tomo	-tomo		tomo	war.	to ₂ mo ₂	167

T .			
Tunnosu	ke l	Jrakam	ı

																			5 4				
N _o	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190
OJ	nusubi,to2	$tamoto_2$	jado ₂ ru	no ₂	$\mathrm{no_2}$ ru	so ₂ ne	jo ₂ ka	jo ₂ riapi	ki₂ri	k_{i_2} si	wopi_2	ki_1mo_1	ki,ru	kiku	aki,	oki,	$seki_1$	juki,	agi_1	kugi,	pi,mo	ipapiı	kapi,
San	nusido obusun	tamutu	1	no <l?></l?>	l	sone	i(i)* ji(i)	jurai	kiri	kiʃi	wui	kkjoo	k?irjun	kiku (L?)	aki	uki	seki	juki $\langle L \rangle$	ago~ agu	kugi $\langle L? \rangle$		joo	1
Yoa	nusuto	l	I	0u	1	sone	ļ	jurai	kiri—	I	woi	kkimo	k²iri	kiku (L?)	akki	uki	ı	juki <l></l>		kugi	$himo \langle L? \rangle$	joë	ı
Yen	nīnīdo	tamato	ļ	no	$nor(o) \langle L? \rangle$	sune~ sone	I	l	kkiri	kiji (L?)	wui	I	kkir(jun)	kiku	aki	ukki	1	I	I	k²ugi	I	joë	I
Nas	opisnu	tamutu	1	-no	nor(o)	sone	Ī	jore	kiri 〈L〉	1	wui	kkimo	kir(jun)	kiku	aki	ukki	(sakku)	I	agi		-	joë	I
Ong	nusido	tamutu	1	-no	$\underset{nor(o)}{nur(u)^{\star}}$	sīnī	I	jore	ŀ	I	wui	kkimu	kkir(i)	I	aki	uki	l	ı	agi	kugi	himo	jowe	1
Sho	opnsnu	tamotu	I	1	ı	sïne	i(i), ji(i)	juree	kiri	kiſi	in.	k²imo	kir	I	ļ	uki	sīki	juk~ juhu	-	k?uuk	$himo~\langle L?\rangle$	juë	1
Sib	opisnu	tamuutu	1	ou—	nur(o)~ nor(o)	sīnëë	I	jurë~ judë	k²ir	ki $ii\langle L \rangle$	wui	k?imu	1	kiku (L)	akii	uki	sëkki	juk	ak	k²uuk	himo—	juwë	1
No. Gloss	168 'thief'	169 'sleeve'	170 'to lodge at'	171 'field'	172 'declare'	173 'stony land'	174 'good'	175 'gathering'	176 fog'	177 'shore'	178 'nehpew'	179 'internal organs'	180 'wear'	chrysan— themum	182 'autumn'	183 'inside'	184 'barrier'	185 'snow'	186 'upper jaw'	nail'	188 'braid'	to enshrine a god`	190 'shellfish'
No.	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187 'nail'	188	189	190

No.	No. Gloss	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San	OJ	No.
191	191 'journey'	tap	tap	-	tabi 〈L〉	tabi	tabi	tabi	$tabi_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{I}}$	191
192	192 'shining red'	1	1	njuë	njoo	njoo	njoë	ooju	$nipopi_1$	192
193	193 'J belt'	(k²j)up	$(\mathbf{k}^2\mathbf{j})\mathbf{u}\mathbf{p}$	(kkj)ubi	ubi	obi	ubi	obi	obi_1	193
194	194 'J sake'	miik	miik	miki	mikki	(o)miki		miki	$m_{i_1}k_{i_1}$	194
195	195 'cape'	1	1	I	ļ	l	I	misaki	$m_{i_1}saki_1$	195
196	196 'paper'	ı	kap	kabi	kabi	kabi	kabi	kabi	kami	196
197	197 'hair'		1	I	1	ı	$kami \ \langle L \rangle$	I	$kami_1$	197
198	198 'tear'	i	l	nada	nada	nada	naada	nada	nami,da	198
199	199 'ear'	ſ	mimi	min	min	min	min	min	mi ₁ mi ₁	199
200	200 'bow'	ı	jumi	imní	jumi	ı	jumi	nní	jumiı	200
201	201 'woman'	wunak	wunak	wunagu` onagu	wunagu(kkwa)	wunagu	onagu	wunagu	womina	201
202	202 'to cut'	k?ir(jur)	ki(tii)	kir(i)	kir(u)	kir(jun)	kir(jur)	kir(jur)	ki,ru	202
203	203 'bruise'	k?it	k?it	kizī	kizī	kizī	kizī	kizī	ki,zu	203
204	204 'today'	kjuu	kjuu	kīu	kjuu	kjuu	kju	huu	ke ₁ pu	204
205	205 'turning over'	këës	këëſ	kĕĕſi	këë(i	kĕſi	kĕĕſi	I	kapeısi	205
206	206 'capsized'	ı	1	I	I	I	1	1	kapeıri	506
207	207 'front'	mīë	mëë	më	më	mëë	më	mī	mapeı	207
208	208 'royal servant'		ı	1	1	I	ı	I	be ₁	208
209	209 'evening'	jubë	1	īduį	jubĩ	jubë	jubī	īduį	jupube ₁	509
210	210 'female'	ı	më	mII-	mi	mīë—	ı	mi	meı	210
211	'seeing, governing	mijor(e)	mi§or(e)	mi(i $-$)	miʃor(i)	mijor(e)	I	miʃ(ore)	me ₁ si	211
212	suppres— sion	aĵn	usa(jun)	osa(tI)	osai	usa(jun)			0sape ₂	212
213	213 'generally'	-nµn	1	1	I	I	1	1	opo kata	213

