



Photo: Siikala, 1920. Courtesy of: Museovirasto, Helsinki.

Gustaf John Ramstedt

A KOREAN GRAMMAR

BY
G. J. RAMSTEDT

Suomalais-ugrilaisen Seuran Toimituksia LXXXII
Mémoires de la Société Finno-ougrienne LXXXII

Reimpression

HELSINKI 1997
SUOMALAIS-UGRILAINEN SEURA

A Korean Grammar

by G. J. Ramstedt

Mémoires de la Société Finno-Ougrienne, vol. 82

Special Limited Reimpression

With Retrospective Notes by Juha Janhunen

Helsinki 1997

First published: Helsinki 1939

Copyright © by the The Finno-Ugrian Society

Suomalais-Ugrilainen Seura

Helsinki 1939 & 1997

Preface.

In the encyclopaedias of the European countries the Korean language is mentioned as a language of unknown origin. During my stay in Japan I therefore took some interest in this language. The first words which seemed to me to give some clue to the solution of this problem were the pronouns *i*, *jē* 'this' and *tjē*, *čē* 'that', where the identity with the corresponding Mongolian and Tungus words is evident. Spurred on by this discovery, soon followed by other interesting observations, I took up seriously the study of the Korean language and in 1924—1926 employed a Korean student, Mr Ryu Čin-kel, as my teacher. I collected my material on the spoken and written language without making a journey to Korea, as I had in Tokyo opportunities enough to occupy myself with Koreans, of whom there are thousands in that town. With the help of Mr Ryu I tried to read Korean books and during my spare time from official work I studied all the available European grammars of that language. I was greatly helped by H. G. UNDERWOOD's »An Introduction to Korean Spoken Language» (Yokohama 1914), JAMES GALE's »Korean Grammatical Forms» (Seoul 1916) and ANDREAS ECKARDT's »Koreanische Konversations-Grammatik» (Heidelberg 1923), and found some very valuable information about different dialects in JOHN ROSS's »Korean Speech with Grammar and Vocabulary» (Yokohama 1882) and in a small, but good dictionary in Russian (Опытъ Краткаго Русско-Корейскаго Словаря), printed in Kazan in 1904. The last treats the language which is spoken in the neighbourhood of Vladivostok, a dialect which in the following pages is shortly and not quite correctly called North Korean. Later on, when I had already worked out my own con-

ceptions of the structure of this little studied language and especially of its verbal forms, I became acquainted with the publications of the Japanese scholars Mr. MAEMA and Professor SHIMPEI OGURA. I need hardly mention some small grammatical compilations written by Koreans which offered me nothing new or valuable. The most serious works on Korean and its dialects are those of Professor OGURA.

Because I observed that my way of understanding the structure of this language, which hides itself behind the Chinese characters, in many respects differs from that of both the European grammarians and the Japanese scholars, I have dared to complete my annotations on the declension and the conjugation and compile a grammar, although my knowledge of the language is not so wide nor so profound as would be desirable. But I am sure that such readers as have some knowledge of Mongolian, Manchu or Turkish will easily find in this grammar so many interesting similarities that its appearance will be well received by them. They will agree that the Korean language is a branch of the Altaic family of languages. I have already propounded this view in some short articles: Remarks on the Korean Language (*Mémoires de la Société Finno-Ougrienne* LVIII), The Nominal Postpositions in Korean (*ibidem* LXVII) and »Koreanisch *kēs* 'Ding, Stück'» (*Journal de la Soc. F.-Ougr.* LXVIII, 4). I hope that the problem of the origin of the Korean language is on the right way to being finally solved and that this grammar will contribute to the better understanding of the character and construction of the language of the Koreans.

Helsinki, 1939.

G. J. Ramstedt.

Retrospective notes.

G. J. Ramstedt and the Korean language.

Since the early 19th century, Finnish linguistic and ethnological scholarship has placed an exceptionally strong emphasis on Northern, Central and Eastern Eurasia. Following the pioneering careers of Anders Johan Sjögren (1794–1855) and Matthias Alexander Castrén (1813–1852), entire generations of scholars devoted themselves to the study of the languages and cultures of regions as remote and little known as Siberia, Turkestan, and Mongolia. One of the motives of these scholars was, in the spirit of National Romanticism, to locate the «origins» of the Finns, which were thought to lie somewhere in the East. However, irrespective of such popular considerations, the actual research carried out in this framework was always of the highest academic quality. During decades of intensive inquiry, a great tradition of ethnolinguistic fieldwork was created, much prior to the emergence of ethnolinguistics, or «linguistic anthropology», as an academic discipline elsewhere in the world.

The life and work of Gustaf John Ramstedt (1873–1950) fits well into this tradition. He initiated his ethnolinguistic career by collecting material from the language and oral traditions of the Finno-Ugric Mountain Cheremis in the Volga region (1898), but from the beginning he already had wider perspectives in his mind. During a period of fifteen years of intensive travelling and field work, he studied thoroughly the Mongolic and Turkic languages and cultures of Outer Mongolia (1898–1901, 1909, 1912), the Caspian region and the Caucasus (1903, 1904), as well as Western (1903) and Eastern Turkestan (1905), thus extending

the range of Finnish scholarship further to the East and South than any of his predecessors had done. With his publications he became, in particular, the founder of modern Mongolic language studies, though his impact on the development of Turkic studies is also widely recognized and respected.

With such an experience of practical field work Ramstedt was also bound to turn his attention to problems of a more theoretical nature. Following the contemporary Finnish tradition, he preferred to remain within the realm of comparative linguistics and took up the so-called Altaic question. Like some of his predecessors, he favoured the idea that the Mongolic and Turkic languages, many of which he had studied in the field, as well as the Tungusic languages, with which he had only had a more superficial encounter during his field work, were all genetically related in a framework that had come to be known as the Altaic language family. Ramstedt soon realized that the methodological level of Altaic language comparisons had long been much inferior to that prevailing in Indo-European and Uralic (Finno-Ugric) studies. It was, then, Ramstedt's task to raise Altaic comparative studies to a higher qualitative level, corresponding to the strict requirements of the dominant Neogrammarian school of diachronic and comparative linguistics.

Ramstedt could well have earned his position in the history of learning with the double merit of being a pioneering Mongolist as well as the father of modern comparative Altaic studies. However, external circumstances placed before him a third task, which was to occupy him during a large part of his later life. After the separation of Finland from the Russian Empire (1917), Finland urgently needed competent representatives abroad, and the only available choice for the country's first *chargé d'affaires* in the Far East was Ramstedt. Stationed in Tokyo, he stayed in the region for ten years (1919–1929), during which time, along with his diplomatic career, he continued to work on Altaic language comparisons. With his exceptional ability of practical language

learning, he soon mastered Japanese and started to work on Korean, a language whose development and very existence under Japanese colonial rule was seriously imperilled. Because of his diplomatic duties, however, Ramstedt was unable to study Korean in its native surroundings. Instead, he relied on the help of Korean informants living in Japan.

In Korean, Ramstedt immediately identified two great challenges, connected, first, with the description and, second, with the classification of the language. For the first challenge Ramstedt was well prepared thanks to his ethnolinguistic experience with many different languages. It was, of course, both historically curious and politically diagnostic that a language with such a long record of written documents and such a large number of living speakers had not been systematically described, but this was the situation at the time when Ramstedt started his work on Korean. Here was then, again, a field open for a pioneering contribution, and Ramstedt set out to fill the extant gaps in documentation. This work culminated in his *Korean grammar* (1939), which, in spite of its modest size, was the first truly scientific treatment of Korean in any Western language.

It is possible that Ramstedt would not have put so much energy into the description of Korean, had he not been convinced from the beginning that his work would enable him to find a solution to the challenge of classification also. Very soon after first encountering Korean language material he had come to the conclusion that he was, in fact, dealing with another Altaic language, related to Turkic, Mongolic and Tungusic. This conviction, anticipated in his *Korean grammar*, later yielded another major monograph, titled *Studies in Korean etymology* (1949), in which Ramstedt set out to analyze the sources of selected Korean lexical items. Many of the etymologies presented by him illustrate the multiple historical and prehistorical contacts that Korean has had with the neighbouring languages, including Chinese and Japanese, but the core part of the material was supposed to demonstrate the Altaic origins of the Korean language. With this etymological

study, Ramstedt laid the foundation of the conception, still widely supported today, that Korean is an Altaic language.

Unfortunately, the reception of Ramstedt's views on Altaic has not been unanimously positive. Although a considerable number of scholars both in Korea and elsewhere continue to work according to the principles formulated by him, voices have also been raised in favour of a reevaluation of what is now increasingly often termed the *Altaic Hypothesis*. With the accumulation of general knowledge concerning linguistic relationships and their manifestations, it has become obvious that a large part of the shared linguistic corpus in the Altaic languages is actually due to secondary areal interaction. In this new framework, the possibility of a genetic relationship between even such crucial entities as Turkic and Mongolic seems more or less unlikely. If this is so, Ramstedt's assumption concerning the genetic position of Korean loses its foundation.

Indeed, what remains today of over two centuries of comparative work on the languages of Eurasia both before and after Ramstedt is the fact of structural similarities and areal contacts over a huge transcontinental belt, which comprises not only the languages termed Altaic by Ramstedt, but also Uralic in the west and Japanese in the east. The most suitable appellation for this phenomenon is Ural-Altaic, a term whose relevance nobody can deny. The point is, however, that no single one of the major entities within Ural-Altaic is necessarily genetically related with any other entity in the belt, for the similarities can much more adequately be explained as a network of complex non-genetic interrelationships. As an object of linguistic inquiry, and as a source of material for ethnohistorical conclusions, these interrelationships are just as tantalizing and valuable as a genetic relationship would be.

We should, therefore, not say that Ramstedt worked in vain in elaborating his ideas concerning the Altaic languages. Unlike

many of his present-day followers, he was a true innovator, whose factual knowledge and synthetic ability were unparalleled in his time. Most of his results are still usable today, though not necessarily in the framework he was thinking of. The same can be said of his descriptive work on the languages he studied. For some less known languages and dialects, including many Mongolic idioms, Ramstedt's descriptions retain even today their full value as the most reliable extant sources of primary material. For some other languages, notably Korean, his work has been followed by other, more comprehensive, more advanced, and better informed treatments. Even so, Ramstedt's *Korean grammar* compares favourably with many later descriptions of the language. Most importantly, Ramstedt was free of the dogmatic approach to synchronic language description, so characteristic of the linguistic thinking of the 20th century.

Since he had no significant predecessors in the Korean field, Ramstedt was free to experiment with fresh personal solutions, many of which reflected his own Ural-Altaic background. Especially in the sections on morphology and syntax, he clearly wished to emphasize the structural features which link Korean with its northern and western neighbours. It is not surprising, therefore, that the Korean language, as described by him, occasionally appears even more Altaic than some later descriptions would suggest. Ramstedt's background is also visible in his decision to Romanize certain aspects of Korean phonology (the vowels) according to the Finno-Ugrian transcription system (FUT), which had been created in Finland at the turn of the century for all Ural-Altaic purposes. It may be noted that, although he had no followers in this respect, the problem of Romanization still awaits its final solution in Korean studies today.

During all of his scholarly life, Ramstedt was closely associated with the Finno-Ugrian Society, an international learned body that had been founded in Helsinki in the late 19th century (1883) by Otto Donner (1835–1909). From its beginnings, the Finno-Ugrian

Society was a major initiator and sponsor of ethnolinguistic field work in various parts of the Ural-Altaic world. All of Ramstedt's expeditions were either fully or partially financed by the Finno-Ugrian Society, and the Society's series of publications provided the principal forum for the publication of his results for the international readership. Ramstedt, for his part, widened the sphere of the Society's activities towards new regions, of which Korea was the most important. In recognition of his contribution, the Society elected Ramstedt among its honorary members. In the years preceding his death, Ramstedt served as President of the Society (1944–1950).

Ramstedt's work on the Korean language has also been duly recognized in postwar Korea. For both his scientific and his symbolic contribution to the Korean nation, Ramstedt was posthumously honoured by the government of the Republic of Korea, which granted him the membership of one of the country's highest civil orders (1982). Ramstedt's publications on Korean, including his *Korean grammar*, are well known to all modern Korean linguists, and they have even been reprinted in Korea. For both Korean and Finnish scholars of language and ethnic history, Ramstedt's pioneering work on Korean continues to be a stimulus towards new hypotheses and fresh discoveries. Among the principal questions that remain to be solved is, incidentally, the transcontinental Ural-Altaic belt, whose true implications are still insufficiently understood.

Although Ramstedt was basically a man of high learning and erudition, much of his work also had a practical side. This is very clearly true of his *Korean grammar*, which, during the time of its publication, fulfilled a definite need for practical language learners. In the academic circles of Europe, Ramstedt was among the first to teach Korean as a foreign language. However, under the postwar circumstances of political and economic difficulties, Finland lost this important edge to others. It is only now, at the threshold of the new millennium, that Korean studies is gradually becoming reestablished in Finland. In the new situation, the

practical aspect of language learning and intercultural communication is even more important than before. With the direct contacts between Europe and East Asia becoming increasingly intensive, and with Korea emerging as one of the major industrial powers of East Asia, Finland also has to invest in developing Korean studies as an academic field. Fortunately, thanks to the contribution of Ramstedt, Finland has a unique foundation upon which these efforts can be built.

Helsinki, 1997.

Juha Janhunen.

Works on the Korean language by G. J. Ramstedt.

- Remarks on the Korean language. *Mémoires de la Société Finno-Ougrienne* 58 (Juhlakirja Yrjö Wichmannin kuusikymmenvuotispäiväksi), pp. 441–453. Helsinki, 1928.
- [Japanese version:] Chousen go no enkaku ni tsuite. *Minzoku* 1926, pp. 833–848. Tokyo.
- Two words of Korean-Japanese [1926]. *Journal de la Société Finno-Ougrienne* 55: 2 (Aufsätze und Vorträge von G. J. RAMSTEDT, bearbeitet und herausgegeben von Pentti AALTO), pp. 25–30. Helsinki, 1951.
- [Japanese version:] Chousen oyobi Nippon no ni tango ni tsuite. *Minzoku* 1926, pp. 999–1006. Tokyo.
- The nominal postpositions in Korean. *Mémoires de la Société Finno-Ougrienne* 67 (Liber semisaecularis Societatis Fenno-Ugricae), pp. 459–464. Helsinki, 1933.
- Koreanisch *kes* «ding, stück». *Journal de la Société Finno-Ougrienne* 48: 4, pp. 1–19. Helsinki, 1937.
- A *Korean grammar*. *Mémoires de la Société Finno-Ougrienne* 82. Helsinki, 1939. iv + 200 pp.
- [Authorized reprint:] Anthropological Publications: Oosterhout N.B., The Netherlands, 1968.
 - [Unauthorized reprint in:] *G. J. Ramstedt ronmun chip*, vol. 3, pp. 3–209. Thaeaksa: Seoul, 1981.
 - [Authorized reprint:] Kwahaksa, Seoul, 1982.
 - [Russian version:] *Grammatika koreiskogo yazyka*. Translated by A. A. KHOLODOVICH, edited by B. K. PASHKOV. Moskva, 1951. 232 pp.

- Über die Stellung des Koreanischen [1939]. *Journal de la Société Finno-Ougrienne* 55: 2 (Aufsätze und Vorträge von G. J. RAMSTEDT, bearbeitet und herausgegeben von Pentti AALTO.), pp. 47–58. Helsinki, 1951.
- [Turkish version:] Kore dilinin yeri üzerine. Translated by İlhan ÇENELİ. *Türk dünyası araştırmaları* 29, pp. 41–51. Ankara, 1984.
- Studies in Korean etymology*. Mémoires de la Société Finno-Ougrienne 95. Helsinki, 1949. 292 pp.
- [Introduction and index:] *Studies in Korean etymology*, 2. Edited by Pentti AALTO. Mémoires de la Société Finno-Ougrienne 95: 2, pp. 1–64. Helsinki, 1953.
 - [Additional material:] Additional Korean etymologies. Collected and edited by Pentti AALTO. *Journal de la Société Finno-Ougrienne* 57: 3, pp. 1–23. Helsinki, 1954.
 - [Additional material:] *Paralipomena of Korean etymologies*. Collected and edited by Songmoo KHO. Mémoires de la Société Finno-Ougrienne 182. Helsinki, 1982. 295 pp.

Works on the life and scholarship of G. J. Ramstedt.

- AALTO Pentti. *Oriental Studies in Finland 1828–1918*. The History of Learning and Science in Finland 1828–1918, vol. 10b, pp. 103–108 & passim. Helsinki, 1971.
- HALÉN Harry. *Biliku Bakši, tietorikas opettaja. G. J. Ramstedtin tutkijan tie*. [Unpublished manuscript.] Helsinki, 1995.
- [English version:] *Biliku Bakshi. G. J. Ramstedt's career as a scholar*. Mémoires de la Société Finno-Ougrienne. Helsinki, forthcoming.
- RAMSTEDT G. J. *Seitsemän retkeä itään 1898–1912*. Porvoo, 1944. [Later printings: 1951, 1953, 1967.]
- [Swedish version:] *Sju resor i östern 1898–1912*. Translated by Mary NUMELIN. Tammerfors, 1961.
 - [English version:] *Seven journeys eastward 1898–1912*. Translated by John R. KRUEGER. Publications of the Mongolia Society, Occasional Papers, 9. Bloomington, 1978.
 - [Korean version:] *Ilgop chharye tongbang yeohaeng*. Translated by KO Songmu [Songmoo KHO]. Seoul, 1983.
- RAMSTEDT G. J. *Lähettiläänä Nipponissa. Muistelmia vuosilta 1919–1929*. Porvoo, 1950. [Later printings: 1951, 1967.]
- [Japanese version:] *Finrando shodai koushi tai Nichi kenbun roku*. Translated by SAKAI Reiko. Tokyo, 1987.

Introduction.

How the Korean language is written.

As the Koreans have been under Chinese cultural and political influence for thousands of years, it is natural that, like the Japanese and the Annamese, they should have adopted the Chinese mode of writing. They write the words of their own language with Chinese characters, and these characters have thus as a rule two pronunciations, i.e. the Chinese name for the character and the word of Korean origin which has a similar sense. The Korean language lacks words for more abstract ideas, and from the oldest times many Chinese words and expressions have been borrowed. Of what the language earlier possessed much may have been lost during the passing of centuries. The Chinese influence has in many ways remodelled the Korean language, and looking now in a Korean dictionary, one finds that about ninety per cent of the word material the Koreans use is properly speaking Chinese. But nevertheless the grammatical construction and the most used words in everyday speech are of quite different origin and testify that the Korean and the Chinese are two different types of language.

Already during the Silla period (57 B. D.—929 A. D.), the Koreans, to facilitate reading, seem to have felt the need of writing in Chinese script also the endings, especially the verbal endings. The endings were written with Chinese characters in smaller size and read phonetically, i.e. according to the pronunciation in Chinese.

Later the Koreans made acquaintance with the Buddhist literature, also in the Tibetan language, and it seems most probable that the Tibetan way of writing was the model for the Korean alphabet, invented, according to tradition, by king Sēchong (Sēchong

1419—1451). This alphabet, called *en-mun* 'Eunmun', is well suited for rendering the sounds of the language. It is not a syllabary like the Japanese *kana* but a system of signs where each consonant and each vowel has its own symbol. These symbols are written together in groups, each group representing a syllable, and the syllables are arranged downwards in lines, the lines following from right to left as in Chinese. The Korean alphabet is very well suited to its purpose and quite easy to write and read. But the strange thing is that this Korean writing is used by, or considered good enough only for school children and women, while the Korean men still deem it a sign of civilization to use the Chinese characters and the Korean signs only to indicate endings and sometimes also the pronunciation if this is ambiguous, i.e. if the text can be read either in the Chinese way or as good Korean. The reading of the Chinese characters has a long history in Korea, and the Korean way of pronouncing or reading the Chinese characters has the highest value for sinologues, just as has the Japanese way of reading Chinese. As it is not our intention to give an historical survey of Chinese phonetics or Chinese characters, we shall in the following avoid as much as possible Chinese words and Chinese expressions, and try to explain that part of the present day Korean language which really is Korean.

CHAPTER I

Phonetics.

The consonants.

The Korean alphabet has the following consonant signs: 1

k, n, t, l (r), m, p, s, 0 (ŋ);

ㄱ ㄴ ㄷ ㄹ ㅁ ㅂ ㅅ ㅇ

The Koreans names of these eight signs (and sounds) are:

kiek, iŋ (niŋ), čigŭt (tigŭt), iŭl (riŭl, niŭl), miom, piop, siot (šiot), ihän. The name for *č* is *čaat*.

These signs are used both before and after, i.e. under, the vowels. Then there are signs for:

č, čh, kh, th, ph, h

ㅈ ㅊ ㅋ ㅌ ㅍ ㅎ

These signs are used only before vowels. The signs for *čh, kh, th* and *ph* are obtained by a small alteration (a line over the signs for *č, k, t* and *p* respectively).

The language has more sounds than these signs indicate, but 2 as there is clear regularity in the appearance of for instance *g, d, t*, the Korean alphabet is itself quite enough for reading correctly.

The Korean language has three series of explosives: 3

k, t, p and also *č*, unaspirated, weak sounds;

kh, th, ph, čh, strongly aspirated sounds;

kk, tt, pp, čč, long and emphatically pronounced unaspirated sounds.