т	•	TT 1	
- 1	unnosuke	- Hrakam:	1

No.	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	
OJ	oja	orabi,	poka	moja	wosame ₂	wo,pari	amori	awo	kapo	kamo	sawo	to_2 woka	mamori	.00	oipi,to ₂	ipodo	ошо	od	posi	pone	om	muttʃi	
San	uja	1	puha	I	wusa(un)	owari (L?)	1	00	kao (L?)	I	SSO	tuuka	mamor(jus)	u(tejaa)	uttʃu~ uttʃuu		ama	nd	iynd	jund	mo	moti	
Yoa	uja	I	huka	I	L> -	uwa(tan)	amore	a 0	kao	I	oss	tuuka	mamor(in)	I	uttfu(kwa)	I	amma	hu	huſi	husï	mo	muttji	
Yen	oja		ı	ı	osame(ru) (L)	owari	amore—	1	I	1	os	tuuka	maburi	ı	utt∫uu	ı	amma	hu	huſi	hunï	то	mutsi	
Nas	uja	urabi	huka	muja—	usame	owari (L)	amoro	00	kao	l	00s	tuuka	maburi	u(utan)	uttju	uzī	amma	huu	hīʃī	hīnī	mm	mut{i	
Ong	uja~ oja	ı	1	I	I	owari <l?></l?>	amuro	00	kao	kamo	80	tuuka	maburi	1	wittsu	izn	amma	Fu	Fuſi	Funï	mm	mutsi	
Sho	uja	urab(jun)	huka—	mooja	1	oar	amore $\langle L? angle$	00	1	-kam	800	tuuka	mabur~ nabur	1	hutt∫uu	ı	amma` ammaa	hu	huí	husī	то	mut(jii	
Sib	uja	urab(jur)	huka	mooja	!	uwar	amore $\langle L \rangle$	-00	kau~ kao ⟨L⟩	kam	800	tuuka	mabur	I	uttʃu	I	amma	hu)nų	hunï	mU	mut\ii	<u> </u>
No. Gloss	214 'parent'	215 'crying out'	216 'outside'	'temporary grave'	218 'governing'	219 'end'	'falling from heaven'	'blue, green'	'face'	'admiration'	'boat pole'	'ten days'	226 'defense'	ʻgrowing older'	228 'old man'	'fish hook'	230 'mother'	'sail'	'star'	'bone'	'duckweed'	235 'rice cake'	936 'unhulled
No.	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	235	235	926

No.	No. Gloss	Sib	Sho	Ong	Nas	Yen	Yoa	San	OJ	No.
237	237 'wood'	mur	1	muri	muri	mori	1	muri 〈L?〉	mori	237
238	238 'spider'	kubu	k?umo	kubu	kubu	kubu—	kubu	kubu	kumo	238
239	239 'tail'	—nw	—nm	mm	—n	wu-		1	wo	239
240	240 'male'	mm	-	nm	wuu	wu	1	mm	wo	240
241	241 'axe'	wun	wun	ww	wun	wun	—unw	wun	$wono_z$	241
242	242 'to exist'	I	wum	wuri	wun~ wuri	wun	wum	wun	wori	242
243	243 'fish'	I	j²uu	Fu	j²u	j²u	uį	J²u	nwo	243
244	244 'ten'	I	1	1		tu	tu	tuu	to_2wo	244
245	245 'here'	usak	usak	usagi~ osagi	usagi	usagi	usagi	usagi	usagi,	245
246	246 'singing'	uta	uta	ota	uta	uta	uta	uta	utapi,	246
247	247 'inside mind'	ura	ura	ura	ura	ura	ſ	ura	ura	247
248	248 'eating'	-kuree	kura(te)	kura—	kurai	kura(u)	kurau	kurau	kurapi,	248
249	249 'grass'	kusa	kusa	kusa	kīsa	kusa	kïsa	kīsa	kusa	249
250	250 'dark'	kura—	kura—	kura—	kura—	kura—	kura—	I	kura	250
251	251 'rice bran'	nuka	nuka	noka	nuka	nuka	nuka	nuka	nuka	251
252	252 'ancient times'	muka{	moka{	mokaĵi	mukasī	mukaʃi	muka§i	mukaji	mukasi	252
253	253 'village'	$mura~\langle L?\rangle$	mura $\langle L \rangle$	mora	mura	mura	mura	mura $\langle L \rangle$	mura	253
254	254 'floor'	juka	1		juka	juka	I	juka $\langle L? \rangle$	juka	254
255	255 'pillow'	mak?īra	mahira	makura	makura		makkura	makura	mukura	255
256	256 'spring'	haruu (L?)		haru $\langle L? \rangle$	haru <l?></l?>	haru $\langle L? \rangle$	haru $\langle L \rangle$	haru	paru	256
257	257 'measure'	I	I	I	1	masī	I	masī $\langle L? \rangle$	masu	257
258	258 'sweat'	asīī	asīī	asī	asa ⁻ aje	asj	asī	asī	asī	258
259	259 'wind'	kade	kade	kaze	kaze	kazī	kazĭ	hazī	kaze	259
260	260 'templè	tera	teraa	-	tera		tera	tera	tera	260
261	261 'wing'	hanĭ	hanī	han	hane	hane	hane	pane	pane	261