The sounds *k, t, p* and *č* are in an antevocalic position *l e n e s* sounds, i.e. the explosive part of them is a slack separation of the closed parts in the mouth. There is no puff of air breaking the

occlusion, and the voice is heard immediately after or already during the separation. If a voiced sound precedes, the voice continues even during that short movement of occlusion which is necessary. This means that the voiceless sounds *k*, *t*, *p*, *č* vary with half-voiced *c*, *d*, *b*, *dž* and voiced *g*, *đ*, *b*, *ž*. Korean written *ka-ta* is thus pronounced *kada*, *ka-ke* is *kage*, *to-ra ka-ta* is *tora gada*, *to-ra po-ta* is *tora boda*, *an-ča* is *anža*, *kam-ta* is *kamda*, etc.

The signs for *k*, *t* and *p* in post-vocalic position and after *l* are clusile sounds, where the implosive part (the gliding from vowel to the following occlusion) is clearly audible and the occlusion short, but the separation or explosive part of no importance or totally missing. Thus in pronouncing for instance a syllable like *ap*, the opening of *p* is not audible, contrary to what is the case in English (cf. *c a p*) and in most European languages. The Koreans seem to have no difficulty in distinguishing the post-vowel sounds in syllables like *kak*, *kat*, *kap* without letting the air audibly pass out after the checking sounds.

To indicate a post-vowel *t* the Koreans use the sign for *s*, and not that for *t*.

4 Where two explosive sounds follow one another, the first is supported by the preceding vowel and the second by the following. The transition from one clusion to another is made without letting any air pass between the two consonants. E.g. *kapta*, *kapko*, *pakta*, *pakča*, *kupči*, *kukpap*, *papkuk*.

5 The affricata *č* is not found after a vowel as a checking consonant, but is pronounced with the following vowel.

6 The consonants which are here spelt *kh*, *th*, *ph* and *čh* are ante-vocalic, i.e. pronounced together with the following vowel. They may be described as strongly aspirated *fortes*. Between the explosion and the vowel the voiceless air stream is distinctly heard. They are used both as initial sounds and in the middle of the word. When they are initial, the occlusion is short. But after a preceding vowel the occlusion is considerably longer and a part of the stressed air stream may be audible also before the occlusion. In intervocalic position, for instance, *akha*, *atha*, *apha*, *ačha* etc.

the pronunciation is something like *aĳk-kha*, *aĳ-tha*, *aĳ-phā*, *ač-čha* or sometimes almost *ahk-kha*, *aht-tha*, *ahp-phā*, *ahč-čha*.

(Of course the spelling *ččh* is here taken only for the sake of explanation, and in the following I prefer to write *atčha*.)

Where the strongly aspirated sounds are preceded by a consonant, 7 no air passes before the occlusion, but the glide between the preceding consonant and the aspirated clusile may be partly voiceless if that consonant is voiced elsewhere.

As the aspirated sounds can be pronounced only together with 8 the following vowel, every such sound is changed to the corresponding post-vowel clusile, when it stands at the end of a syllable. This rule is very important because the words with inflexion which have an aspirated sound as the last sound of the stem appear unaspirated before a pause and everywhere where a consonant ending is joined to the word. E.g. stem **path* 'field', loc. *pathe* 'on the field', but nom. *pat*; stem **tiph* 'straw', instr. **tiphīro* 'with straw', S. Korean *čiphīro*, but nom. N. Kor. *tip*, S. Kor. *čip*; *nophīn* 'high', *nophatta* 'was high', but *nopta* 'to be high'; stem **mjēčh* 'how much', *mjēčhil* 'how many days', but nom. *mjet* 'how many'.

The spelling *kk*, *tt*, *pp*, *čč* (= *tč*) and *ss* at the beginning of a 9 word is used here to transcribe a kind of articulation where the occlusion (in *ss* the friction) is about double as long as in the weak *k*, *t*, *p*, *č* and *s*. These sounds are articulated emphatically and with a peculiar pressure on the respective organs of contact, together with a pressure or jerk in the throat. If pronounced distinctly, laryngeal clusile (?) is present, combined with the occlusion in the oral canal, but this laryngeal sound does not seem to be quite necessary in the articulation of these sounds. Some local variations in the pronunciation seem to exist, but there is always a certain pressure in the throat, and this causes a sharp and high pitch in the following vowel. This pitch in the following vowel is no less characteristic of these sounds than is the duration of the occlusion or friction in the consonant itself. Historically speaking, the Korean sounds of this kind, which we will call the *geminata* sounds, and which the Koreans themselves call *tōn* (*toin*) or 'thick', are often an old

contraction of two clusil sounds which have originally been kept apart by a short vowel. As far as is clear from comparison with corresponding words in cognate languages, the first clusil has in most cases been a *p*. This *p* has been articulated insufficiently and probably developed into a sound near *q* followed by some kind of *s* (an unvoiced hissing?), according to what some old writings *psk*-, *pst*- show. But very soon this reduced consonant sound has been substituted by the laryngeal clusil and the present-day pronunciation is most easily rendered by *kk*, *tt*, etc. E.g. *ttal* 'girl', written *stal*, *ttal*, or *ptal*, according to the manner of the writer, cf. Goldi *patala*, 'girl'; *ssida* 'to use', written *ssida*, *psida*, cf. *mōpsin* 'impossible, bad', originally *mō-psin* 'of no use'; *ssi* 'seed', written *ssi*, *psi*, cf. *upsi*, usually written *upssi* 'seed sown later and between the drills of the first sowing', from original *u* 'over' and original *psi* 'seed', cf. Manchu *fisihe* 'millet'; *kkada*, written *pkada*, *skada*, *pskada* 'to shell', 'to peel', cf. Manchu *faharambi* 'id'.

- 10 Besides this source of geminata, there are also two more ways in which the present-day language has arrived at this kind of consonant. As the kind of consonants used at the end of a syllable is limited, the articulation of some consonants has been regressively taken over by the initial consonant. There has been for instance a verb *kęsk*- 'to break', and this is now *kķękta* (*kķękķę* : *kķękķin* 'broken'). The other process which leads to geminata is the absorption of a preceding sound, for instance that of an ending. The name for 'a flower' was originally **koč* (cf. Jap. *kusa*), but the genitive ending (-*n*? -*s*?) which in most cases preceded this noun has helped to give the present *kkot* 'flower', which is declined in N. Kor. with historical correctness *kkože* 'in the flower', but in S. Kor. *kkothe* or *kkode*, owing to new formations from the nominative *kkot*.

- 11 Where we write *kk*, *tt*, *pp*, *čč*, *ss* in the middle of a word, the spelling is used only to indicate the length of the consonant, just as *nn*, *mm*, *ll* only mean a long consonant sound, of which the first part checks the preceding vowel and the second part glides into the following vowel. Here the length of the sound is quite essential.

Of course, in *kk*, *tt*, *pp*, etc., *nn*, *ll* there are not two sounds but only one long one.

If a long sound in the declension or conjugation happens to stand at the end of a syllable before an ending beginning with a consonant, the long consonant is substituted by the corresponding short one.

In the same way as the post-vocalic *k*, *t*, *p* as final sounds are pronounced without audible explosion, all other consonants in the same position are articulated without a distinguishable opening moment. For instance *aŋ*, *an*, *am* are pronounced so that the implosion or the gliding from the vowel to the respective consonants is clearly heard, but the end of the consonant is mute or remains closed.

Where the dental clusil *t*, which etymologically can appear in both conjugation and declension as variant of *th*, *t*, *čh*, *č* or *s*, stands at the end of a syllable and the following sound also is a consonant, this *t* assimilates itself with the following sound. E.g.:

patta 'to receive', *pannan* 'receiving', *pakko* 'in receiving', *patča* 'let's receive', *passe* 'may receive'.

The stem *pat*- is visible in *padatta* 'has received'.

utta 'to laugh', *unnan* 'laughing', *ukko* 'in laughing', *utča* 'let's laugh', *usse* 'may laugh'.

The stem is *us*:- *ušetta* 'has laughed', *ušin* 'laughter'.

päatta 'to spit', *päannan*, *päakko*, *päatča*, *päasse*. The stem *pä-ath*- we have in *päathatta* 'spat'.

itta 'to be', 'to exist', *innan*, *ikko*, *itča*, *isse*.

The stem is *iss*:- *issetta* 'was'.

antta 'to sit', *annan*, *ankko*, *antča*, *ansse*.

The stem is *anč*:- *ančatta* 'he sat'.

matta 'to fit', *mannan*, *makko*, *matča*, *masse*.

The stem is *mač*:- *mačatta* 'fitted, agreed'.

When we take into consideration this assimilation of *t* at the end of a syllable, we can detect this sound as the original in many Korean words, for instance *pappuda*, earlier *patpuda* 'to hurry'

from a stem **pas-* 'to press', *kippuda*, earlier *kitpuda*, original **kispuda* 'to be glad', and *kikkepta*, original **kiskepta* 'id.', etc.

- 16 Where *th* and *t* have been followed by vocalic or semivocalic *i*-sound, the N. Korean has retained this unaltered, but in S. Korean the development has given *čhi* and *či* (respectively *ži*). E.g.

thjen (Chin.) 'Heaven', S. Korean *čhen*

thjo-phi (Chin.) 'sable skin', S. Kor. *čho-phi*

thjei (Chin.) 'body', 'form', S. Kor. *če*

tje 'that', S. Kor. *čē*

tjohin 'good', S. Kor. *čohin*

tikjeŋ 'boundary', S. Kor. *čigjeŋ*

tjepsi 'plate', S. Kor. *čepsi* (cf. Mongol. *tebsi*)

In North Korean there are dialects which in this case retain the dental sound and some which omit the *j*-sound; e.g. *tje*, *tē* 'that', *tjepši*, *tepši* 'plate', *tohin*, *toun* beside *tjohin*, *tjoun*, etc. These North Korean dialects ought to be studied, as they are very good criteria and enable us to decide where present day South Korean *čhi* and *či* are original and where they are obtained through palatalisation from original *thi* and *ti*.

- 17 In the same North Korean dialects, the South Korean *čh* and *č* appear in some words as *čh* and *č*, in others as *ch* (= *tsh*) and *c* (= *ts*). Here *tsh* is original *čh* and *ts* original *č*, while *čh* corresponds to original *čhj* and *č* to original *čj*. E.g.:

čal 'well', N.Kor. *tsar*

čul 'line', N.Kor. *tsur*

čarada 'to be sufficient', N.Kor. *tsarada*

čakta 'to be small', N.Kor. *tšakta*, i.e. **čjak-*

čęžin 'wet, watery', N.Kor. *tędzin*

čelmin 'young', N.Kor. *tšermun*, i.e. **čjerm-*

- 18 Antevocalic *s* in South Korean is pronounced in North Korean either as *s* or as *š*. Where the North Korean dialects show *š* (*ś*), the original has been *sj*. Before the vowel *i* the N.Kor. pronunciation of a written *s* is always *ši*, e.g.

sęda 'to stay', N.Kor. *šęda*, orig. **sįę-*

megese 'after having eaten', N.Kor. *megeše*, orig. **mege-się*

saŋ 'reward, prize', N.Kor. *šaŋ*

surjeŋ 'provincial mandarin', N.Kor. *šurjeŋ*

keši 'the thing', N.Kor. *kęši*

As *čhj* (*čj-*) is pronounced *čh* (= *č'*) in South Korea and *čj* 19 (= *čj*) does not differ from *č*, the spelling in present day Korean books is not at all dependable; 'to give' is written *čjuda* or *čuda*, but as the dialects in the North have *tsuda*, the usual spelling *čjuda* is historically incorrect. This is also the case with the spellings *sj* and *s*, which are not kept apart. 'To stand', *sęda*, is written *sęda* or *sjęda*; the latter is here better corresponding to North Korean *šęda*. It is probable that the North Korean pronunciation with all its later vacillations is historically of higher value than the present day spelling in books printed in Keijo.

In some dialects in the South, also *kh* and *k* before *i* and *j* have 20 been palatalized to *čh* and *č*, e.g.

kil 'road', *čil* (on the island Quelpart)

kim (a name), *čim*

čigjeŋ 'boundary', *čižeŋ*, etc.

kiul, *kiuri* 'wheat shorts', *čiuri*.

This development seems to be quite local and recent, and is not recognized as good Korean. It has not entered into literature, but literary mistakes of this kind may be found.

When a clusil is followed by a nasal sound, it changes or, to 21 express the fact more correctly, has changed to the corresponding nasal. E.g.

mękta 'to eat' but *męŋninda* 'is eating', *patta* 'to get' but *pannanda* 'gets'

nęk *nęk hada* 'to be satisfying', pronounced *nęŋnęk hada*

päk-ma (Chin.) 'white horse', pron. *päŋma*, *sak-mada*, pron. *saŋ-mada* 'each payment'

sip-li (> *sip-ni*), pron. *simni* 'ten miles'

mjet-nal, pron. *mjennal* 'how many days', *kkot-nada*, pron. *kkon-nada* 'to bloom'

kep 'fear', *kep-nada*, pron. *kepnada* 'to become frightened'

kup-(n)ilda, pron. *kumnilda* 'to move up and down'

ap-(n)i, pron. *amni* 'the front teeth'; *pat-mada*, pron. *pan-mada* 'each field'

This common rule concerns the nasal sounds *n* and *m* at the beginning of the following syllable. The nasal sound *ŋ* is used only at the close of a syllable.

- 22 Dialectically the combination of *ŋ* and a following *g* is pronounced as long *ŋŋ*, beside the usual *ŋg* sound:

kamgida 'to be rolled up', pron. *kaŋŋida* in some places, in others *kamŋida*, *kaŋgida*.

simgida 'to plant', local pron. *siŋŋida* and *simŋida*, *siŋgida*.

- 23 There seems to have prevailed once a phonetical law which has made the pronunciation of *ŋ* where it happened to stand between vowels weaker and weaker, and the result has been its total disappearance and sometimes the contraction of the two vowels to one. The same law has also annihilated a nasal sound which could be best described as a palatal *ɲ*. For this nasal, which was something like a weak nasalized *j*, the Korean alphabet had a special sign, a small triangle \triangle , but the pronunciation must have been very weak and locally divergent, as is evident from the many different spellings. As a special sound this *ɲ* has disappeared long ago. E.g.

kä 'dog', written *kai*, earlier **kaɲi* (cf. Gilyak *kan* 'dog', Turkish *qančug* 'bitch')

ka 'shore', earlier written *kaɲ*

ei 'mother (of animals)', earlier **eɲi* (cf. Tung. *eŋe* 'mother').

- 24 The authors of a small but quite reliable Russian-Korean dictionary, printed in Kazan in 1904, use two signs for *ŋ*, and so distinguish between a stronger pronounced *ŋ* at the end of a syllable and a weaker before a following vowel, e.g.

kaŋ 'river', but *kaŋi* 'the river'

naraŋ 'country', but *naraŋi* 'the country', etc.

This weakening of *ŋ* before a vowel seems to be old and explains why we have variants like S.Kor. *nara*, N.Kor. *naraŋ* 'country', *mada*, N.Kor. *maɲa* 'each', etc.

The sound *n* has maintained itself in all other cases and combinations except where a following vowel or semi-vowel *i*, *j* has immediately followed it. Here *n* must have very early been pronounced *ɲ* and has, as the **ɲ*-sound in general, later disappeared. This is well proved by the present form of Chinese loan words. E.g.

nin 'human being', now *in*, local N.Kor. *-nin*, *in-*

ni (Chin.) 'two', now *i*, N.Kor. *i*

njen 'year', now *jen*, N. Kor. *njen* or *nen*

nje (Chin.), 'woman', now *je*, N.Kor. *nje*, *ne*

njei 'ancient', now *je*, N.Kor. *nje*, *ne*, etc.

This law has worked only on the initial sound, and elsewhere, i.e. where, as in compounds, the word was connected with the preceding word, *n* remained. E.g.

i-njen 'two years', but *jen* 'a year'

je 'yes', *o-nje* 'yes'

sonje (written *sjo-nje*) 'I, your daughter' (literally: 'the small woman')

As earlier mentioned, the Koreans have the same sign for both *l* and *r*. The pronunciation varies according to the dialect, but the following may be given as a general rule:

1. At the beginning of a word neither *l* nor *r* are heard, the pronunciation being *n*; about *li* and *lj* see below!
2. Between vowels, *r* is the short and *ll* the corresponding long sound. Instead of *ll* the Koreans write *ln*.
3. After a vowel, the pronunciation is *r*, if the following sound is *h*.
4. At the end of a syllable and after a vowel, when the following sound is some other consonant than *h*, the S. Korean pronunciation is *l*, but the N.Korean *r*.

The Korean *r* is pronounced with few and rather weak tremulations. The sound *l* is the normal bilateral *l*, neither »thick» nor palatalised.

- 27 The original Korean language had neither *l* nor *r* at the beginning of a word, just like the Tungus and Mongol languages. The initial *l* in Chinese words was pronounced as *n*. Where the Chinese had *li* or *lj*, this gave *ni* and *nj*, which mostly remains in N.Korean, but has resulted in *i* and *j* in S.Korean, following the laws for initial *n*. E.g.

Chin. *lok* 'green', pronounced *nok*

- » *lam* 'blue', pron. *nam*
- » *la-tjen* 'Latin', pron. *nažen*, *nažin*
- » *la-kui* 'donkey', pron. *nagui*
- » *lō-hjen* 'old brother', pron. *nōhjen* 'you'
- » *lai-il* 'the coming day', pron. *näil*, N.K. *nääl* 'tomorrow'
- » *lam-pi*, pron. *nambi* 'frying pan' (Jap. *nabe*)

Engl. *lamp*, Kor. *namphu* (Jap. *rampu*)

Chin. *li* 'mile', pron. *i*, N.K. *ni*

- » *ljuk* 'six', pron. *juk*, N.K. *njuk*.

In compounds the antevocalic Chinese *l* mostly retains its pronunciation as *n* and causes nasalisation of a preceding clusil. E.g. **mjet-li* > *mjenni* 'how many miles', **ljuk-li* > *juŋni* 'six miles', *sip-li* > *simni* 'ten miles', **sip-ljuk* > *simnjuk* 'sixteen', *sip-ljuk-li* > *simnjuŋni* 'sixteen miles'.

- 28 There exist somewhere (I have met some individuals in a railway carriage in North Korea) local dialects with an initial sound between *n*, *l* and *d*, probably some kind of nasalised *l* with the tip of the tongue in the position of *d*. The word for 'four', elsewhere *nē*, was pronounced as *n̄dui*, *n̄lui* or *duin*, *luin*. The persons I met seemed not to be able to distinguish between *l*, *n*, *d* and *r* at the beginning of a word. They had also a palatalised *l* before *j*, e.g. (Chin. *in-lju*) *illu* 'man' 'mankind', the usual pronunciation being *illju* (or *illu*).

- 29 It seems clear that the Korean language has treated the sounds *l* and *r* as one and has developed a scheme by which *r* is the short

and *l* the corresponding long sound. Therefore *r* is heard between vowels and before *h* with a following vowel. The South Korean has now after a vowel always *l* if this sound is tautosyllabic, but changes into *r* as soon as the sound is taken over to the next syllable. In the old language the pronunciation may have been about the same as in present day North Korean, where post-vocalic *r* is heard. E.g.:

salgo 'in living', *saratta* 'has lived', *sarim* 'life', *salmjen* 'if living'
tjlgō 'lifting', *tjreŋta* 'has lifted', *tjrim* 'the lifting', *tjlmjen* 'if lifting'
molgo 'in driving', *moratta* 'has driven', *morim* 'the drive', *molmjen*
 'if driving'

jelgo 'in opening', *jereŋta* 'has opened', *jerim* 'the opening', *jelmjen*
 'if one opens', etc.

In all the above examples, the North Korean dialects have everywhere *r*.

When after *l* (N.Kor. *r*) a dental sound (*th*, *t*, *d*, *s*, *čh*, *č*, *ž*) has followed in the same syllable or begun the next syllable, the *l* (*r*) sound seems very early to have been weakened and then lost. It has also been lost before a bilabial *w*, which in the verbs has been the suffix (*-wu*, *-bu*) for the passive stem and later has developed into *o*, *u*. The regular development in the verbs which have *l* (*r*) as the last sound has therefore been that this *l* (*r*) has disappeared before many endings. When now in verbs of this kind *l* is written and pronounced before for instance the ending *-da*, this depends on a late restoration, and may be purposely invented by grammarians. To take the same examples again, we have for instance:

sāda (and restored *salda*) 'to live', *sān* 'one who has lived', *sānan*
 'living', *sāžī* 'lives, perhaps', N.Kor. *sādi*, *sardi*
tjda (and restored *tjlda*) 'to lift', *tjŋ* 'lifted', *tjŋin* 'lifting', *tjžī* 'lifts,
 perhaps', N.Kor. *tjdi*, *tjrdi*
mōda (and *molda*) 'to drive', *mōn* 'driven', *mōnan* 'driving', *mōžī*
 'drives, perhaps'
jēda (and *jelda*) 'to open', *jēn* 'opened', *jēnin* 'opening', *jēžī* 'may
 open'.

- 31 The language has thus lost by simplifying combinations of sounds like *lth*, *lt*, *ld*, *ls*, *lēh*, *lē* and *lž*. The only remaining combinations are *lk*, *lp*, *lph* and *lh*. Where *lk*, *lp* and *lph* are followed by a consonant, in present day Korean either *l* is omitted or, if the following consonant is a clusil sound, *p* and *k* are alternatively omitted. E.g.

pulkta (pron. *pukta*, dial. *pultta*) 'to be red', *pulgin* 'red'
malkta (pron. *makta*, dial. *maltta*) 'to be bright', *malgin* 'bright'
palpta (pron. *papta*, dial. *paltta*) 'to tramp', *palbatta* 'has tramped'
nelpta (pron. *nepta*, dial. *neltta*) 'to be broad', *nelbin* 'broad'

- 32 Taking into consideration these tendencies of *l* to disappear, we can in many words find or try to find traces of this sound, especially in nouns where the nominative has strongly influenced the other cases. E.g.

ap 'fore', loc. *aphe* 'in the fore', in the earlier language *alphe*. Here the locative *aphe* has been remodelled after the nominative *ap*, which is regular with its omitting of *l*.
altha 'to be sick', stem *alh-*; *aphuda* 'to be sick, to be in pain' from earlier *alphu-*, received from *alh-* with the ending *-bu-*. The disappearance of *l* is regular.
phat, dial. *phot*, 'beans', Loc. *phatēhe*, *photēhe*. Cf. Turk. *burč-aq* 'peas'.

- 33 As to *lh*, the pronunciation is usually *r* because *h* is pronounced very weakly if at all. The sound *h* is united with a following clusil so that this is aspirated. In other cases *lh* is treated like *l*, but does not disappear before dentals (see above). Thus the combination *-lhn-* gives *-ll-*, while *-ln-* gives *-n-*. E.g.

oltha (from **olh-ta*) 'to be right', *orhatta*, *oratta* 'was right', *orhyn*, *orin* 'right', but *olkhe* 'rightly'
kiltha (from *kilh-ta*) 'to boil', *kirhetta*, *kiretta* 'boiled', *killin* (from *kilh-nin*) 'boiling', but *kilkho* 'in boiling'
ttultha (from *ttulh-ta*) 'to pierce', *tturhetta*, *tturetta* 'has pierced', *tturhin*, *tturin* 'pierced', *ttullinda* 'is piercing'.

- 34 In Chinese loan words a post-vowel *l(r)* is found. It corresponds to an original *t(d)* sound in the Chinese of older days, but long ago

lost. The pronunciation may have altered from *d* to a spirant *δ* like the English *th* in 'this', and this spirant was perhaps in older Chinese already some kind of *r*. From this **d* ~ (**t*) the Japanese have got a syllable *-tu*, *-ti*, now pronounced *-tsu*, *-chi*. E.g.

Kor. *wel*, N.Kor. *wer*, Jap. *gwetsu* from **gwet*, present Chin. *jü*, 'month, moon'
Kor. *thjel*, pron. *čhel*, N.Kor. *thjer*, Jap. *tetsu* from *thet*, present Chin. *the* 'iron'
Kor. *il*, N.Kor. *ir*, Jap. *nichi*, *jitsu* from *nit*, *dit*, present Chin. *ni* 'day'
Kor. *phil*, N.Kor. *phir*, Jap. *hitsu* from *phit*, present Chin. *fi* 'pen', 'brush for writing'
Kor. *phal*, N.Kor. *phar*, Jap. *hachi* from *phat*, present Chin. *pha* 'eight'
Kor. *sal*, N.Kor. *sar*, Jap. *satsu* from *sat*, present Chin. *sa* 'killing, death', etc.

This final (*l*)*r* in Chinese words does not disappear before dentals 35 as the *r*- sound of originally Korean words does. The only exception is perhaps the word *pul*, *pu* 'not', which follows the Korean rule. E.g. *pulgil* 'unlucky', *pulpep* 'lawlessness, illegal', but *pudon* 'not the same', *pudek* 'not virtuous', *pudan* 'improper, not proper'. Cf. Korean expressions (*pur* 'fire') *pusap* 'fire shovel', *pusö*, *pusi* 'steel as used with a flint'; *panil* 'needle', *panižil* 'needlework' (*-čil* 'work'); *hanal* 'heaven', *hananim* 'the Lord'; *simul* 'twenty', *simurhana* 'twentyone', *simudu* 'twentytwo', *simuse* 'twentythree', *simulle*, *simune* 'twentyfour'; *sol* 'the pine', *namu* 'the tree', *sonamu*, *sollamu* 'the pinetree', *mul* 'water', *čige* 'a rack or hod for carrying', *mužige* 'the rainbow'; *ssal* 'rice', *č'en* (**tjen*) 'shop', *ssažen* 'rice store'; *sal* 'flesh', *tjē* 'the back', *sadiji* 'the back'.

Where the second or third syllable has been *ro*, *ru*, *ri* and the 36 vowel have been *s h o r t*, a following ending beginning with a vowel has changed *ro*, *ru*, *ri* into *ll*. E.g.

paroda 'to be straight', *pallatta* 'was straight', *pallida* 'to straighten'
moruda 'not to know', *mollatta* 'did not know'
oruda, *orjida* 'to ascend', *ollatta* 'has ascended', *ollida* 'to raise'

hīrida 'to flow', *hille* 'having flowed'
nirida, S.Kor. *irida* 'to name', *illetta* 'has named'
purida 'to call', *pulle* 'having called', *pullida* 'to be called, to be named'
haro 'one day', loc. *halle* (besides *haroe*) 'on one day'
maro, *mallo* 'the ridge of a hill', *malle*, *malloe* 'on the ridge of a hill'.

This changing of *r* (*l*) with a short vowel into *ll*, which is somewhat similar to the Greek declension *polys*, pl. *polloi* 'the many', is especially to be kept in mind in the conjugation of many verbs of this class.

37 The combinations *ln* and *nl* have both given *ll*, except in old Korean words where *l* had disappeared before *n*. E.g.

thjen-li 'heavenly laws', pron. *čhelli*, N.Kor. *thjelli*
čjen-li 'thousand miles', pron. *čelli*
pun-lan 'confusion, disorder', pron. *pullan*
man-li 'ten thousand miles', pron. *malli*
pul nada 'to start to burn', pron. *pullada*
sol namu 'pinetree', pron. *sollamu* and *sonamu*
jel namın 'exceeding ten', pron. *jellamın*
tul (n) je-in 'a lonely woman, widow', pron. *tulljein*
in-lju 'mankind', pron. *illju*

This rule of pronunciation is to be kept in mind in uniting words beginning or ending in *l*.

Table of consonant assimilation.

The first syllable ends in:

	<i>k</i> ¹	<i>t</i> ²	<i>p</i> ³	<i>n</i>	<i>m</i>	<i>l</i> ⁴	<i>ŋ</i>
The next syllable begins with							
<i>k</i>	<i>kk</i>	<i>kk</i>	<i>pk</i>	<i>ŋg</i>	<i>mg</i>	<i>lg</i>	<i>ŋg, ŋŋ</i>
<i>t</i>	<i>kt</i>	<i>tt</i>	<i>pt</i>	<i>nd</i>	<i>md</i>	<i>ld, d</i>	<i>ŋd</i>
<i>p</i>	<i>kp</i>	<i>pp</i>	<i>pp</i>	<i>mb</i>	<i>mb</i>	<i>lb</i>	<i>ŋb</i>
<i>č</i>	<i>kč</i>	<i>tč</i>	<i>pč</i>	<i>nž</i>	<i>mž</i>	<i>lž, ž</i>	<i>nž</i>
<i>s</i>	<i>ks</i>	<i>ss</i>	<i>ps</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>ms</i>	<i>ls, s</i>	<i>ŋs</i>
<i>n</i>	<i>ŋn</i>	<i>nn</i>	<i>mn</i>	<i>nn</i>	<i>mn</i>	<i>ll, n</i>	<i>ŋn</i>
<i>m</i>	<i>ŋm</i>	<i>mm</i>	<i>mm</i>	<i>mm (nm)</i>	<i>mm</i>	<i>lm</i>	<i>ŋm</i>
<i>r, l</i>	<i>ŋn</i>	<i>nn</i>	<i>mn</i>	<i>ll</i>	<i>mn</i>	<i>ll</i>	<i>ŋn</i>

1) *-k* from orig. *k*, *kh*, *sk* and *lk*

2) *-t* from orig. *t*, *th*, *s*, *ss*, *č*, *čh*

3) *-p* from orig. *p*, *ph*, *ps* and *lp*

4) *-l* or *-r* is assimilated and absorbed in the preceding vowel, when the following is an ending which begins with a dental; old compounds follow the same rule, but in newer compounds and in the contact of two independent words *l* remains.

The sound *h* is pronounced if the following vowel is sufficiently stressed; with loss of stress *h* usually disappears, at least in the South Korean pronunciation. It is mostly found in the beginning of a word, but in local dialects it is to be heard also in the second or third syllable, where the South Korean seems to have lost it long ago. In some dialects it varies with *s*, and historically speaking *h* seems in most cases to go back to an earlier *s* (or *z*?). Dialectically *hj* is often heard as *sj* and *š*. E.g.

kail 'autumn', dial. *kahil*, *kahir*, *kasir*

kjeul 'winter', dial. *keul*, *keur*, *kjesir*

mahin 'forty', pron. *main*

kesil 'the thing' (Acc.), pron. *keil*, *kēl*, *-gēl*

utta 'to laugh', *usim* 'laughter', dial. *uhim*, *uim*

hje 'the tongue', variant *sje*, *še*, *šetä*

Cf. *hna* 'one' with Aino *shine* 'one'; *hda* 'to do' with Manchu *se-* 'to do, to say', Jap. *suru* 'to do'; *hji-* 'to be white', Tungus *si-*; *hqi* 'day', Tung. *siun*, Manchu *šun* 'sun'.

Some verb stems have *rh* (*lh*) and *nh* as the last sounds, and in such verbs the *h* sound reappears as aspiration in the clusils where possible. In other combinations it is lost. E.g.

mantha 'to be many, to be much', stem *manh-*, *mankho* 'being many',

mančhi 'many, perhaps', but *manso* 'it is many', *manhin*, pron.

manin 'many', *manhi*, pron. *mani* adv. 'much'

kiltha 'to boil', stem *kilh-*, *kilkho* 'boiling', but *kilso* 'boils', *kilida*

'to make boil, to cook', *killinda* 'is boiling'

- 40 There are also verb stems where *h* as the last sound stands after a vowel and remains in a following aspirated clusil, but is elsewhere difficult to distinguish. It is the Korean orthography which retains it, but the pronunciation has usually lost it. E.g.

čotha 'to be good', N. Kor. *tjotha*, stem orig. *tjoh-*: *čokho* 'being good',
čokhe 'to be good', N. Kor. *tjojn*, *tjoun*, S. Kor. *čoin*, written
čohjn 'good'
neŋtha 'to put in', stem *neŋ-*: *neŋkho* 'in putting in', N. Kor. *nejn*, *neen*,
nēn, written *nehn* 'laid in'
notha 'to set free', stem *noh-*: *nokhe* 'in order to set free', *notčhi ani*
hada 'not to set free', etc.

- 41 Although this *h* remains when the ending contains a clusil, the originally aspirated clusils do not affect the endings. E.g.

nophjn 'high', stem *noph-*: *nopta* 'to be high', *nopko* 'being high',
nopči ani hada 'not to be high'
kaphjn 'paid', stem *kaph-*: *kapta* 'to pay', *kaphatta* 'has paid', *kapke*
hada 'to make pay'
halthatta 'has licked', stem *halth-*: *haltta* 'to lick, to lap'
stem *ččotčh-*: *ččotta* 'to frighten away', *ččotčhatta* 'has frightened away',
ččokko 'in frightening', *ččokke hada* 'to make to frighten away'.

- 42 There is evidence that the Korean language has had spirantic *g* and *b*. In North Korean dialects, which also in other ways are more antique than the southern dialects, one sometimes finds this *g* and *b* extant. As to the disappearance of *b* cf.

N. Kor. <i>obun</i> 'all'	S. Kor. <i>ōn</i> , cf. Tung. <i>upkat</i> 'all'
N. Kor. <i>mugebun</i> 'heavy'	S. Kor. <i>mugeun</i>
<i>mugebi</i> 'weight'	<i>muge</i>
N. Kor. <i>kakkabun</i> 'near'	S. Kor. <i>kakkaon</i>
<i>kakkabi</i> 'nearness'	<i>kakkai</i> (written <i>kakkahi</i>)
N. Kor. <i>hgbun</i> 'alone'	S. Kor. <i>hōn</i> , cf. <i>hona</i> 'one'

- 43 The syllables *ua*, *wę* have as a rule given *o*, *u*, and we therefore have present day *hao* for older *hawa*; *poo* for *powa*; *io*, *iu* for older

iwe. At the beginning of a word, the syllable *wę* has given *e*, at least in the interrogative stem *e* (in *ena*, *ette*, *etči*) which in some northern dialects according to Ross is still pronounced as *wę* and goes back to an earlier **me-*, **mi-* 'what'. As ending of the passive stem the spirantic *b* has been used also in the verb stems with *l*, *r*, and we have now, for instance, *ao* 'knows', *jęo* 'opens', from supposed **alwa*, **jęlwe*, etc. This may depend on analogy from the verbs with vowel stems, since *l* (**r*) had disappeared.

The spirantic *g* (i.e. the *γ* sound) can be detected in words of the 44
type *namu*, *namo*, *namak* 'tree', *čaro*, *čaru*, *čarak* 'handle', where the oldest pronunciation may have been *namug*, *čarag*, and the North Korean pronunciation is (in the determinative form, whose ending is *-i*) *namgi*, *cargi*, etc. Here, evidently, the *g* sound in most cases widened to a vowel after the preceding vowel, but remained if this was lost. Here belong substantives in *o*, *u* and probably also many words in *e*, e.g. N. Kor. *surgi*, S. Kor. *sure* 'cart'.

The vowels.

The Korean alphabet has the following signs for the vowels: 45

	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>o</i>	<i>u</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>a</i>
As initial	아	어	오	우	으	이	으
In the word	ㅏ	ㅑ	ㅓ	ㅕ	ㅡ	ㅣ	ㅗ

With an extra line, the signs for *ja*, *ję*, *jo* and *ju* are obtained from those for *a*, *e*, *o* and *u*. Further, by uniting the *i* stroke with the vowel sign, the diphthongs are obtained. We find thus:

ja	je	jo	ju	and	
야	여	요	유		
ai	ei	jei	oa	ii	ai
애	예	예	와	의	이

The Korean order of vowels is *a*, *ja*, *e*, *ję*, *o*, *jo*, *u*, *ju*, *i*, *i*, *a*, and in the Korean alphabet all vowels are usually placed under the name of *i* (*ihän*) between *s* and *η*.

46 So much for the Korean vowel letters. — As to the pronunciation, this has advanced and changed in some respects since the Korean alphabet was invented. In the present day good pronunciation may be observed:

a the normal low-back *a*, long or short;

ε a broad *e* sound articulated well back in the mouth, which gives it a shade of back *ō*; the lips are not round, and the sound is mid-mixed or mid-back, resembling the English vowel in *bird*, *world*, *urn*; it is used both as long and short;

o the normal low-back (low mid-back) rounded *o*;

u the high-back rounded *u* sound; it seems to have two shades, or we have two *u* sounds, the one being more back, the other pronounced against the middle of the palate;

ī the high-mixed vowel, or an *i* sound taken strongly back and high in the mouth, somewhat like Russian *ы* or Turkish *ı*;

i the high-front vowel, as in other languages;

g is some kind of back vowel, short or long, and has been pronounced probably as the English vowel in words like *blood*, *club*.

47 All other vowels have probably remained unchanged, but the sign for *g*, called by the Koreans 'the short *a*', now causes the Koreans themselves much confusion. The fact of the matter is that most dialects, and especially that of the capital, have lost this special vowel because it has developed to *a* or in some cases to *ī*, more seldom to *ε*. This causes many different spellings, the most usual being that of real or 'long' *a* for the sign *g* in older documents and books. E.g. *hada* 'to do', *hanḡn* 'doing', now usually spelt *hada*, *hanan*, *hanin* (sometimes *hanen*).

Historically speaking, this 'short' *g* is, like the English vowel in *blood*, *cup*, a late development of an earlier back vowel (*a*, *o*, *u*), which has not been pronounced clearly enough because of shortness; the long *g* may have appeared as its pendant later. E.g. *mgl* 'horse', N.Kor. *mār*, dial. *mor*, cf. Mongol. *morin*, Tung. *murin*, Turk. (Urjang-hai) *mor* 'horse'; *phat*, determ. *phatčhi*, 'the beans', dial. *phot*, *photčhi*,

cf. Turk. *burč-aq* 'pea'. Whenever we meet in Korean dialects a variation of *a* with *o* or *a* with *u*, we may suspect this earlier *g* as the sound which was or is meant with the spelling *a*. Before *s*, *l* and *r* and after *h*, *g* was earlier written also in cases where some front vowel seems to be historically most probable, and this may indicate that *g* was once the pronunciation before *s* and *r* (*gs* from earlier *is* and *es*, *gr* from earlier *ir*) and also after *h* (*hḡda* from supposed **hḡda*).

The vowels can appear preceded by a semi-vowel *j*, which gives, 48 as we spell it here, *j*, and we have *ja*, *jε*, *jo*, *ju* with a weak *j* sound. As shown above, the Koreans themselves consider these combinations as special vowels. In many words the combined vowel *jε* is now pronounced *e*; e.g. *piḡda*, *peda* 'to lean down', *piḡge*, *pege*, *pegā*, 'a pillow'. In clear pronunciation, nevertheless, *jε* is the more correct. The combinations *jī*, *jī* and *ja* seem to have been already eliminated when the Korean letters were taken into use. If they ever existed, their loss must have been due to the disappearance of *j* either in the preceding consonant or in a vowel *i*. In the present day language *ja*, *jε*, *jo*, *ju* have lost the *j* sound after *s*, *č*, *čh* and *ž*, or in other words, *sja* and *sa* are pronounced in the same way as *sa*, *čja* and *ča* as *ča*, etc. But in some northern dialects the pronunciation is *ša* for written *sja*, *ča* for written *čja* and *čha* for written *čhja*, while *tša* is the pronunciation for written and original *ča*, *tse* for *čε*, *tše* for *čhε*, *tso* for *čo*, etc; vide § 16—19. ECKARDT says in his grammar that after *s*, *j*, *č*, *čh* the vowels *ja*, *jε*, *jo*, *ju* are pronounced a l m o s t without *j*, but that the vowel is mostly long, for instance *saḡ*, 'a table', but *sjaḡ*, pron. *sāḡ* with longer vowel, 'over'.

The vowels are, or have been, preceded also by a semi-vowel 49 *u* sound. The combinations *wa* and *wε*, which are spelt in Korean letters as *oa* and *ue*, still remain. If we suppose a possible earlier combination *wo* and *wu*, they must have given *o* and *u* and earlier *wi* probably *u*, but *wi* has given *i* and *wg* has given *o*. Combinations of a vowel with a following *w* appear as long vowels in the South Korean dialects; cf. above *ōn*, N.Kor. *obun*, 'all', *hōn*, N.Kor. *habun*, orig. *hḡbun*, 'alone' (to *hḡnā*, *hḡnna* 'one').

50 Diphthongs in *i* or combinations of a vowel with a following semi-vowel *i* are very frequent, and we have the spellings *ai*, *ei*, *oi*, *ii*, *ai* and also *jai*, *jei*, *joi*, *jui* as well as *wai* and *wēi*. The present day pronunciation is:

ai, pron. *ä*, the low-front broad *ä*, broader than the vowel in English *man*;

ei, pron. *e*, the mid-front *e*, almost like the vowel in English *day*;

oi, the most frequent pronunciation is *ō* like German *ō* in *schön*; but in many dialects the result has been *we* with weak *w* sound and perhaps some rounding of *e*;

ui, pron. as *ü* in French *rue* or in many dialects as *wi*, corresponding to pronunciation of written *oi* as *we*;

ii, pron. as diphthong or very often as *i* with the on-glide a little more back; the genitive ending *ii* is broader and sounds often like a short *e*;

ai, pron. *ä* (see above *a* as equivalent to present day *a*), in other words a broad *e*, but also as *ii* (see above *a* pron. as *i*); e.g. *ē-tai* 'where', pron. *ēdä*, *ēde*, *ēdii*, *ēdī*, *ēdi*.

51 The above shows that the Korean language since the Korean letters came into use has gradually assimilated the diphthongs into simple vowels and so obtained the new vowels *ä*, *e*, *ō* and *ü*. In some dialects there are now two *e* sounds, the narrower *e* (*e*) from earlier *ei* and the broader *e* (*ε*) from *ai*.

52 The triphthongs *jai*, *jei*, *joi*, *jui* and *wai*, *wēi* may be dealt with shortly since we have already treated the present day pronunciation of both the antevocalic semivowel and the postvocalic *i*. We need perhaps only say that *joi* is usually *jō* and *jui* usually *jū*, or *ō* and *ü* respectively.

E.g. *pai*, *pai* 'ship', pron. *pä*

nalgai 'wing', pron. *nalgä*

tai 'bamboo', pron. *tä*

ttei 'raft', pron. *tte*

čigēi 'coolie rack', pron. *čige*

čjēi-kim 'cymbals', pron. *čegim*

thjēi 'body, shape', pron. *čhe*

čai 'ashes', pron. *čä*, *če*

ka-kai 'may go', pron. *kage* (the ending has been *-kai* and *-kei*; in this case the variant *-kei* has been generalized)

old spelling *sargmai* 'of the man', pron. *saramii* (the ending has been *ai* and *ii*; the latter has been preferred)

toida 'to become', pron. *tōda*, or *tweda*

kui 'ear', pron. *kū* or *kii* (also *küi* is heard)

toije 'having become', pron. *tōje*, *tōije*

kui-ei 'in the ear', pron. *küe*, *küje*, *küije*

pui 'a broom', pron. *pi*, seldom *pū* or *püi*

puida 'to be vacant', pron. *pīda*

muipta 'to be disagreeable', pron. *mīpta*

phida 'to bloom', pron. *phīda*

The diphthongs *ui* and *ii* are after labial consonants as a rule pronounced as *i*, and the spelling is changing accordingly.

There are many variations and local differences in the pronunciation of the diphthongs and triphthongs. So, for instance, is *ja* pronounced as *a*, *jē* as *e* or somewhere else as *i*, *je* as *e* or *i*, *jo* as *o* or *ō*, *ju* as *ü*, *oi* as *we*, *wi*, *o*, *ä*, *i*, etc. In his publications Professor Shimpei OGURA has given many details about the present-day vowels in the southern parts of Korea. Without going into details or too deeply into dialectology, we have in the rendering of Korean speech tried to keep to the dialect of Seul or Keijo. This means that our transcription is rough and approximate.

The quantity of the vowels.

All Korean vowels are either short or long, the length being clearly heard when the word is pronounced with stress. If a word with a short vowel is stressed, the length appears on the consonant following the vowel. E.g.

Short	Long
<i>am</i> 'female'	<i>ām</i> 'of course'
<i>pal</i> 'foot'	<i>pāl</i> 'an arm's length'
<i>pam</i> 'night'	<i>pām</i> 'chestnut'
<i>nun</i> 'eye'	<i>nūn</i> 'snow'
<i>kim</i> (a name)	<i>kīm</i> 'weeds'
<i>pem</i> (Chin.) 'anybody', 'common'	<i>pēm</i> 'tiger'
<i>kil</i> 'road, way'	<i>kīl</i> 'length'
<i>tēl</i> (a plural sign)	<i>tēl</i> 'less'
<i>san</i> (Chin.) 'mountain'	<i>sān</i> 'alive'
<i>nā</i> 'smoke', 'my'	<i>nā</i> 'a brook'
<i>tōda</i> 'to become'	<i>tōda</i> 'to be thick (of liquids)'
<i>mal</i> 'horse'	<i>māl</i> 'speech, word'
<i>tam</i> 'wall'	<i>tām</i> 'consumption'
<i>tā</i> 'bamboo'	<i>tā</i> (Chin.) 'great'
<i>tan</i> 'bundle'	<i>tān</i> 'border'
<i>čan</i> 'market' (N.Kor. <i>tjan</i>)	<i>čān</i> 'bean sauce'
etc.	etc.

55 The difference in the quantity of the *first syllable* vowel seems to be most important, and the difference in the *following syllables*, if the word is a Korean word, is *not* so distinct. But if the words are Chinese and used in compounds, each Chinese word, i.e. syllable, is pronounced distinctly short or long as the case may be. This is necessary to keep Chinese homophone words apart.

56 But the first syllable vowels in Korean words do not always remain long. Thus the negation *ani*, *an* 'no', 'not' is pronounced with either long or short *a*. Usually the length is shortened in derivations and compounds; e.g. *sālda* (first *a* long) 'to live', but *sallida* 'to let live'; *ālda* 'to know' (first *a* long), but *allida* (and *alguda*) 'to let know', *arida*, *aroida* 'to inform', 'to tell', etc.

57 The long vowels in Korean words are mostly received through contraction of two vowels. E.g. *kīm* 'weeds', dial. *kīm*, *kis'im*; *mām* 'mind', *maam*, *maim*, dial. *majm*. Besides this contraction the disappearance of an earlier vowel in the second syllable, i.e. after

the consonant, has created long vowels. E.g. *kōm* 'a bear' (cf. Jap. *kuma*); *sēm* 'an island' (cf. Jap. *shima*); *pīda*, *pīlda* 'to beg, to pray' (cf. Mongol. *hirüger* 'prayer', Manchu *firu-* 'to invoke the gods'); *āda*, *alda* 'to know' (cf. Tung. *alagu-* 'to teach'); *pāl* 'an arm's length', probably orig. *parir* (cf. Mongol. *barim* 'an arm's length'); *māl* 'word', probably orig. **mara* (cf. Mongol. in Ordos *malagai* 'a mute').

Very early some vacillations and shortenings must have been usual in the Korean language, and two syllables shortened to one can also have given as a result a short vowel; e.g. *nat* 'sickle' (cf. Jap. *nata*); *kat*, stem *kas-* 'hat' (cf. Jap. *kasa*); *kkot*, earlier *koč-* 'flower' (cf. Jap. *kusa*).

The difference in the quantity of a vowel has earlier prevailed 58 also in regard to endings. For instance the ending of the genitive is originally *-ai*, *-ii* with short vowel, but the ending of the locative *-ä*, *-e*, originally *-ai*, *-ei* or *-ai*, *-ii* with long vowel. The ending of the declarative now *-ta*, originally *-ta* ~ *-te*, has had long *a*, *e*, while the ending of the regressive, now *-te*, has been *-ta* ~ *-te* with short vowel. In many cases it is difficult to say anything definite about the original quantity of the vowel because shortenings and reductions have occurred early and probably again and again at different times, and on the other hand a systematization of the endings is going on through the whole inflection.

The vowel harmony.

In the Korean, as in all cognate languages, traces survive of 59 a very ancient tendency towards the grouping of the different vowels. The vowels have early grouped themselves in back vowels and front vowels. If we dare suppose that the Turkish vowel system is ancient enough, the Korean system seems to have been almost similar, in other words, as follows:

	back		front	
	rounded	unrounded	rounded	unrounded
high	<i>u</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>ü</i>	<i>i</i>
low	<i>o</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>ö</i>	<i>ä (e)</i>

60 The Korean development has been similar to the Mongolian in relation to *i*, in that this back vowel has given *i*. As in Tungus dialects, the Manchu language included, the front vowel *ä*, corresponding to the back vowel *a*, has been pronounced more back and given the present *e*; in endings we therefore still find *a* and *e* as equivalents. The front rounded *ü* has also been taken more back, has lost its rounding and is now *i*. The back vowel *u* sounded somewhat like *o*, and old *u* and *o* have therefore coincided. The vowel *ö* has, in moving backwards and retaining the rounding of the lips, resulted in the present-day *u*, which in dialects is often heard as the Swedish *u*. In many words early dialectical pronunciation has not kept original *ü* and *ö* apart, as is shown by variants as *pul*, *p̥l* 'fire' (**pür* cf. Turk. *ör-tä-* 'to burn', Jap. **pi* > *hi* 'fire'), *mul*, *m̥l* 'water' (**mör* 'river' cf. Mongol. *mören* 'river', Tung. *mu* 'water', Jap. *mi* 'water'). The original variation in the endings between *u* and *ü* has resulted in present-day variation *o* ~ *u* and in the dialects one constantly meets variations like *pähoda*, *pähuda* 'to learn', *kamčhoda*, *kamčhuda* 'to hide', *čakko*, *čakku* 'often', *namo*, *namu* 'tree', etc. The ending of converbum presentis is in South Korea *-ko*, but in North Korea *-ku*, the augmentative particle is *to*, but in N.Kor. *tu*; etc.

61 After all these changes in the pronunciation of the vowels, the Korean language, when it appeared written with Korean letters, had arrived at a state where the vowels had arranged themselves as follows:

orig. front	<i>u</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>i</i>
orig. back	<i>o</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	

We have here the seven vowels, for which the Korean signs are the symbols. This state of affairs may still remain in some dialects which more than the present-day idiom of the capital correspond to the language of the first written *enmun*- documents. We have already mentioned the disappearance of the vowel *a* through fusion with *a* and *i*.

The vowel *a* seems to go back to short or reduced back vowels *a*, 62 *o* or *u* and has also served as a substitute or nearest sound for a reduced back sound in Chinese: *sq*, now pronounced *sa* 'four' (Chin. *dze*, Jap. *shi*). When *a* was the back vowel variant in endings, the corresponding front variant was *i*, and in old writings we find very regularly this variation in endings, for instance: genitive *-ai*, *ii*, accusative *-gr*, *-ir*, instrum. *-gro*, *-iro* (*-iru*), pres. part. *-nan*, *-nin*, in secondary verb stems the ending *-sq-*, *-si-*; etc.

The vowel *a* had at some time, before labial consonants, changed 63 to (or remained as) *o*, and in this position its front vowel variant was not *i* but *e*. We have still *haopta* 'to be done' for earlier *hagsapta* and in old writings we can find *hom* for later *ham*, now *ham* 'the deed, doing'. Corresponding to this we have from the verb *čukta* 'to die' the noun *čugem* 'the death', besides later and now regular *čugim*. It is probable that the noun *saram* 'human being', originally meaning 'the living' from the verb *salda* 'to live', once was *sārom*, later *saram*, now *saram*, N.Kor. *sarim*.

With the disappearance of *a*, the variation in the endings *a* ~ *i* was abolished and *i* usually generalized. This greater frequency of *i* in other than first syllables seems to have been extended also to *i*, which thus changed to *i*. For older *isida* 'to be', *isin* 'been', *isimjen* 'if being', the language obtained *itta*, *issin* (or *issin*) and *issimjen* (or *issimjen*). Nowadays the language has the vowel *i* as general 'binding vowel' or 'reduced vowel', i.e. that vowel sound which is used when a consonant ending is united to a consonant stem. E.g.

- <i>m</i> ; <i>pom</i> 'the seeing', 'sight'	<i>megim</i> 'food'
- <i>n</i> ; <i>kan</i> 'gone'	<i>kulgin</i> 'coarse'
- <i>p</i> ; <i>hapta</i> 'to be done'	<i>usipta</i> 'to be laughed at'
- <i>l</i> ; <i>nal</i> 'me'	<i>saramil</i> 'the man' (acc.)
- <i>ro</i> ; <i>soro</i> 'with the ox'	<i>saniro</i> 'along the mountain'
- <i>s</i> ; * <i>hiis</i> 'whitish' (pron. <i>hiit</i>)	* <i>pulgis</i> 'reddish' (pron. <i>pulgit</i>)

If we say in the grammatical part later on that an ending is, 64 for instance, *-k* or *-n* or *-m*, it means that this *-k*, *-n* or *-m* is the ending

after vowels and *-jk*, *-jn* and *-im* respectively are the same ending after consonants.

- 65 To sum up what has been said about vowel harmony, the vowels vary, in endings with variable vowels, as follows:

1. *a* varies with *ε*
2. *ai*, pron. *ä*, » *ei*, pron. *e*
3. *o* » *u*

In Korean words where the stem vowel is *a* or *o*, the ending contains *a*, *ä*, *o* and in words where the stem vowel is some other vowel, the endings have *ε*, *e*, *u*. But after a palatal consonant (*čh*, *č*, *ž*, *j*) and after a diphthong in *i* the palatalization affects also the ending; e.g.

antta 'to sit', *anžatta* or *anžetta* 'has sat'
hada 'to do', *hajatta* or *hajetta* 'has done'
kamčhoda or *kamčhuda* 'to hide'
toijatta or *toijetta*, now pron. *tōjetta*, dial. *twejetta* 'has become'

Hiatus.

- 66 Where in one and the same word a vowel follows another and the vowels form two different syllables, the passage between them is treated in two different ways.

Two similar vowels are usually contracted to one, which in most cases then becomes long. E.g.

kiim 'weeds' (dial. *kihim*, *kisim*), pron. *kīm*
kada 'to go', *kaa*, 'having gone', pron. *kā*
sjeda 'to stand', *sjeg* 'having stood', pron. *sē*, N.Kor. *šē*
nečlda 'to gnaw', pron. *nēlda*, N.Kor. *nečda*, *nēda* etc.

- 67 Where the two vowels are different, the narrower or higher one creates a consonantic glide, which sometimes has the value of a well-pronounced consonant, but in good pronunciation is quite weak. Thus we have variations like:

oa > *owa*: *poatta*, *powatta* 'having seen'
ue > *uwε*: *čuεtta*, *čuwεtta* 'to have given'
ia > *ija*: (written *ni-ja-gi*) *iagi*, *ijägi* 'story, tale'
iε > *ijε*: (» *i-jε*) *iεtta*, *ijεtta* 'has been'

A short consonantic element can also be heard in:

äe > *äje*: (written *pai-ai*) *päe*, *päje* 'on the ship'
äi > *äjī*: » *lai-il*) *näil*, *näjil* 'tomorrow'
ao > *awo*: *aolla*, *awolla* 'uniting'
eu > *ewu*: *teuk*, *tewuk* 'the more'

Here it is to be remarked that *ua* and *ue* after labial sounds are 68 usually pronounced *a* and *ε*, e.g.

kippuda 'to be glad', **kippuε*, pron. *kippe*
aphuda 'to be sick', **aphua*, pron. *apha*

After other consonants *u* with a following *a* or *ε* is often consonantic. E.g.

pähuda 'to learn', *pähwatta* 'has learnt'
tolguda 'to make to turn round', *tolgwatta* 'has made to circle'

Parallel with this is that *ia*, *iε*, when the *i* vowel has been short, 69 has acquired the character of a consonant (*j*), and this *j*, after palatal sounds and in South Korean also after *s*, disappears or has disappeared. E.g.

čhida 'to beat', written *čhjetta*, pron. *čhetta* 'has beaten', orig. *thi*-,
čida 'to become', written *čjetta*, pron. *četta* 'has become', orig. *ti*-.

Because, as earlier explained, the sound *h* in the middle of a 70 word is usually pronounced quite weakly or totally omitted, in the South Korean dialect new hiatus cases have developed and given the same results. E.g.

pehida, pron. *peida* 'to cut', dial. *pejida*; *pehjetta*, *pejetta*, *peetta*,
 'has cut',
päuda, *päoda*, written *pähuda* 'to learn'; *pähwatta*, *päwatta* 'has learnt'.

- 71 In the different dialects the treatment of two neighbouring vowels is often different from that of the idiom of the capital, and mostly one finds that the more stressed vowel has assimilated the other. E.g.

sju-in 'quicksilver', N.Kor. *šu-un*

nä-ül 'tomorrow', N.Kor. *nä-äl*

tuuro 'backwards', N. Kor. *tuuru*

tuwül 'two pieces', *tuul*, *täl*, N.Kor. *tuur*.

Accent.

- 72 As the quantity or duration of a vowel is independent of the accent, we have under the name of accent to give some hints about the stress, i.e. the force of expiration and emphasis of the articulation, and the pitch, i.e. the musical differences of the vowels and syllables.

As in other languages, with a stronger stress goes a higher pitch and vice versa. The musical side of the language seems to depend on the expirative. This is the common rule. But among the consonants we have above the initial sounds *kk*, *tt*, *pp*, *čč* and *ss* which are pronounced emphatically and with a special high tone on the following vowel. If a word begins with any of these sounds, the vowel is highpitched and the intonation falls at the end of the syllable. In all other cases the first syllable of a word has a low accent, i.e. it starts low and rises musically higher. We thus have two different tone scales on the first syllable: a high and falling tone and a low rising tone.

- 73 In Korean words the second syllable is as a rule lower than the first, but the falling of the pitch is not specially remarkable. In Chinese compounds the second syllable or word is very often musically higher but can be less emphatic nevertheless. E.g. *čö-sen* 'Korea' (the second syllable is audibly higher), *kjeŋ-sen* 'Keijo' (the second syllable is weaker stressed, but higher pitched). Prof. Tsutomu CHIBA has made some experimental studies concerning the accent in Korean.

As to the sentence accent, the stress and pitch on different words 74
arrange themselves according to the logical importance of the words. The more important have a stronger accent than the less important, and the whole intonation and emphasis decreases slowly towards the end of the sentence. This is the rule for declarative sentences. The same rule is valid if an interrogative sentence is closed by an interrogative particle. If on the contrary the sentence expresses a question and no interrogative particle is used, the voice rises sharply at the end of the sentence, and the last vowel is remarkably high. E.g. *ne čdä kanan ja* 'where are you going?' (with a lowering towards the end of the sentence), *ne čdä kanan* or *ne čdä ka* 'idem' (with a sharply rising *a* at the end of the word *ka*). This intonation of a question makes it possible in speech to keep apart the different uses of the perfect converb which, as will be seen in the chapter on the verb, is used in three different ways: as affirmative, in questioning and as a polite imperative. The different intonations cannot be shown in transcription, but are easily audible in speech.

Of course the sentence accent follows as in other languages the 75
meaning of the whole sentence. Thus a telling tone differs clearly from that of an assuring tone, which is sometimes kept unfalling and may be rising towards the end, and also from that of a command or entreaty. On the whole the accent is well modulated both as to stress and pitch and does not maintain a monotonous level like the Japanese language.

CHAPTER II

Morphology.

General remarks.

76 *Classification of words.* The total number of words which the Korean language possesses is here divided into three groups:

- I. the nouns with their declension and other nominal inflexions,
- II. the verbs with their conjugation and all kinds of verbal inflexions, and
- III. the particles and all uninflected words.

Under the title nouns come substantives, pronouns, numerals and nominal postpositions. Under the verbs come verbs and verbal postpositions. No conjunctions are to be found. Under the uninflected words come all more or less unstressed particles, the interjections and, besides these, all such isolated forms of nouns and verbs as are no longer felt as parts of that paradigm where they were originally created.

77 *Stem and ending.* Of greatest importance is the distinction between the nominal and the verbal classes of words.

The nominal class has a fundamental main form for each word. This is its basis, its stem, and at the same time its nominative. (The present nominative is not in all words exactly the same as the stem. The phonetic difference between the stem and the nominative has been mentioned in the chapter on phonetics).

On the other hand, the stem of a verb is as such not used as a word, i.e. the verbs always appear with endings. Any form of a verb, for instance *mekta* 'to eat', *mekso*, *megio* 'eats, Sir', *meninda*

(written and older *megninda*) 'is eating', *meggetta* 'has eaten', *megin* 'eaten', *meginda* 'makes eat', when compared with any other forms of the same word, is felt as giving the same etymological word in a different form. This 'etymological word' (here *mek-* or *meg-*) ought in any grammar to have a name of its own; I should call it *glossa*, in accordance with Professor SETÄLÄ's suggestion, and the whole series of the different forms, each of them a word by itself, I should call the *paradigm* of the glossa. Thus in the above case *mekta* 'to eat' is a word, *mekso*, etc. etc. also. The same verbal glossa has always the same stem, but this stem can, as to the last sounds, change phonetically: *mek-*, *meg-*, *men-*. If we should say (as the Japanese and Korean grammarians do) that the word for 'eat' in Korean is *mek*, it would be necessary to add against this that such a word does not exist, but is merely an abstraction derived from the whole paradigm of the glossa, i.e. the result obtained when the varying endings are thought of as taken away.

The endings. The endings are of different origin. I think there 78 is good reason always to distinguish between

- a. suffixes, i.e. original endings only found attached to a stem and themselves abstractions received by dividing the word into two parts — stem and ending.
- b. affixes, i.e. enclitically added words, let us say particles or nouns or anything else, which are now only used thus added to a preceding word, but which historically have been or may be supposed to have been independent words now absorbed into endings or terminations.

A present day ending may contain e.g. two or more suffixes, a suffix with an affixed element, etc.

Binding sounds. If the last sound of the stem of an inflected 79 or flexible word is a consonant, we talk of consonantal stems. If the final sound is a vowel, we talk of vocalic stems. The endings can begin with a consonant or a vowel.

If to a consonant stem is added a consonant ending the Korean language now in many cases shows as 'binding' vowel *i*; for instance

the perfect participle has the ending *-n*: *poda* 'to see', *pon* 'seen', *mekta* 'to eat', *meggin* 'eaten' (with *i* as binding vowel); *pap* 'rice', *pabiro* 'with rice'.

In the old language there were two 'binding' vowels: *a* in words with back vowels and *i* in words with front vowels. Remains of this variation can still be found.

If to a vowel stem is added a vowel ending, the consonant *j* can appear between the vowels, for instance *hada* 'to do', *hajatta* 'has done', *haja* 'doing', but *kada* 'to go', *katta* 'has gone', *ka* 'going' (for earlier *kaatta* and *kaa*).

I. The noun.

80 As in other languages, the nouns are either simple words or compounds. The simple are either primitive or derived.¹ In Korean, as in English, it is the last member of a compound which is subject to inflexion, and the other parts remain unchanged and are to be understood as describing or limiting the sense of the changeable part. E. gr. *sä-žip* 'a new house' and *sä-žibe* 'in a new house', *sä-žip-til* 'new houses', *čosən čip* 'a Korean house', *čosən čiptil* 'Korean houses', *čosən sä-žiptire* 'in new Korean houses' or 'in the new Korean houses'.

How to render in European letters a complex Korean compound, whether it is to be divided as *čosən čip* or written as one, as *sä-žip* 'a new house', whether one has to write *čiptil* 'houses', or perhaps *čip til*, is a matter of practice or taste. The Koreans themselves, who write every syllable as a word, can give no rules in this matter. A half European middle way is used here.

81 *No adjectives.* Owing to the Korean manner of constructing compounds, the attributes, as in the above examples, 'new' and 'Korean', remain unchanged, and therefore *no special inflexion of adjectives exists* in Korean. Our adjectives are expressed either by an attribute, i.e. by the preceding part of a compound, as in English »a stone wall», or by participles, as in English »a learned

¹ The derivation of nouns will be discussed in Chapter V, p. 174.

expression», »a dining room», etc., and, — when used as predicates, as for instance in English »it is good», — by using *qualitative* verbs (»it excels»). As a class of words the Korean language therefore has *no adjectives at all*, and as a rule *prefers verbs to nouns*, e.g. *sarami manso* 'man is numerous' = 'there are many men', *sarami manhatta* 'there were many men', *manhin saram* 'many men', *sarami manhin tla* 'men-many-time' = 'at the time when there were or are many persons present', *namu ga khida* 'the tree is big' (= 'rises high'), *khin namu* 'an high (= elevated) tree'.

The Korean *noun* expresses the universal or general idea of the 82 corresponding thing; i.e. it has no articles and no numbers, e.g. *saram* 'a man, the man, men, the men', *saram hanahi* 'man one, one man', *han saram* 'a certain man, one man', *tu saram* 'two men', *saram tueri* 'man, two of it', 'two men', etc., etc., *i saram* 'this (particular) man', 'these men'. By constructing a compound, the Korean language expresses the plural if stress is laid on the *idea of plurality*. Thus one can add as the last word the noun *til* 'all, several, together', and, speaking of human beings, also *ne*. Thus *saram* 'man' or 'men' has the »plural» *saramdil* 'men-all', *saram-ne*, and more strongly stressing, *saram-nedil* or *saram-dille*. But *čip* 'house, houses' has only *čiptil* (N. Kor. *čiptel*, *čipter*), *mal* 'horse', *maldil* 'horses, many horses' (N. Kor. *mgrder*). Used in this way, *til* and *ne* may be called »plural signs», but they can as well be considered independent words. The fact is that the Koreans also say *čllyn dil onera* 'come quickly' when speaking to many, or meaning to call all, besides the more used *čllyn onera*, said to one person or to many. The noun *ne* orig. 'homo' (*nai*) is also found in *namphjen-ne* 'masculine-side-man', *njepphjen-ne* 'feminine-side-man, a woman', *erun-ne*, *erusin-ne* 'honored man, my father', *anhak-ne* (*anahne*) 'a woman', *kine* 'you', etc.

To express the *idea of variety* very often a reduplication is used, 83 e.g. *čip čip* 'every house', 'from house to house', *säk säk* 'all colours, every sort of colour, all kinds', *kot kot* > *kokkot* 'all places, everywhere', *nanal* (for *nal nal*) 'day by day, every day', *tadal* (for *tal dal*) 'every month, monthly'. Many such reduplications are used only as adverbs.

- 84 The Korean language makes no grammatical distinction in relation to sex or gender, but another distinction is of paramount importance. The *social position* of the person or persons spoken to and also of the persons spoken of must always be held in view, and one has to use different expressions and choose one's words if one wants to show respect or reverence or friendliness in the speech. *The simple forms are the rudest*, and the person spoken to must be put »higher«. Usually one must consider one self lower than the other. Respect is expressed by special nouns and verbs and mostly by using special terminations in the verbal expressions. The word *pap* 'rice' is a simple and everyday word and one has to use *šinži* 'nourishment' when speaking politely; the word *čip* 'house' has to be substituted by *täk* 'dwelling'; the word *abaži* 'father' is only a careless 'my father', but talking to or about the father before some outsider, one has to say *pučhin* or *čhumbužan*. Of course, almost all these refined, cultural words are of Chinese origin.

Declension.

- 85 The present day Korean language has the following cases:

		For persons		For things	
Nominative	= N	<i>saram</i> 'man'	<i>ahä</i> 'child'	<i>čip</i> 'house'	<i>namu</i> 'tree'
Genitive	= G	<i>sarami</i>	<i>ahäi</i>	<i>čibi</i>	<i>namui</i>
Dative	= D	<i>saramige</i>	<i>ahäige</i>	—	—
Locative	= L	<i>sarame</i>	<i>ahäe</i>	<i>čibe</i>	<i>namue</i>
Accusative	= A	<i>saramil</i>	<i>ahäril</i>	<i>čibil</i>	<i>namuril</i>
Instrumental	= I	<i>saramiro</i>	<i>ahäro</i>	<i>čibiro</i>	<i>namuro</i>

There exist some variations in the endings according to the dialect; see below.

- 86 There are some particles which are very frequently used together which the cases, or affixed to the noun. Of them the first three have in all earlier grammars been given as case endings.

1. *-i* after consonants and *ga* after vowels are used to indicate the special connection of the subject to its predicate, just as the

particle *ga* in Japanese. It is called in all grammars the nominative, but really *-i* is a *determinative particle* 'the' or 'its' and *-ga* is a *connecting particle* which has meant 'and'.

2. *nin* (after vowels) and its variant *-in* (after consonants) are used to emphasize the preceding word, just like the Japanese particle *wa*. This has been called the *casus absolutus*, but it is best to call it the *emphatic particle*.

3. *-sje* (Southern Korean *se*, Northern Korean *še*) has originally been *isje* 'having been, being', and is now used after nominative, dative, locative and instrumental; let us call it the *essive particle*. E.g. *čibese katta* 'having been in the house, he went away' = 'he went from home', *čibirosē* 'having been towards the house' = 'from the direction of the house' or 'as for the house', 'to be a house it is . . .', *čosense isso* 'in Chosen there is' = 'being Chosen there is'. Usually *se* corresponds to the Japanese *te*, and Korean *-ese* to the Japanese *ni te*, now *de*.

4. *to* (*do*), N. Kor. *tu* (*du*) 'also, even' is an augmentative particle. The difference between the use of *-i* and *nin*.

87

- a) Where *-i* or *-ga* are used, the subject of the sentence is closely connected with the predicate, and also with what precedes. In determining the subject itself or asking questions referring to it, these determining particles *-i*, *-ga* are used. *Nu ga wasso* 'who came?' or 'somebody came', *mas-i ette-jo* 'how is the taste', *nä ga* (or *nä*) *kagesso* 'I'll go', *sanahi nasso* 'the man (not the woman) is better', *ilgobi možarao* 'seven (of that kind or of them) is not enough', *hanähi* (or *hanä ga*) *namasso* 'one (of them) is left over'.
- b) When *nin* (*in*) is used, the speaker seems vividly to take out from the context the respective word or words, and to be looking for something next following: a suitable predicate, a good definition or an expected opposition, as in such phrases as »the prince, where is he?», »Charles, viendra-t-il?», »Moi, j'étais satisfait». The same occurs in English when we use 'as to' or 'talking about', 'if you mean', but — this usage is much more common in Korean speech. The use of this emphatic particle corresponds

exactly to that of *wa* in Japanese, where it is also wrongly called the casus absolutus. Of course *nin* is no case ending at all, and can be affixed to nominative, dative, locative and instrumental. Examples: *i ěibin nă ěibio* 'this house is mine'; *kĭ ttă nin (ttăe nin) iren (ire han) ges-in eptera* 'at those times such things did not exist'; *ěosŋ-in sibaŋ-in hakkjo ga manso* 'as to Korea, as to present time, schools are many' = 'Korea has plenty of schools now'; *na nin toni isso* 'as to me, money exists' = 'I have money'. Note in this and the preceding examples how the idea 'to have' is expressed in Korean.

As was said above, *-i* is originally an affixed pronoun for 'that' or 'he' (third person singular), the same as *i* 'he' or 'she' in Manchu, *-in*, *-n* in Tungusian, *ini* in Mongolian and *-i* (the 3 p. possessive suffix) in Turkish. Many Tungus dialects and also Aino have *ka* 'but, and', and in Tungus dialects (Trow's dictionary) we find the emphatic *nun* 'if', 'when', 'now'.

88 Irregularities in case formations.

The language clearly tends to form all cases along one and the same scheme from the nominative or the base of the noun. This can be done but is really used only in literature. The nominative differs in many words from the original stem as to the final sound, and cases formed from the stem are in that way historically regular. Thus we have double forms and seeming irregularities.

A final *-t* has developed from *s*, *t*, *th*, *ě*, *ĉh* (vide §§ 8 and 14). The combinations *-ti* and *-thi* have given in Southern Korea *ěi* and *ĉhi* (vide § 16). The original sound appears surest in the Locative and Accusative.

- s* *kĉt* 'a thing', *kĉsi* 'the thing', *kĉse* 'at the thing'
kat 'hat', *kasi* 'the hat', *kase* 'in the hat'
t *nat* 'sickle', *naži* 'the sickle', *nade* 'by the sickle'
kot 'place', *koži* (*koĉhi*) 'the place', *kode* 'on a place'
mot 'nail', *moži* 'the nail', *modĭro* 'with the nail'
th *pat* 'field', *paĉhi* 'the field', *pathe* 'on the field'
kjet 'neighbourhood', *kjethe* 'near'; *nat* 'face' (*naĉhi*, *nathe*)

- ĉ* *nat* 'midday', *naže* 'at noon', *naž-u* 'midday-over' > 'afternoon'
kkot 'flower', *kkoži* 'the flower', *kkože* 'in the flower'
ĉh *pjet* 'sunlight', *pjetĉhi* 'the sunlight', *pjetĉhe* (*pjethe*) 'in the sunlight'.

There are many such irregularities and many so to speak constructed forms besides those which can really be said to be historical. From *pat* 'field' the literary accusative is *padĭl* for common speech *pathĭl*, but common speech has also *patĉhĭl*, received by analogy from the determinative *paĉhi*.

An investigation of all present day dialects would be necessary to find out the original shape of some nouns. Words on *-k* and original *-kh* have given *-k* as the final sound in the nominative and can scarcely be distinguished in the declension. On the contrary, words on *-p* and *-ph* are still kept apart in their case formations, but also here a confusion is found. Thus we have *ĉip* 'house', *ĉibi* 'the house', *ĉibe* 'in the house' and *ĉip* 'straw', *ĉiphi* 'the straw' (original *tĭph* 'straw'). From *kap* 'price' the determinative is *kaphi* or usually *kapsi* 'the price'. From *sak* 'payment', *sāk* 'colour', *ĉik* 'moment' the determinative is usually *saksi*, *sāksi*, *ĉiksi*, and the emphatic form may also be *saksin*, *sāksin*, *ĉiksin* beside regular *sagin*, *sāgin*, *ĉigin*.

Owing to the early vocalization and disappearance of an old spirantic *-g*, many words on *-o* and *-u* and also *-e* have irregular declension. From *namu* 'tree', *karu* 'flour', *ĉaru* 'handle', *muu* (*musu*) 'radish' the determinative is *namgi*, *kalgi*, *ĉalgi*, *mukki*, besides the new and regular *namu ga*, *karu ga*, etc. The stem has been **ngmagg*, **kragg*, **ĉragg*, etc. Such words are also *au*, determinative *akki* (N.Kor. *ākki*) 'the younger brother', and *sure* 'cart', determinative *surgi* (or *sure ga*).

In many words it is difficult to decide how a final *-i* is to be understood. 'The tiger' is *hori*, and the determinative is *hori ga*, but the word seems to have been *ho-raŋ* without *-i*; 'the face' is in some dictionaries *ĉgol*, but in others *ĉgori*, the respective determinatives being then *ĉgori* and *ĉgori ga*. The names for different

craftsmen *täžan̄i* 'smith', *mokčan̄i* 'carpenter', etc., have been compounds on *čan̄*, but now the determinative *čan̄i* is almost constantly taken as nominative. The *-i* has thus been understood as essential in the word and the result is the new vocalic stem. Also in words on vowels such variants with or without *-i* are found: *palgu* or *pargui* 'sledge', *manthu* or *manthui* 'cake'. This depends on the fact that in the earlier language *-i* was used after vowel stems too, and was not quite identical in meaning with *-ga*. From *na* 'I', *ne* 'you', *nu* 'who' the usual determinative formations are *nä ga* (= *nai ga*) *ne ga* (= *nei ga*) and *nui ga*, as in the earlier stage many other nouns which ended in a vowel.

- 91 *Genitive.* The genitive relation between two nouns can be given either by putting the first noun simply as attribute as in English 'gold dust', 'roadside', etc., or by using the genitive case. This has in Korean both a longer and a shortened form. The longer form has the ending *-i̇i*. As a rule this genitive case is used only of living and important things; otherwise the nominative is enough if there is no danger of ambiguity. Therefore *čosən mal* 'Korean language', 'the language of Korea', 'the Chosenese language', *sen-jen-mun-pep* 'Korean-English-grammar'; *uri-čip* 'our house'; *saram aphe* 'before people'; *kam namu* 'persimmon tree' (Cf. Jap. *hito no mae ni* 'before people', *kaki no ki* 'persimmon tree').

But *k̄i kon̄i̇i irh̄imi* 'the name of that man', *talgi̇i al* (or *ari*) 'a hen's egg', *jen̄guk saram* or *jen̄gugi̇i saram* 'one from England, an Englishman'. The determinative particle *-i* is often added to the nominative of the following noun: *jan̄ thēl* or *jan̄ thēri* 'sheep's wool', *kwe* (*kwėi̇i*) *mit* or *kwe mit̄či* 'the bottom of the box, the box's bottom'. The genitive ending is thus used to lay stress on the genitive relation.

The ending *-i̇i* is the same after both consonant and vowel stems. In many dialects it is pronounced either as *-i* or more commonly as a short *-e*, *saramē*, *kweē*, *marē*, *talgē* (the man's, the box's, the horse's, the hen's), differing from the long *ē* of the dative ending. Instead of *kan̄i̇i mur* 'the river's water' one can sometimes hear *kan̄e mur* or even *kan̄a mur*, but more usual is *kan̄ muri*.

The ending *-i̇i* has earlier been *-gi* after a back vowel and *-ii* only after a front vowel, as one can find in the old literature. This *gi*, *ii* contains the binding vowel (*a*, *i*) and an original suffix *-i̇*. When this suffix *-i̇* was affixed to a vowel stem, then *-i̇* was the parallel to the post-consonantic variants *-ii* and *-gi*. From *na* 'I', *ne* 'you', *čē* 'that', *nu* 'who', 'somebody' the genitive is since old *nä* 'my', *ne* 'yours, thy', *če* 'his', *nüi* (*nui*) 'whose, somebody's'.

The short genitive. The consonantic sound *i̇* at the end of the genitive suffix was, perhaps, originally not *i̇* but some other consonant. In the old books it is written either with *s* (Λ) or with the sign for a nasalized *j* (Δ). The sign for *s* is pronounced *t*, and in the grammars one finds *t* (written *s*) as genitive ending, but the whole concerns the question how to spell the gemination of a consonant after a vocalic-ending preceding word. E.g.

hunnal 'the next day' from *hu* 'after', *nal* 'day'
tuinnal 'the next day' from *tui* 'behind', *nal* 'day'
seppadak 'the surface of the tongue', *se*, *sje* 'tongue', *padak*
sompadak, *sonppadak* 'the flat hand', *son* 'hand', *padak* 'plain'
palpadak, *palppadak* 'the sole of the foot', *pal* 'foot', *padak*
päkkaunde 'the inner of the ship', *pä* 'ship', *kaunde* 'mid'
utčip 'the upper house', *u* 'over', *čip* 'house'
ummail 'upper village', *u* and *mail* 'village'
sässori 'bird's song', *sä* 'bird', *sori* 'sound, song'
malmorikkun 'the horse driver', *mal mori* 'horse driving' and *kun* 'man'.

This doubling of the initial consonant is indicated in old texts by the *s* sign, e.g. *mois-tuis-ko-rai* = *moi-ttui-kkorä* 'forest's back valley' = 'the valley behind the forest'. The original sound can have been either an 'n' or an 's' sound, but *nai*, *nei*, *nui* give us reason to suppose an original *-ñ* (*n* mouillé), i.e. after vowels **-ñ* and after consonants **-gñ*, *-jñ*. This is perhaps made certain by the old spelling *nunimul* 'the tear' from *nun* 'eye', *mul* 'water', etc. Cf. Jap. *-no*, *-nu* < **-n*, Tung. *-n*, Mongol. *-un*, *-jin*, Turk. *-iñ* (original **-in*) as genitive endings. But there may have existed also another genitive on *-s* or *-t*.

As to its syntactical use the genitive can stay only as attribute to a following noun, never in direct contact with the predicate. 'This is his' is rendered by *i gesi ki saramiŋ ges-io*, 'is that yours?' by *čē gesi taŋsinŋi ges-imnikka?*

- 93 *Locative*. The locative has the ending *-e* (long *ē*) or, often after *-l*, *-gē* (likewise with long vowel). This is now written *-ai*, but earlier it was, after back vowels *-ai* or *-ai*, after front vowels *-ei* or *-ii*. The ending *-ge* is written *-kai* or *-kei*.

The locative indicates the place, time or condition as fixed, a being where, being when or being how ('in', 'on', 'at', 'by', etc.).

Where the verb itself seems to demand such a fixing of the place, the suffix *-e* is enough, but where the verb is more independent of place, the essive particle *-se* (written *-sje*) is usually added, e.g.

- a) *kige oraŋkane mugesso* 'you remained there a long time?'
Tonŋjeŋe sarasso 'I lived in Tokyo'
čarie čatta 'he was in bed'
saŋe (or *saŋ ue*) *nōara* 'put it on the table!'
- b) *čibeseŋ tambū an mekso* 'I don't smoke in the house'
kagaeseŋ satta or *sa watta* 'I bought it in the shop', 'being in the shop I bought it'
kittāe sjeŋeseŋ pjeŋi tjeŋso 'at that time in the town the sickness entered' = 'I got sick in the town then'
Tonŋjeŋeseŋ čugetčio 'he died in Tokyo, I think'
ačemeŋi-žibeseŋ nōlgo wasso 'I had a good time in the house of my sister-in-law and am back now'.

Therefore *-eseŋ* often has to be translated 'from': *kagaeseŋ sasso* 'I bought it in the shop', or 'I have it from the shop', *maŋeseŋ tjeŋe-žjeŋso* 'he fell from his horse', or 'being on the horse, he fell', *nju-t-čibeseŋ pjeŋso* 'I borrowed it at my neighbour's house'. In most grammars *-eseŋ* is therefore called the ending of the *a b l a t i v e*.

As to the use of the locative, it refers directly to a verb. In many words which are used more or less adverbially the nominative can also indicate the place. Some old datives are now used as nominative: *arū* 'under', *arātčip* 'the down house'; *orā* 'long ago', *oraŋkan*, *oraŋan* 'a long time'; etc.

Dative. The dative is the locative formed from the genitive 94 (long or short genitive). It is used only of nouns for persons or living things, e.g. *pak-sebaŋiŋe sao* 'he lives at Mr. Pak's', *ki saramiŋe čuesso* 'did you give it to him?', *nāge onan čim innanja* 'is there any cargo coming for me?', *koŋsaŋiŋe edetta* 'I got it (my passport) from the minister (at the minister's)', *uriŋiŋe čoi ēpta* 'there is no fault with us (in us)', *jeŋguk saramiŋe* (or *saramkke*) *nuni phuriŋa* 'the English people have blue eyes'.

The essive affix *-se* is used with the dative in the same sense as with the locative, e.g. *koŋsaŋiŋeseŋ edetta* 'being at the minister's residence, I got it', 'I got it from the minister', *pak-sebaŋiŋeseŋ pjeŋso* 'I borrowed it from Mr. Pak'.

The *short dative* is used in the same sense and also with *-se* added. 95

The conception of the dative is also here quite clear, but can of course be rendered in English through 'from', 'by', 'to', etc. E.g. (N.Kor.): *i ankhanke a tuuri isso* 'by this woman there are two children', 'this woman has two children'; *i ankhankeŋe aderi pjeŋi tjeŋso* 'the children of this woman have fallen ill'. When the verb is a passive or an honorific expression, the ending *-kkesē* (*-kkijē*) indicates 'on the side of', i.e. the *agent* or logical subject of the passively expressed action. Grammarians have counted *-kkijē*, *-kkesē* as a nominative ending without saying that the verb must then be passive, e.g. *nimgunkkijē onal keŋon hao* 'the king goes out today' (on the part of the king today an excursion is made), *koŋsakkijē osesso* 'the minister deigned to come' (by the minister's there was the deigning to arrive). The endings *-ijē* and *-kkesē* (*-čgēseŋ*, *-ijijē*, *-kkijē*) can therefore be found both as indicating the agent and the dative object; e.g. *koŋsaŋiŋeseŋ* (*koŋsakkeseŋ*) *edetta* 'I (he, somebody) got it from the minister', but *koŋsakkeseŋ edesso* may mean the same or also 'the minister received it' (on the minister's side it was received), while *koŋsakkijē edisjeŋso* is only 'the minister deigned to accept it' or strictly 'at the minister's there was the honorable acceptance'.

Instrumental. This case, for which *Lative* or *Viative* would 96 be a better name, expresses either the direction of a movement

('along', 'through', 'passing by', 'from', 'to'), the manner, the instrument ('with', 'by'), the material (of which something is made) or the point of view (from which the statement is valid); e.g.

- a) direction: *saniro kereſso* 'he walked through the forest' (»the forest way»), *kjeſſjeſniro kasso* 'he has gone to Söul (towards Keijo)', *mulkaro isso* 'there are some along the beach (on the beach)', *mullo* 'towards the water'
- b) manner: *säro sižak hao* 'it begins anew', *mal hanan däro* 'as he says', 'according to the saying', *himiro* 'by force'
- c) instrument: *khallo pehiġesso* 'you may cut it with a knife', *kičharo watta* 'they came by train', *küiro tinninda* 'we hear with the ears', *kawiro ssida* 'to use it for scissors', *jel weniro sal tüt hao* 'you can buy them, most probably, for ten yen'
- d) material: *kimiro iphin* (N.Kor. *kimilli niphun*) 'covered with gold', *namuro mandin ġes-io* 'it is (a thing) made of wood'
- e) point of view: *seullo nin teupči anso* 'it is not hot for Söul', *sejan -iniro nin mal čal handa* 'he speaks well for a foreigner'

When used to mean the instrument, the word *se* (from *ssida* 'to use') is sometimes added, especially in translations from Chinese, and when the point of view is meant, the essive particle *-sje*, *-se* is frequently used, often together with the emphatic particle *nin*: *saramiſose nin i ġesi mot tōnda* 'for a man this is impossible'; *čoseſ pebiſose nin* 'according to Korean law', *i ġesiro (i ġello) haġesso* 'I will decide myself for this'; *saramiſigerose nan ġesi an io* 'it is something not born of man'.

The ending is after consonant stems *-iro*, after vowel stems *-ro*, and after *l* *-lo*. In North Korean this ending is *-ru*, *-ri*, *-lu*, *-li*, *-illu*, *-illi*. After back vowels it was originally *-ro*, *-gro* and after front vowels *-ru*, *-iru*.

- 97 The locative suffix *-e* added to the instrumental, originally *-ru-ai* > *-rue*, has given *-llä*, *-lle*; vide § 36. This ending is common in North Korean, where the meaning is 'for the benefit of', 'to the convenience or inconvenience of', 'because of', 'owing to'. E.g. *abillä mandireſso* 'I made it for my father', *čhipkillä odi mot hao* 'he cannot

come because it is cold', *muſiġellä* (< *muſin ġesillä*) *hännan ja* 'for what did he do that?'. In South Korea only the verbal noun on *-ki*, *-gi* is found with this combined case ending and signifying 'because of', 'taking in consideration that'.

Accusative. The direct object is expressed either by using the 98 basic form (the nominative) or by using the accusative case, which more clearly expresses the connection of an object to its verb. Often the accusative gives the sense of a special object; e.g. *kogi čabatta* 'caught fishes, caught fish (as the fisherman does)' and *kogirił čabatta* 'caught the fish' or 'caught the fishes'.

After consonants the ending is *-ił* and after vowels *-rił*. As in the genitive, dative and instrumental, the vowel has been changeable i.e. after back vowels it was **-gr*, after front vowels **-ir*. From old days we have *nal* 'me', *nel* 'thee', *nul* 'whom', which need not be considered as shortened forms of *narił*, *nerił*, *nurił*. In North Korea the final consonant *-r* sound has either dropped away or was perhaps never added. The vowel of the ending is in N.Kor. subject to many assimilations, e.g. *munu ġerera* = S. Kor. *munil ġerera* 'open the door!', *puru murilli čugigi* 'to put out the fire by water'. The accusative in N. Kor. has the endings *-i* (after labial sounds *-u*) in post-consonantic and *-ri* (resp. *-ru*) in post-vocalic positions.

In many instances the accusative is also used with verbs of motion, to indicate the direction, and of pronouns also to indicate the dative object. E.g. *čhägił nal čuġra* (N. Kor. *čhägi näġe cuġra*) 'give me the book!'. 99

The above mentioned case formations are *living possessions* of the language, and can be formed ad libitum from any noun. As mentioned under *-iġe*, *-llä*, *-iġero*, the case endings can be heaped; in this relation a word like *saramiſigerose nin* is a good example. But there are also some remains of seldom used case formations. One ending *-i*, used in adverbial locutions, is certainly the mark of an old case.

The casus instructivus. E.g. *nä meſnin diſi meġera* 'eat just like I eat' (*tüt, tiſ* 'shape', *meſnin tiſ* 'eating shape'), *pandasi* 'with certainty, necessarily' (*pandat hada* 'to be necessary'), *erjeſmiſi*

'dimly', 'indistinctly' (*erjēmp̄it hada* 'to be indistinct'); *uri ēip tamī makkhjesso* 'our house was hindered by the wall'; *manh̄in toni ssada* 'to be worth much money'; *nēnžisi* 'gently' (*nēnžit nēnžit hada* 'to be gentle'), *mari* 'with the words' (*mal* 'word').

With this ending compare Manchurian *i* in a similar sense, in Manchu grammars confounded with the genitive. This case ending on *-i* can be identified with Turkish *-yn, -in* and Mongolian *-un, -ün*.

Pronouns.

100 *Personal pronouns.* As a general rule the Korean language does not use the personal pronouns it possesses as profusely as our European languages do. In most sentences the meaning is clear without specially expressed or constantly repeated I, my, you, your, he, him and so on and the third person (he, she, it, they) is expressed by demonstrative pronouns where needed.

Real pronouns are:

na 'I',

determ. *nā* (for *nai*), genitive *nā* (for *naii, nai*), accus. *nal* and *naril*, instrum. *nallo*, seldom *naro*; stem *na* (cf. Mo. *namai* 'me', Mo. and old Kashgar Turk. *naru* 'hither', 'to me').

uri 'we',

with plural indicators: *urid̄il, urid̄in, urimuri* (Chin. *t̄in* 'crowd' and Kor. *muri* 'heap'). Very often *uri* is used instead of its genitive and means 'our' or 'of my family': *uri ēip* 'my house', *uri abaž̄i* 'my father'. Stem *uri* (**wuri* cf. Turk. *biz* 'we').

nē 'thou',

determ. *ne* (for *nei*), gen. *ne* (for *nei, nei*), accus. *nēl* and *nēril*, instrum. *nēllo* (N. Kor. *nēllu*). The plural is *nēh̄i, nēd̄il, nēh̄id̄il, nēh̄id̄in*, etc. or *nēd̄ille, nēned̄il*. This pronoun *nē* is very 'low', almost insulting. One has to avoid using pronouns to an unknown man, and to use substitutes of which some examples are given below.

In friendly and familiar talk the person to whom one talks can be addressed by the words:

čane, k̄ine (*kene, k̄ene*) or *ke, k̄ide, k̄ed̄i*.

In these *-ne* originally meant 'man', 'homme', *-de* (*tai*) 'place', *k̄i, k̄e* 'that' and *ča* in *čane* may be either Chinese *čg* 'self' or a word **ča* meaning 'beyond, the other side'. Similarly the use of *na* 'I' is to be avoided and one can use *i-n̄ek* 'this side', *i-nom* 'this man', *i-n̄je* 'this woman' or something else, see below. Very often for 'I' the word *če*, sinokor. *t̄jei* 'the younger brother', is used (cf. Mong. *degū* 'the younger brother', in letters 'I').

There are, as said, many substantives, mostly of Chinese origin, **101** used instead of personal pronouns; such are:

for 'you':

h̄jeŋ = elder brother

noh̄jeŋ = old elder-brother

koŋ = common(ly known), Duke

taŋsin = the person in question or concerned

(*njanban*) *janban* = both ranks (military and civil)

s̄ebaŋ = secretary, Mr.

i-ph̄jeŋ = this side

tāk = house, house-owner

s̄ensāŋ = teacher, master, *s̄is̄in* id.

(*nimž̄ja*) *imž̄a* 'owner' (in familiar addressing)

etc.

for 'I':

če = younger brother (not the same word as *čg*, determ. *če* 'that' 'yonder')

pon-in = underlying man

s̄jo-in = little man, *soinne* id.

s̄jo-sāŋ = little disciple

s̄jož̄a = small person

sisāŋ = youngster, the junior

pok = servant

- 102** When using polite substitutes or other accepted expressions instead of simple *na* 'I' and *ne* 'you', all other words in the same expression must, of course, agree in style; e.g.

'How old are you' =

- a) *ne nāi elmana tōnī* (to a child or servant)
- b) *čane nāi elmana tōnan ja* (to a friend)
- c) *tāk nāi elmana tōjo* (politely)
- d) *taŋsin njense ga elmana tōmnikka* (most polite to a high official)

As a rule the Koreans, speaking to outsiders, are worrying about finding the right «level» or «style», because this social moment in their speech is, according to their conceptions, the mark of civilisation and a refined mind. The rules are:

a) do not speak too «low» of yourself, but sufficiently «high» of the others; b) use titles or paraphrases and avoid personalities; c) when speaking quite officially or impersonally demonstrative pronouns are clear enough.

- 103** *Demonstrative pronouns.* These are: *i* (*jē*, *jo*) 'this' (dieser), *čē* (N. Kor. *tjē*, *tē*) with the variant *čo* 'that yonder' (jener) and *kī* (*kē*, *ko*) 'that' (der). They are used as adjectives (i.e. attributes), but of persons sometimes also as substantives. The vowel *o* in *jo*, *čo*, *ko* has a shade of depreciation or scolding, e.g. *i man* 'this much', but *jo man* 'this insufficient amount', *i nom* 'this man', but *jo nom* 'this rascal', *čo nom* 'you rascal', *ko nom* 'that rascal'.

Very often these pronouns are closely united with a following substantive, e.g.

- i* 'someone' *iī*, *čēi* (*čē*), *kīi* 'this one, that one'
- čja* 'person' (Chin.) *iža*, *čēža*, *kīža* 'this one, that one, he'
- joža*, *čoža*, *koža* (scolding)
- nom* 'fellow' *i nom*, *čē nom*, *kī nom* 'he'
- jo nom*, *čo nom*, *ko nom* (scolding)
- kēt* 'thing, piece' *iget*, *čēget*, *kiget* 'this' 'that' respectively
- jogēt*, *čogēt*, *kogēt* (said with scorn)
- kot* 'place' *igot*, *čegot*, *kigot* 'here', 'there' respectively
- jogot*, *čogot*, *kogot* (with contempt)

- man* 'quantity' *iman*, *čēman*, *kīman* 'this much, that much'
- joman*, *čoman*, *koman* (with contempt)
- phjen* 'side, flank' *i phjen* 'hereabout' 'you', 'I' etc.
- ttā* 'time' *ittā* 'now', *čēttā*, *kīttā* 'then'
- (**ptai*) *iptā* 'still now', *čēptā* (N. Kor. *tēptā*), *kīptā* 'then'
- ččak* 'half part' *iččak* 'on this side'
- (**pčak*) *ipčak* 'here'
- joččak* (with contempt) 'hereabout, here'
- ge* (locative suff.) *jēge*, *jē* 'here', *čēge*, *čē* 'yonder', *kīge*, *kē* 'there'

The third personal pronouns 'he', 'she', 'it', 'they', when expressed, are in Korean rendered by the demonstrative pronouns, usually *kī*, *kīi* or *kī saram* 'that man' 'he', 'she'. Where 'that' 'it' means a thing, it is *kiget* or *čēget* 'that thing'. When they do not know what to say, the Koreans often use the expression *čēges-igi* 'it is that thing that'; 'I mean that'.

From the stem *kī* 'that' seems to be derived as an old adverbial form the enclitically used particle *-ko* (*-kko*, *-go*), which is found indicating a preceding oratio recta. E.g.

- čumā-kko handa* 'he says »I will give«, 'he promises to give'
- karjakko handa* 'he intends to go', *karja* 'let me go'
- onda go handa* 'they say he is coming', 'he says they are coming',
- onda* 'he comes', 'they come'

This *-ko*, corresponding to our conjunction 'that', is not identical with the interrogative particle *-ko* (variant to *-ka*), which also is used at the end of a sentence; § 150, § 294.

Reflexive pronouns. There is no Korean reflexive pronoun, but Chinese *čg* and *čggi* 'self' are often used. Besides this, also Korean *honža*, North Kor. *habunža* 'alone', Chin. *čhinhi* 'personally' and *čello* 'of itself' are quite frequent.

Interrogative-indefinite pronouns. When used in questions, they have a certain stress, and the tone rises towards the end of the sentence. The predicate is then either a participle with the interrogative encliticon (*-ka*, *-ja* with their variants) added or

ends in -o (the honorific formation). When the tone is the ordinary narrative tone, the same pronouns are used as indefinites. With negative predicate and the particle *to* 'even, also' a total negation is implied. Such pronouns are:

1) *nu*, *nugu* 'who', 'some, somebody'; determ. *nui*, *nuga*, *nuiga*, gen. *nui*, dat. *nuige*, instrum. *nuro*, *nullo*, accus. *nul*, *nuril*.

e.g. *nuga wasso*, *nuga wannan ja* (*wannā*, *wannī*) 'who came?'; *nuga wasso*, *nuga watta* (*wanne*) 'someone came'; *nugu do ani wasso* 'nobody has come'; *nugu ani wasso* 'someone has not come'; *pane nuga isso?* 'is there someone in the room?'; *nugu-dēnži* 'whoever it may be'; *nugu-ra do* 'anybody you may name'; *nugul pogo kiren maril hasio* 'to whom do you say that?'; *nuige sasso* 'from whom did you buy it'; *ēge kanani ga nugu-nži morigesso* 'I do not know who that man is who goes there'; *nu ga nā phjenži kažigo wanna* 'has anybody brought a letter for me'.

2) *muēt* 'what' 'something' (subst.), orig. *mu-geš* 'what thing', determ. *muēsī*, *mue*, *muē*, accus. *muēsīl*, *muēl*, *muīl*, instrum. *muēsīro*, *muēllo*.

e.g. *i gesi muēllo mandan ges-io* 'of what is this made'; *muēt to ani megessini muēra do mekko kapsida* 'I haven't eaten anything, let's eat something and then go'; *muēs-idēnži* 'whatever you like'; *irimi muēs-io* 'what is its name?'.

3) *mušen*, *mušin*, *mušim* 'what' (adj.) 'some', orig. *muēs han* 'what saying', *muēs in* 'what being'.

e.g. *mušin ir-io* 'what affair is it', 'it is some kind of affair'; *mušim saram-io* 'what man is he?' (= what does he do); *mušin ges-idēnži čal haja-ja sšigesso* 'everything must be done well'; *mušin sāg-inga* 'what colour is it?'.

4) *mjet* (*mjet*, *met*), stem **mječh*, 'how much, how many', 'a certain number of' (subst. and adj.), accus. *mječhil*, instrum. *mjetčhiro* 'of how many, at how much'. The final sound of this pronoun is assimilated with the following consonant (s. Phon. about -t, § 14)

e.g. *mjetčh-io* 'how much, how old are you'; *mjetthā ga tōjesso* 'how many years is it (since that)'; *mjetčhil mane osjesso* 'in how

many days did you arrive'; *modo mjēn-ni-o* 'how many miles is it together'; *mjēn-namiī toni tīresso* 'how many men's money has gone into that', 'a great many men's money has been spent on that'; *mjek-kā kažge wasso* 'how many pieces have you brought', 'they have brought quite a lot'; *mjek-kā do mot edetta* 'I could get only a few, I didn't receive just any'; *mjes-si-o* 'what is the time' (*si* 'hour').

5) *wā*, *we* 'why' (adv.), written *wai*, orig. *mai*, probably a locative; *wān*, *wen* (adj.), orig. *wai-in*, 'why been'.

e.g. *we-jo*, *we-da* 'why is it'; *we kīri hasio* 'why do you say so?'; *wen kkadalg-io* 'what's the reason', or 'there is a reason why'; *wen illo katta* 'he went on some errand'; *wen illo kanna* 'on what errand did he go'.

6) *edā*, *ede*, *edī* (*edī*), Nor. Kor. *wēde*, *wēdī* 'what place, where', orig. *wē* 'what' and *tai* 'place'.

e.g. *murin edā ga čēil kipso* 'where is the water deepest'; *edāl* (*edāro*, *mušin dero*) *katta* 'they went somewhere'; *edīril kugjen hasesso* 'what places have you been viewing?'; *edā-m-maril pāhwasso* 'what languages (the languages of what countries) have you learnt'; *edī-n-naraī saram io* 'what countryman is he?', 'he is from I don't know what country'; *edā-dēnži isso* 'it is to be found anywhere'; *edīmā* 'whereabout' (<*edā-im* in locative)

7) *ēna*, *ēnā* (*ēnī*, *ēn-*), N. Kor. *wēng-*, *wēn-* 'which, someone (of two or more)', always only adjective or genitive *ēnin*.

e.g. *ēnin nara ga igīgesso* 'which country will win?'; *kī saram ēibi ēnā-žib-io* 'which of those houses is his?'; *ēnže* (Nor. Kor. *wēnže*) 'what time', 'some time', *ēna-ttā*, *ēnī-ttā* 'id.' (*če*, *ttai* 'time'); *ēlma*, *ēnma* 'what amount, what extent, how much' (*man* 'amount, size'); *inže ēlma mot pōjol tīt hao* 'I shall probably not see you for some time now'; *ēlma do anso* 'it is only very little'; *ēlma kidarjekketēi manin, amo do ani wasso* 'we waited some time, but nobody came'.

8) *etle* 'how, in what manner, in some way, so-and-so' (adv.) used only with *hada* 'to be, to do'; *etle hada* 'how to do, how to

be' is usually shortened to *ettētha*, *ettē han* to *ettēn*, *ettēhage* to *ettēkhe*, *ettēhao* to *ettēo*, etc.

e.g. *ettēn ir-io* 'what kind of matter is it, what is it about?', 'there is something in it'; *masi ettēo* 'how is the taste'; *pamsä ga ettēsio* (= *ettē hasio*) 'how was your night, Sir?' (= Good morning!); *ettēn ttäe tömnida*, *ettēn ttäe tančhoe ani tömnida* 'sometimes it succeeds all right, but sometimes it doesn't go at all'; *ettē hadenži morigesso* 'I can't know it anyhow'; *i ga ettēkhe čokhesso* 'how will it be best to do it', 'in one or other way it will be all right'.

9) *etēi* 'in what direction, how, why, to a certain degree', N. Kor. *wetli*, only appearing with *hada*; contracted to *etēda*, *etēüda*. e.g. *etēi haja čē wēlgekkotčhīl ttasso* 'why did you pick those roses'; *etēin (etēn, etēihan) kkadalg-io* 'where is one to look for the reason'; *etēi haža-nan* (= *haža hanan*) *malsam-io* 'can you say how we had better do it'.

In all these words, whether adverbs or adjectives, there is the same root *e*, older *wē*, which in *wä* seems to appear in the locative case. To *ettē hada* and *etēi hada* correspond the locutions *kire hada*, *čere hada* 'to be so as that', *ire hada* 'to be so as this' and *amore hada* 'to be in any way', *kiri, čeri* 'thither', *iri* 'here, hither', *amori* 'in some direction', also used with *hada*. Cf. Tungus *ili* 'hither', *tali* 'in that direction'.

107 There is another pronoun which is used only as an indefinite.

10) *amo* (*am-*), N. Kor. *amu* used both as substantive and adjective, 'whoever, whatever, such, any'.

e.g. *amo ga wannan ja* 'has anybody come'; *amodirji čibi* 'some people's houses'; *amogä ga wasso* 'some gentleman has come'; *amo dāro katta go* 'he went somewhere, they say'; *amo dāro do katta* 'he went everywhere'; *am-man hajē do* 'however one may do'; *amo gode itēio* 'it is somewhere, I think'; *amo saram-ina* 'whosoever it may be'; *amo get to anio* 'it is nothing at all'; *amodēnži orakko* '(say that) somebody, anyone, may come here'; *amore hajassēdo* 'however it may have been'; *amore hagēna*

(*khēna*) 'in any way'; *amo-žoro-ok* 'by all means' (*čo* 'means'); *amo-ttāe do ttāe ani čatta* 'I never slept on the ground'; *amo ttāe* 'at any time'; *amo ttāe do* 'always, even at any time'.

Indefinite numerals.

Before coming to the numerals, some nouns, common in summary counting, may be taken up here, all the more as many of them are used instead of indefinite pronouns. 108

The most used seem to be:

jere (adj.), *jeres* (subst.) 'several, many, various, different'; e.g. *jere-gaži* 'various kinds of', *jere-gesi isso* 'there are many of them', *jere-ben* 'several times, often', *jere-dä* 'in many places, almost everywhere', *jere-bun* 'several persons', 'all of you'.

The origin seems to be *jel* 'ten' and in *jere-s* the *s* seems to have been *kes* 'thing'.

tere 'some few, certain ones, a little, partially'; *tere — tere* 'some — some others'.

hok (Chin. subst. adj.) 'some, some other, may be some, perhaps, otherwise, or else, or'; e.g. *hoksi* 'sometimes, at times', *hogi karadä* 'as someone may say', *malsam hogin tori* 'the Word or Logos'.

hanä (subst.), N. Kor. *hannä*; *han* (adj.) 'one, some, the same'; e.g. *han simul* 'a twenty', *hanä nin — hanä nin* 'the one — the other'; *han gode kaža* 'let's go to the same place'; *tā han tonhjan saram-io* 'are you all from the same town?'. See this word also under numerals.

hōn, N. Kor. *habun* 'single', *honža*, N. Kor. *habundza* 'alone, not more than one'; *hollo* (Instrumental) 'by oneself' (N. Kor. *habullu*).

tā 'all', 'fully', 'ready', 'finally',

čoi, N. Kor. *čohi* 'all, entire', *čoi dā* idem.

to (Chin.) 'all', 'together', *to-moži* 'all';

e.g. *tā watta* 'all have come, they are all here'; *tā tōjesso* 'it is ready'; *čoidā kagetta* 'they will all go'; *tuul tā čoi hajesso* 'they are both (all two) drunk'.

tıl, N. Kor. *ter* (in the oldest language also *ten*) 'several, all, so many there are' is a substantive, and has already been mentioned as the word for expressing the plural; e.g. *inže dıl ċibe ga* 'now you will all go home!'.
modın (adj.), *modo*, *moda* (adv. subst.) 'all, the whole' (from v. *motta* 'to collect', cf. *to-možı*); e.g. *modın nara* 'the whole country'; *nara ga modo* id., *sarami modo* 'the whole of mankind'.

mut (subst.) 'crowd', *muri* 'crowd, lot'; e.g. *sä muri* 'the birds, the flock of birds'.

ön, N. Kor. *obun* 'whole, total', *onža* 'totally, in all'; e.g. N. Kor. *obun šešange* 'in the whole world'; *on gat* or *on gaži* 'all sorts of'; *on gas-saram* 'all kinds of people'.

mada, N. Kor. *mađan* 'each, every' (subst.) and

mai, *mä* (Chin. adj.) 'every'; e.g. *saram mada* = *mä-in* 'every man'; *nal mada* = *mä-il* (N. Kor. *mä-äl*) 'every day'; *mä-si* 'every hour', *nara mada* 'every country', *mä-guk* id.

kak (Chin.) 'each, every'; e.g. *kak kaži* 'every kind of'; *kak sägi* id. (*säk* 'colour'); *kak saram* 'each man'; *kakki* 'each of them'.

Numerals.

109 The Koreans use two sets of numerals; the one is pure Korean and the other taken from the Chinese.

The pure Korean numerals are used with Korean substantives, and with the Chinese nouns one uses only Chinese numerals. The Korean set of numerals reaches up to 99, and two words, *on* 'hundred' and *ċimın* 'thousand', have existed but are no longer used. For higher numbers, usually even above twenty, the Chinese numerals seem to be preferred.

Some Korean numerals exist in two forms. The one is used independently, i.e. as a substantive, and is as such subject to declension, the other is an adjective, i.e. as the first part of a compound. Thus we have:

The Cardinal Numbers

Korean		Chinese
subst.	adj.	
1 <i>hanā</i>	<i>han</i>	<i>il</i>
N. Kor. <i>hannā</i>		
2 <i>tıl, tuul, tuwul</i>	<i>tu</i>	<i>i</i>
N. Kor. <i>tūur</i>		
3 <i>set</i> (determ. <i>sesi</i>)	<i>se</i> (<i>sek, se</i>)	<i>sam</i>
4 <i>net</i> (» <i>nesi</i>)	<i>ne</i> (<i>nek, ne</i>)	<i>sa</i>
5 <i>tasıl</i> (» <i>tasısi</i>)	<i>taset, tat</i>	<i>o</i>
6 <i>jēsıl</i> (» <i>jēsısi</i>)	<i>jēset, jēt</i>	<i>juk</i> (<i>njuk</i>)
7 <i>ilgop</i> (<i>nilgop</i>)	<i>ilgop</i>	<i>ċhil</i>
8 <i>jēdelp</i>	<i>jēdelp, jēdēl</i>	<i>phal</i>
9 <i>ahop</i> (<i>ghup</i>)	<i>ahop</i>	<i>ku</i>
10 <i>jēl</i> (<i>jēri</i>)	<i>jēl</i>	<i>sip</i>
N. Kor. <i>jēr, jar</i>		
11 <i>jērhana</i>	<i>jērhan</i>	<i>sib-il</i>
12 <i>jēldıl</i>	<i>jēldu</i>	<i>sib-i</i> (<i>sim-ni</i>)
20 <i>şımul</i>	<i>şımul, şımu</i>	<i>isip</i>
30 <i>şerhın</i>		<i>samsip</i>
40 <i>mahın</i>		<i>sasip</i>
50 <i>suın</i>		<i>osip</i>
60 <i>jesuın, jēsun</i>		<i>juksip</i>
70 <i>ırhın</i>		<i>ċhilsip</i>
80 <i>jēdın</i>		<i>phalsip</i>
90 <i>ahın</i>		<i>kusip</i>
100 (older language <i>on</i>)		<i>päk</i>
101 <i>päkhana</i>	<i>päkhan</i>	<i>päg-il</i>
110 <i>il-bäk şımul</i>	<i>il-bäk şımu</i>	<i>il-bäg-isip</i>

Further: 200 *ibäk*, 300 *sambäk*, 400 *sabäk*, 500 *obäk*, 600 *jukpäk*, 700 *ċhibäk*, 800 *phalbäk*, 900 *kubäk* and 1000 *ċhen*, 10,000 *man*.

The adjectival forms *sek*, *nek* are used before some special words beginning on dental sounds, e.g. *saı* 'table', *ssaı* 'pair', *şem* 'bag', *tal* 'month', *ċaı* 'sheet', *ċim* 'load', etc. About when to use *tasıl*,

jēsīt as adjectives and when the shorter *tat*, *jēt* there does not seem to be any certain rule, but the forms *sē*, *nē*, *tat*, *jēt* are used at least before the words *ton* 'coin, money', *mal* 'a dry measure' and *mažigi* 'an acre'.

The substantival forms *ses-i*, *nes-i* 'three of them, four of them' seem to be compounds of *se* (or *sē*), *ne* (or *nē*) and *ges*, *kēs* 'piece' of which the *-s* (> *t*) is left. The same may be the case with the *-s* in *tasqs*, *jēsīs* and also with substantive *jēres-i* 'the most or several of them' (< *jere gesi*), cf. adjectival *jere* 'several, many', from *jēl* 'ten'.

Note. About the origin of these numerals some hints may here be given.

The subst. *hanā*, *hannā* is evidently a *han* 'one' and *nā* ('piece'? 'face'?) and *tuvur* 'two' (Tung. *žur*, Ma. *žue*) contains some **bur*, **ber*. Cf. Aino *shine* 'one' and *tu* 'two' (*tu-otne* 'forty'). In **tā-sqs* '5' and **jē-sīs* '6' there is a *sq-s*, probably 'hand', 'fist', cognate with *son* 'hand', Ma. *sun-žā* '5', tung. *sō-lto* 'fist' and entering also in Goldi *sosi* '50', Kor. *suin* '50', Ma. *susai* '50'. Perhaps *tā* in *tā-sqs* is cognate with Mong. Kitan *ta-bun* '5' and with Kor. *tā* 'all, whole', and *jē-* in *jē-sīs* with *jēl* '10'. The word for 'ten' is *jēl* which is related to the verb *jēlda* 'to open' as Ma. *žuan* 'ten' to Ma. *žuan-ka* 'opened' (*žuambi* 'is opening'). In Kor. **nil-kop* '7' seem to be discernable **nil* = Tung. *ilan* '3' and Kor. *kop* 'bending', scil. '(with) three (fingers) bent'. In the word for '8' *jēdēlp*, *jēdīlp* one may guess a compound of *jēl* 'ten' and **tulp* 'two lacking, without two' and in *ahop* '9' the above *-kop* 'bend, curved' after *a* (? 'small, child'), cf. Ma. *ujun* '9' = Tung. *ujun* 'small', scil. 'the small finger (bent)'. The Kor. *on* '100' is = Turk. *on* 'ten' and old Jap. *o* '100', while Kor. *čimīn* 'thousand' is = Mo. Turk. *tümān* '10000'.

- 110 The Koreans have special compounds or abbreviations for approximate counting. They are very usual with words like *pen* 'time', *saram* 'man, homme', *kā* 'piece' etc.

Sure counting

hanben 'once'
tuben 'twice'

Approximate counting

handuben 'once or twice'
tuseben 'twice or thrice', *tueben*
'some few times' (*tue* 'few')

seben 'thrice'

neben 'four times'

taseppen 'five times'

jēseppen 'six times'

ilgoppen 'seven times'

jēdēlben 'eight times'

ahoppen, *ahuppen* 'nine times'

jēlben 'ten times'

jēl-lamīn (*jēnamīn*) 'over ten'

(*jal-lamun* 'exceeding ten, ten at

least', *jēres* (subst.) 'several')

sēnēben 'three or four times'

nēdeppen 'four or five times'

nēdēdāppen 'about five times'

tājeppen, *tājejeppen* 'six times
or so'

jēnnīlkoppen 'six times at least'

irjēdelppen 'about seven times'

jēdahoppen, *jēdahuppen* 'about 8t.'

ajereben 'about nine times'

jereben 'ca. 10 times' (*jere* 'several')

There are also some special words for the age of animals (cattle 111 or wild beasts), such as:

harop, *harip* 'a yearling' (and 'one wearing of cotton padding')

(*turip*, *turup* 'two years')

sarop, *sarip* 'three years old'

narip 'four years old'

tasop, *tasip* 'five years old'

jēsip 'six years old'

irop 'seven years old'

jēdip 'eight years old'

asop or *kurip* 'nine years old'

tambul 'ten years old', *tamphul* id.

In this last word there is perhaps a word *phul* 'grass', because for the age of animals one can also hear used expressions like *han-phul* 'one grass' (for yearling), *tuphul* 'of the second summer', etc.

The language has also special words for 'how many days' and 112 for 'what day of the month'.

haro 'one day', *haru* id., *halle* 'in
one day'

ithil 'two days'

haro nal 'the first day of the
month'

ithin nal 'the second day'

<i>sahil</i> 'three days'	<i>sahin nal</i> 'the third day'
<i>nahil</i> 'four days'	<i>nahin nal</i> 'the fourth d.'
<i>tassä</i> 'five days'	<i>tassä nal</i> 'the fifth d.'
<i>jessä</i> 'six days'	<i>jessä nal</i> 'the sixth d.'
<i>irhe</i> 'seven days', 'a week'	<i>irhe nal</i> 'the seventh. d.'
<i>jedirä</i> 'eight days'	<i>jedirä nal</i> 'the eighth d.'
<i>ahirä</i> 'nine days'	<i>ahirä nal</i> 'the ninth d.'
<i>jerhil</i> 'ten days'	<i>jerhil-lal</i> 'the tenth. d.'
<i>jerharo</i> 'eleven days'	<i>jerharo</i> 'the eleventh. d.'
<i>jerithil</i> 'twelve days'	<i>jerithin nal</i> 'the twelfth'
<i>porum, porim</i> '15 days'	<i>porim nal</i> 'the fullmoon day'
<i>sumu, simu</i> 'a twenty days'.	<i>simu nal, simul-lal</i> 'the twentieth day'
	<i>kimim, or kimim nal</i> 'the last day of the month'.

And also of the period of years: *it-hä* 'two years' (*hä* 'year, day, sun'), *set-hä* 'three years', etc.

Examples: *onal i-wel simu-ithir-io* 'to-day is the 22nd of the second month'; *jessä hue tasi osio* 'please come again after six days' *haro-genne čaη-nar-io* 'every second day is market day' (*haro kenne* 'transmitting one day'), *ithil kenne* 'every third day', 'passing over a two days period', *kimimkke* 'at the close of the month', *porumkke* 'about the 15th of the month'.

The Ordinals.

in Korean:	in Sinokorean:
'first' <i>čhet, čhetčä</i>	<i>če-il</i>
'2nd' <i>tulžä</i>	<i>če-i</i>
'3rd' <i>setčä</i>	<i>če-sam</i>
'4th' <i>netčä</i>	<i>če-sa</i>
'5th' <i>tasetčä, tassetčä</i>	<i>če-o, čo-o</i>
'6th' <i>jasetčä, jessetčä</i>	<i>če-ryuk</i>
'7th' <i>ilgopčä</i>	<i>če-čhil</i>
'8th' <i>jedelžä, jedeltčä</i>	<i>če-phal</i>

'9th' <i>ahopčä</i>	<i>če-gu</i>
'10th' <i>jelžä</i>	<i>če-sip</i>
'11th' <i>jerhanžä, etc.</i>	<i>če-sib-il, etc.</i>
'20th' <i>simulžä, simužä, etc.</i>	<i>če-isip, etc.</i>
'50th' <i>suinžä</i>	<i>če-osip</i>
'100th' <i>päkčä, etc.</i>	<i>če-ilbäk, etc.</i>
'1000th' <i>čhenžä</i>	<i>če-ilčhen</i>
'10,000th' <i>manžä</i>	<i>če-ilman</i>

Classifiers.

A Korean numeral, which has an adjective and a substantive form, is used in the adjective form when a Korean substantive follows: *han saram* 'a man, one man', but not every substantives can be used so. A Chinese numeral must be used before Chinese noun, with which it is united to a compound.

Like Chinese, Japanese, Gilyak and many other oriental languages the Korean abounds in nouns specially used with the numerals as a kind of counting unit. Together with a Korean numeral a Korean general noun is used, and a Chinese noun with the Chinese numerals. Adopting UNDERWOOD's terminology we will call them 'classifiers' (UNDERWOOD 'Special Classifiers'), and not 'auxiliary numerals'. As in English one says 'so many *head* of cattle', 'so many *sheats* of paper', 'so many *keels* of ships', etc., the Korean say, not 'five horses', but 'horses five *heads*', 'books two *volumes*', 'persons three *names*' etc. We shall here enumerate some of the most used classifiers.

skor. *mjeη* 'name', kor. *nat* 'face' of human beings,
pun, ui (wi, üi) 'person' of honored personages, e.g. *juwēn hanbuni*
 'a physician',
 kor. *mari* 'head', of all kinds of animals,
phil, of big domestic animals (horse, cattle, donkeys),
 skor. (**lip, nip*) *ip* 'hatbream' of hats, mattresses etc.
čhä 'basis' for houses, carriages, covers, etc.
čwa 'seat, site', for houses and artillery guns

onǵi han-žari 'one pot', *tasitčari* 'five handles'
peł 'suit' for dresses, pots, covers; *ot han-beri kapsi elmanāo* 'what
 is the price for one suit?'
saŋ 'table' for tables, chairs and standing utensils, meals etc.
ču 'stem' for trees, logs, etc.
 Kor. *čaro*, Chinese *pjēŋ* 'handle' for hand guns, swords, knives, pens,
 fans and all kind of things to be held in the hand
toŋ 'bundle' for packages and tied things
teŋi 'roll, round' for long and round things (bread, fruit)
tte 'flock' for flocks of birds, crowds, companies
khjeri 'pair' for all things that are made in pairs
ssaŋ 'couple, pair' for animals and things which go in pairs
kil, skor. *kweŋ* 'volume' for books
kā (Chin.) 'piece' for small things of which any number can be used
 or spoken about
al 'grain' for all kinds of minute or extremely small things
phjēŋ 'side' for bits or slices of anything eatable
madā 'link' for words, proverbs, sentences, songs, etc.
chek 'prow' for ships and boats
ččok, *ččak* 'half' for things usually found in pairs or more together
 as a whole, etc. etc.
 Here come in also the names of measures, weight and money
 and other words used as counting units.

II. The verb.

General remarks on the conjugation.

- 115 The verb in Korean expresses either that something is going on, i.e. a happening or an action, where the subject is the doer, or that something is so-and-so, such-and-such, i.e. a judgment, an equation, given concerning the subject.

The verbs of action (transitive or intransitive in sense) are here called active verbs and correspond to verbs in our Western languages. The other kind of verb, which we here call qualitative, is

rendered in European languages by adjectives and less often by verbs; e.g. *patčhi phurida* 'the field is green', 'das Feld grünt'; *horani ga musepta* 'the tiger is terrible'; *kj ga mar ida* 'that is a horse'; *i ga namu-da* (< *namu ida*) 'this is a tree'.

Only in very few forms or tenses is a distinction made between these two classes of verbs; the difference between them is visible grammatically only in some present tense formations and in the participles, as will be explained later on. Both kinds of verbs follow one and the same conjugation, the single one of the Korean language.

The paradigm of conjugation shows in the Korean language 116 a perplexingly large number of different forms, but nowhere do these by special endings indicate whether one or more persons or things are something or are doing something and the grammatical 'persons' ('I', 'you', 'he', 'she', 'it', 'we', 'they') have no grammatical distinction in a language, where all forms of the verb are indifferent to person and number. Instead of this, the choice of forms depends on what degree of simpleness in speech, familiarity or polite courtesy one wants to show. In many forms of the verb we find included a distinct sense of social aspect or regard to the person or persons in whose presence or to whom or about whom the words are uttered. In many positions we thus have the verb still in its simple forms, but in finishing a sentence, addressed to a listener, the social side of conversation cannot be disregarded. The Koreans speak upwards, to equals, downwards or in solilocutions. The downward forms are, as a rule, the oldest and simplest. To equals or in the presence of equals are used forms which originally belonged to unfinished sentences. Speaking upwards, there are different grades of politeness and many various courteous verbal expressions, originally compounds of participles with the auxiliary verb *ida* 'to be'. The choice of the right form for the main verb of any sentence is in Korean society more important than anywhere else in the world, perhaps with the exception of Japan. The use of too humble or too polite expressions in everyday talk among friends and equals would make the speaker ridiculous, and the use of too low or too simple forms of the verb as predicate, when there is a higher or older person present

as listener or as the person spoken to, would be an unpardonable offence. Frequently the different degrees of politeness indicate also the subject of the verb (*onda* 'I come', 'we come', 'he comes' or 'they come', but *oo* 'you come', in familiar speech).

All forms of the verbal conjugation which are used at the end of a sentence must therefore be studied very carefully by any foreigner who intends to say anything in Korean. It is to be remarked that the degrees of politeness are the same whether one addresses some one directly or only says something in the presence of another wanting him to listen; e.g. *sani nopta* 'the mountain is high' (as monologue without regard to the listening person, or downwards to children and servants), *sani nopso* 'the mountain is high' (when I say it to a friend or to persons not much higher or older than myself), *sani nophimnida* (when I tell it to honored person), *sani noptoda* (said only for myself).

117 As said above, there is only one conjugation, all verbs being inflected in the same way and with the same endings, but considerable phonetical variations in the contact between the stem (its last sound or sounds) and the suffix (its initial sound and its vowel) are to be observed.

118 In regard to the last sound of the stem, the verbs can be divided up in two main groups; we have verbs with I. vowel stem and II. consonant stem.

In the following examples please observe the character of the stem and the variations of the suffix.

I. Vowel stems.

1) Single vowel:

poda 'to see' : *poa* 'having seen' : *pon* 'seen'
oda 'to come here' : *wa* 'having come' : *on* 'come'
ēda 'to give' : *ēuē* : *ēun* 'given'
ēida 'to load' : *ēiē* : *ēin* 'loaded, laden'
ēida 'to become' : (*ējē*) *ēē* : *ēin*
khida 'to be great' : *khē* : *khin*
ssida 'to write', 'to use' : *ssē* : *ssin*

(*sjēda*) *sēda* 'to stay, to stand' : *sē* : *sen*
kada 'to go away' : *ka* : *kan*
ida 'to be' : *iē* : *in*
hada 'to do, to say, to be' : *haja*, *hajē* (*hājē*, *hā*) : *han*
kennēda 'to pass over a river' : *kennē* : *kennēn*
pāhoda 'to learn' : *pāhwa* : *pāhon* (or *pāhuda* : *pāhun*)
kippuda 'to be glad' : *kippe* : *kippun*
apphida 'to hurt, to smart' : *appha* : *apphin*
pissada 'to be expensive' : *pissa* : *pissan*
tollida 'to turn round' : *tolljē* : *tollin*
mēgida 'to feed' : *mēgjē* : *mēgin*
(*sjēuda*) *seuda* 'to erect' : *sewē* : *seun*
tolēhida 'to turn around' : *tolēhē* (*tolēhjē*) : *tolēhin*
(N. Kor. *torthida* : *torthjē* : *torthin*)

2) Diphthongs (on -i):

(*naida*) *nāda* : *nājē* : *nān* 'to give away, to make go out'
(*puida*) *pūda*, *pūida* : *pūijē* : *pūin* 'to be empty' or *pida* : *pijē* : *pīn*
(*igida*) *igida* : *igijē* : *igīn* 'to win, to conquer'
(*seida*) *sēda* : *sejē* : *sen* 'to be strong'
(*toida*) *tōda* : *tōjē*, *tōijē* : *tōn* 'to result in, to become'
or (N. Kor.) *twēda* : *twējē* : *twēn*

3) Verbs in -ru-, -ri-:

(*oruda*) *oriḍa* : *olla* : (*orun*) *orin* 'to ascend'
purida : *pullē* : *purin* 'to call'
hirida : *hille* : *hirin* 'to flow'
(*nirida*) *iriḍa* : *ille* : *irin* 'to name, to call'
aorida : *aolla* : *aorin* 'to unite, to annex'

II. Consonant stems.

4) The stem ends in *l* (this *l* disappeared early before dentals, v. Phonet. § 30).

(*alda*) *āda* : *ara* : *ān* 'to know, to think'
(*mēlda*) *mēda* : *mēre* : *mēn* 'to be far'

(mōlda) mōda : mōra : mōn 'to drive'
 (phulda) phūda : phure : phūn 'to undo, to untie'
 phurida : phurire : phurin 'to be green'
 irida : irire : irin 'to reach, to arrive at'

5) The stems of the type:

titta : tire : tirin 'to hear'
 ketta : kere : kerin 'to walk'
 nutta : nure : nurin 'to burn (cloth before a fire)'
 tatta : tara : tarin 'to run' and 'to approach'
 mutta : mure : murin 'to ask, to inquire'

Stems of this kind had probably originally spirantic *d*.

6) The stems on original *j or *ń, such as:

čitta : čije : čijn (čīn) 'to build'
 natta : naa : naīn 'to be better', 'to recover'
 putta : pue : puīn (pūn) 'to pour out'
 kitta : kīe (kīje) : kīīn 'to make a stroke, to draw a line'
 čotta : čoa : čojin 'to tie around'

7) The verbs on -h with preceding vowel or l(r), n:

nattiha : naha (naa) : nahin (nain) 'to bear, to bring forth', 'to produce'
 čottha : čoha (čoa) : čohin (čojin) 'to be good'
 iltha : irhe (ire) : irhin (irin) 'to lose'
 oltha : orha (ora) : orhin (orin) 'to be right'
 nōttha : nōha (nōa) : nōhin (nōin) 'to lay down'
 ttultha : tturhe (tture) : tturhin (tturin) 'to bore through'
 mantha : manha (mana) : manhin (manin) 'to be many'
 tattha : taha (taa) : tahin (tain) 'to rely on, to depend on'

Please observe that the clusil of the ending here (through metathesis) always appears as aspirated, but not in the verbs where the stem ends in *ph* or *th* or *čh* (under 9).

8) The verbs on -w (North Korean still -b); e. gr.

tōpta : tōa (tōwa) : tōin 'to help' (N. Kor.: tōba : tōbun)
 nupta : nue (nuwe) : nuin 'to lie down' (N. Kor.: nūbe : nūbun)

čhipta : čhiwe : čhiun (čhiwin) 'to be cold' (N. Kor. čhibun)
 hapta : hawa : haon 'to be done' (hawa = hao)
 kakkapta : kakkawa : kakkaon 'to be near'
 tukkepta : tukkewe : tukkeun 'to be thick'
 mugepta : mugewe : mugeun 'to be heavy'
 saramdapta : saramdawa : saramdaon 'to be human'
 hāropta : hārowa : hāroon 'to be injurious'
 teupta : teuwe (tewe) : teun 'to be warm'
 kupta : kuwe (kue) : kuin (kuun) 'to bake'
 (N. Kor. kupta : kube : kubun)

9) The stems on all other consonants such as *k*, *kk*, *lk*, *t*, *th*, *lth*, *s*, *ss*, *p*, *ph*, *ps*, *lp*, *č*, *čh*, *nč*, *n*, *m* and *lm*.

-k- mekta : mege : megin 'to eat'
 » sokta : soga : sogin 'to be deceived' (active verb)
 -kk- pokta : pokka : pokkin 'to fry'
 » kkeкта : kkekke : kkekkin 'to break off' (v. trans.)
 -lk- pulkta : pulge : pulgin 'to be red'
 » kalkta : kalga : kalgin 'to scrape', 'to smooth'
 -t- patta : pada : padin 'to receive'
 » etta : ede : edin 'to acquire, to get'
 -th- atta : atha : athin 'to throw away' (in pāatta 'to spit')
 » putta : puthe : puthin 'to ply, to go by, to be applied'
 -lth- halta : haltha : halthin 'to lick, to lap'
 » hulta : hulthe : hulthin 'to rub smooth'
 -s- atta : asa : asin 'to take by force', 'to give farther'
 » utta : use : usin 'to laugh'
 -ss- itta : iss e : iss in 'to be, to exist'
 -p- čapta : čaba : čabin 'to catch', 'to kill an animal'
 » (nipta) ipta : ibe : ibin 'to dress oneself in', 'to obtain a favor'
 -ph- kapta : kapha : kaphin 'to pay'
 » tepta : tephe : tephin 'to cover'
 » epta : ephe : ephin 'to turn upside down'
 -ps- ēpta : ēps e : ēps in 'to lack, not to exist'
 -lp- nelpta : nelbe : nelbin 'to be broad'

- lp- *palpta* : *palba* : *palbın* 'to tramp down, to step on'
 -č- *matta* : *maža* : *mažın* 'to meet'
 » *četta* : *čežę* : *čežın* 'to be wet'
 » *čitta* : *čižę* : *čižın* 'to bark, to yell'
 -nč- *antla* : *anža* : *anžın* 'to sit down'
 » *entla* : *enžę* : *enžın* 'to place above'
 -čh- *ččotta* : *ččotčha* : *ččotčhın* 'to frighten away'
 » *matta* : *matčha* : *matčhın* 'to fulfill, to hit the mark'
 » *sitta* : *sitčhe* : *sitčhın* 'to baste clothes'
 -n- *sinda* : *sine* : *sinın* 'to put on the shoes'
 » *ānda* : *āna* : *ānın* 'to hold in the arms'
 -m- *kamda* : *kama* : *kamın* 'to shut the eye', 'to be dark'
 » *kemda* : *keme* : *kemın* 'to be black'
 -lm- *salmda* : *salma* : *salmın* 'to cook by boiling'
 » *čelmda* : *čelme* : *čelmın* 'to be young, a youngster'

119 The verbs *itta* and *ępta* are the only ones of their kind, probably because they are shortenings of earlier *isi-* and *ęb-isi-* (cf. Tung. *bisi-* and *abul- bisi-*); all other kinds of stems are to be found in more than one verb. All verbs, also those where an old compound is to be supposed, as in *päatta* 'to spit', *putčapta* 'to catch hold on', *sondeda* (*son-teda*) 'to lay the hands on, to touch', etc., follow without exception that type to which the last or the two last sounds of the stem show them to belong. So the quality of the sounds alone decides, never the division into active and qualitative verbs.

120 Besides or above the primary verbs there exist a large number of verbs, formed by joining *hada* to a preceding noun. In an active sense *hada* means 'to do that and that' and in a descriptive or qualitative use 'to be that and that': *il hada* 'to do work', but *mjo hada* 'to be nice'. To both Korean and Chinese words or expressions, even Chinese sentences, this verb has been joined to form various kinds of verbs, just like the verb *suru* in Japanese, *se-mbi* in Manchu or *etmek* in Turkish. E. g. *kal hada* 'to be thirsty' (skor. *kal* 'thirst'); *kamgım hada* 'to imprison' (skor. *kam-kım* 'prison, imprisonment, detention'); *kalphan ęilphan hada* 'to go hither and thither', 'to

try both this and that' (kor. *ka-* 'go' and *či-* 'to come'); *nadıri hada* 'to make an outing', 'to go out and come in again', *na-dıri* 'an outing', *na dıda* (: *na dıre* : *na dın*) 'to enter having been out'; *panižıl hada* 'to sew', 'to do needle-work' (*panižıl* 'needle-working', *panıl* 'needle'); etc., etc.

Many of the most used compounds with *hada* are nowadays **121** contracted and the result is a somewhat irregular paradigm. After a long vowel the sound *h* has been lost and also the stem vowel has usually been lost in a preceding *ā*, but after a short vowel the *h* has made the clusil in endings aspirated. Thus:

fully pronounced	contracted
<i>ire hada</i> : <i>ire</i> (<i>haje</i>) : <i>ire han</i>	<i>iretha</i> : <i>ire</i> : <i>iren</i> 'to be so (as this)'
<i>kire hada</i> : <i>kire</i> : <i>kire han</i>	<i>kiretha</i> : <i>kire</i> : <i>kiren</i> 'to be so (as that)'
<i>ette hada</i> : <i>ette</i> : <i>ette han</i>	<i>etteha</i> : <i>ette</i> : <i>etten</i> 'to be how?'
<i>keme hada</i> : <i>keme</i> : <i>keme han</i>	<i>kemetha</i> : <i>keme</i> : <i>kemen</i> 'to be dark'
<i>kama hada</i> : <i>kama</i> : <i>kama han</i>	<i>kamatha</i> : <i>kama</i> : <i>kaman</i> 'to be black'
<i>kkama hada</i> : <i>kkama</i> : <i>kkama han</i>	<i>kkamatha</i> : <i>kkama</i> : <i>kkaman</i> 'to be pitchblack'
<i>puje hada</i> : <i>puje</i> : <i>puje han</i>	<i>pujetha</i> : <i>puje</i> : <i>pujen</i> 'to be gray'
<i>ppoja hada</i> : <i>ppoja</i> : <i>ppoja han</i>	<i>ppojatha</i> : <i>ppoja</i> : <i>ppojan</i> 'to be darkgray'
<i>hiije hada</i> : <i>hiije</i> : <i>hiije han</i>	<i>hijetha</i> : <i>hiije</i> : <i>hiijen</i> 'to be white'
<i>häje hada</i> : <i>häje</i> : <i>häje han</i>	<i>häjetha</i> : <i>häje</i> : <i>häjen</i> 'to be quite white'
<i>pulge hada</i> : <i>pulge</i> <i>häje</i> : <i>pulge han</i>	<i>pulgetha</i> : <i>pulge</i> : <i>pulgen</i> 'to be red'
<i>ppolga hada</i> : <i>ppolga</i> <i>häje</i> : <i>ppolga han</i>	<i>ppolgatha</i> : <i>ppolga</i> : <i>ppolgan</i> 'to be fire-red'
<i>ani hada</i> : <i>ani</i> : <i>ani han</i> 'not to be'	<i>antha</i> : <i>ani</i> : <i>anin</i>
<i>namu-ra hada</i> : <i>namu-ra</i> <i>haje</i> : <i>n. han</i> 'to say: you are a block-head'	<i>namurāda</i> : <i>namurā</i> (<i>namurāje</i>) : <i>namurān</i> 'to scold'

An old compound of this kind is, among others, also the verb *kōmāpta* : *kōmāwa*, *kōmāo* : *kōmāon* 'to thank', originally *kōmā hapta* 'to be uttered (-*hapta*) : I will praise you (*kō-mā*)'.

- 122 As the stem of a verb is seldom obtained from some single form, in good grammars and dictionaries these three forms are usually given. The first of them is the simple present tense in all persons, singular and plural, and at the same time the «infinitive». This is often the only form mentioned, if in the grammars and dictionaries written by Westerners a verb is meant. The second form is here called the perfect converb, which name will be explained later. The third is the perfect participle.

These three forms together give a good idea of the whole paradigm and make it possible to construct without erring any other form of the same verb. The Koreans themselves give in their Chinese-Korean dictionaries the future participle (on -*l*, -*il*) as the 'basic' form of a verb. But the three forms used by the Western scholars are more suited as key forms of the conjugation and may be called «the three bases» or «basic forms of the verb».

- 123 The Korean language in its historical development has acquired many kinds of contractions, in the verbal paradigm as well. A form which now seems to be or is pronounced as a simple form, i.e. consisting of stem and ending, is — not to speak of other possibilities — often a compound of the simple verb (in some primary form) and some form of an auxiliary verb: *ida* (: *ie* : *in*) 'to be . . .', *itta* (: *isse* : *issin*) 'to be, to exist' or *hada* (: *haja* : *han*) 'to do, to say, to be'.

Even if we try to keep to the simple forms of the verbal paradigm, we cannot avoid taking into account also some of the more used compounds, as will be seen in the following tables of tenses.

- 124 We have to discard all usual divisions of the verbal inflexion, such as indicative, conjunctive, etc., the infinitive, etc. and, taking into consideration first of all the syntactical functions of the different verbal forms, to classify all the verbal formations which this language possesses in a general scheme as follows:

1) such verbal forms as, through their endings, give one to understand that the sentence is finished — *verba finita* —,

2) such verbal forms as are used as pointing to a following main verb (like the English: «having seen that . . .») — *converba* (or *verba infinita*, *gerundia* according to the older terminology) and

3) such verbal forms as more or less correspond to adjectives and substantives and are therefore either adjectival or substantival forms of the verb (like the participles and the deverbal nouns in other languages) — the *verbal nouns*.

The *verba finita* are used as *predicate* in a sentence, but 125 it is to be observed that the sentence is then a statement, positive or negative. If the sentence is interrogative and an interrogative particle is used, there are other formations of the verb; the interrogative forms of the verb will be taken up later together with a preliminary treatment of the participles and the interrogative particles.

The *verba finita* can be divided into two groups,

- a) such as express objectively the idea of action or quality without any hint of the will of the speaker. This group corresponds to the *indicative* in European languages.
- b) such as include some will, wish or intention of the speaker. Here one can find forms which correspond to the *conjunctive*, *subjunctive* and *imperative* of European languages. Following UNDERWOOD we will call them the *volitive* forms.

All the forms of the verb which are used as predicate are through 126 their endings understood as indicating also some social aspect, i.e. they express at the same time the relation between the speaker and the person spoken to. We have to note at least three degrees: 1) a straightforward form, 2) a familiar sociable form and 3) a polite or honorific form. They are usually called «low», «middle» and «high».

It is the «straightforward» form which is the simplest and oldest; the others are formed through combinations or they are elliptic, as will be seen later. We will in the following first of all try to analyse and explain the «straightforward» forms of the verb, the simple forms of the *verba finita* as the really basic forms coming first into consideration.

Verba finita.

A. The affirmative indicative.

The simple formations.

127 The indicative, or the group of formations for use as predicate in a straightforward statement, contains three main forms. These three forms are:

1) the *declarative*, which has the ending *-ta* (*-ta*, *-da* and *-tha* respectively): *poda*, *čuda*, *mękta*, *patta*, *mantha*. This is the general present tense, which gives the sense of verb without any underlying modification or reservation; the first of the basic forms.

2) the *regressive*, with the suffix *-te* (*-te*, *-de* and *-the* respectively): *pode*, *čude*, *mękte*, *patte*, *manthe*, etc. It implies a «then» or «there» and indicates that something is going on or is something, but not here or now and not relating to «me» or «you». It expresses the verb or the action as in some respect remote in time or space and is at the same time both a present and a past. We therefore call it here the regressive.

3) the *indecisive*, with the suffix *-či* (*-či*, *-ži*, *-či*), which in the northern Korean dialects is still pronounced *-ti*, *-di*, *-thi*. This form includes some uncertainty or simply familiarity on the part of the speaker and has originally, according to the evidence of some Tungus dialects, been a form with a slight future implication, a potential as in German «es wird so sein» («it may be so»). It is used when one does not like to say something quite definitely and is much used between friends.

128 The regressive is not found as the predicate in this original and simple form. There has been added either

a) an *-i*, which, together with *e*, has given *e*; thus *pode*, *čude*, *mękte*, *patte*, *manthe*; this ending is quite common and considered «low»; or

b) the particle *-ra* (*-ira*), which is slightly more polite: *pode_{ra}*, *čude_{ra}*, *mękte_{ra}*, *patte_{ra}*, *manthe_{ra}*, etc.

In compositions the original form *-te* (*pode ida*; *čude ida*; *pode in*, abbrev. *pode_n*, *pode_{in}*; *čude_n*, *čude_{in}*, *čude_{in}*) is still to be found.

All these three forms together as simple forms can be called *present tense*.

The compound tenses. The verb *itta* 'to be, to exist, 129 to remain' has the basic forms *itta*: *isse*: *issin*. It is a late development of a verb *isida*: *isje*: *isin*, and occurs also as *isie*: *isin* or *issin*.

This verb added to the basic form in *-a* or *-e* (the perfect converb) has lost the vowel of the first syllable and we now find *poatta* 'has seen' or 'to have seen' or 'saw', *čue_{tt}a* 'to have given', 'he gave', 'I gave', 'they gave', etc. It is an earlier *poa itta* 'to be after having seen', 'to remain after seeing', 'to have seen', *čue_{tt} itta* 'to be having given'. This compound is in Korean now the simple form of the past tense, corresponding to the imperfect or perfect in our languages.

Besides the *poatta*, *čue_{tt}a* type the language uses also *poassetta*, 130 *čue_{ss}etta*, *mege_{ss}etta*, etc. To the *-a* (*-e*) form has been added *isse* and after that once more *itta* 'to be'. This is the double perfect or past perfect, 'he had already seen', 'I had at that time given'; *manhatta* 'it was much', *manhassetta* 'it had (earlier) been much'.

The verb *itta* added to the future converb in *-ke* (*-ge*, *-khe*), 131 'in order to ...' gives forms of the type *pogetta*, *čuegetta*, *mękgetta*, *mankhetta*, which correspond to the English 'I am to go', and now are the future tense of the Korean verb: *pogetta* 'I shall see', 'you will see', 'he will see', or 'one can see', 'one is to see'. If after this converb in *-ke*, *-ge*, *-khe* is added *issetta* 'was' or 'has been' we have the past of the future: *pogessetta* 'one had to see', 'he was to see', 'they would see', *čugessetta* 'I was to give', 'you were to give', etc.

The future converb of *itta* is *ikke* 'in order to be', 'to be'. This 132 united to the perfect converb *poa*, *čue*, *mege* has the result *poakke* 'in order to have seen', *čuekke* 'in order to have given'. When to this is added *itta* one has forms like *megekketta*, *poakketta*, *čuekketta* 'he is to be to have eaten', 'to be in a state of having eaten', 'you will have eaten' or 'I shall have eaten', 'one is at that time to have eaten'.

The tenses in Indicative

	Declarative	Regressive
Present	<i>poda</i> 'he sees' <i>mekta</i> 'he eats'	<i>pode</i> 'he sees there' <i>mekte</i> 'he eats there'
Perfect	<i>poatta</i> 'he saw' <i>megetta</i> 'he ate'	<i>poatte</i> 'he saw then' <i>megette</i> 'he ate there'
Future	<i>pogetta</i> 'he will see' <i>mekketta</i> 'he is to eat'	<i>pogette</i> 'he will see there' <i>mekkette</i> 'he is then to eat'
Past-perfect	<i>poassetta</i> 'he had seen' <i>megessetta</i> 'he had eaten'	<i>poassette</i> 'he had seen then' <i>megessette</i> 'he had then eaten'
Future-perfect	<i>poakketta</i> 'he will have seen' <i>meggeksetta</i> 'he is to have eaten'	<i>poakkette</i> 'he will have seen there' <i>meggeksette</i> 'he will have eaten there'
Perfect-future	<i>pogessetta</i> 'he was to see' <i>mekkessetta</i> 'he had to eat'	<i>pogessette</i> 'he was then to see' <i>mekkessette</i> 'he had then to eat'

Indecisive

Present	<i>poži</i> 'he sees I think' <i>mekči</i> 'he may eat'
Perfect	<i>poatči</i> 'he saw I think' <i>megetči</i> 'he ate I suppose'
Future	<i>pogetči</i> 'he will see I suppose' <i>mekketči</i> 'I think he will eat'
Past-perfect	<i>poassetči</i> 'he has seen I think' <i>megessetči</i> 'he has eaten I think'
Future-perfect	<i>poakketči</i> 'he will perhaps have seen' <i>meggeksetči</i> 'maybe he will have eaten'
Perfect-future	<i>pogessetči</i> 'perhaps he should see' <i>mekkessetči</i> 'I think he had to eat'

Note 1. The verb *itta* is, of course, also used with logical stress and then does not lose the vowel of the stem. E.gr. *pabi mekke itta* 'the food is ready for eating', but: *pabił mekketta* 'he will eat the food', *ta anžatte* 'all sat down' but: *ta anža itte* 'all were sitting', *sje itte* 'he has risen', 'he is standing', but: *sjette* 'he stood up'.

Note 2. One finds in old documents spellings like *po-ai-si-* 'have seen' and in some dialects still to-day forms like *poässi-*, *čabässi-* where the language now commonly has *poassı-*, *čabassı-*. The future has in some North-Korean dialects the ending *-katta*, *-kattera*, *-katti*, etc., or *-kätta*, *-kätte*, *-kätti*, as testified by Ross. The back vowel variant (*-kai*) has here the upper hand, while in the other dialects the front vowel variant (*-kei*) seems to have been generalised.

Note 3. The ending *-ta* has originally been *-tā* in words with back vowel and *-tē* after front vowel (e. gr. *mekte*, *iside*, *ide*, etc.); the vowel in this suffix has been long. Cf. Tung. *-dāwi*, *-dāwi* or *-dāi*, *-dāi*, the ending of the infinitive and the supine, and old Turk. *-ta*, *-tā* in old formations like *boltačy*, *öltäči* ('one who is to become', 'one who is to die').

Note 4. The ending of the regressive has had the short vowel, i.e. short *e* and short *a*, still found varying in some dialects: *kadın* *mannassu* 'I met him on the way', *kadgra* 'went', but *ittēra* 'was'. This corresponds to the Tung. preteritum on *-te*, *-de* (*-dre*, *-re*) and the Turk. *-ty*, *-dy*, Mong. *-či*, *-ži*, the endings of the definite past tense.

Note 5. The ending **-ti* has the short vowel and is probably the same as in the Tung. future on *-ti* (Lamut *bak-ti-m* 'I shall find', *äm-dī-m* 'I shall come') and in the Uigur word *kälti* 'the future'.

Other indicative formations.

Before going further we have to give here a preliminary explanation of the difference between the verbs of action and the qualitative verbs. 134

The verbs of action have three participles:

1. the present participle with the ending *-nan*, *-nın*,
2. the perfect participle with the suffix *-n*, *-in* and
3. the future participle with the suffix *-l*, *-il*.

The qualitative verbs have only two of these, namely the perfect participle and the future participle. Thus, for instance, from the qualitative verbs *ida* 'to be', *čotha* 'to be good', *kakkapta* 'to be near', *čhipta* 'to be cold', *ak hada* 'to be bad' we have *in* 'being', *čohin* 'good', *kakkaon* 'near', *čhiun* 'cold', *ak han* 'bad'. Here the participle in *-n* has no real past sense; it is the adjectival form of the verb and corresponds nearest to the present participle of active verbs.

135 The participles are largely used predicatively, but usually with a particle appended. Such a particle is, for instance, the affirmative or positively assuring particle *-ra*, *-ira*. We can therefore have »tense formations» like:

1. *ponan-ira* 'is seeing', *innan-ira* 'is existing', *meṇṇin-ira* 'is eating', *kanan-ira* 'is going', *kānan-ira* (stem *kal-*) 'is digging';
 2. *pon-ira* 'has seen', *issin-ira* 'has existed', *megin-ira* 'has eaten', *kan-ira* 'is gone', *kān-ira* 'has dug' and
 3. *por-ira* 'will see', *issir-ira* 'will be, shall exist', etc.
- From qualitative verbs we have the same formations:
2. *čohin-ira* 'is good', *kakkaon-ira* 'is near', *čhiun-ira* 'is cold'
 3. *čohir-ira* 'will be good', *kakkaor-ira* 'will be near', etc.

136 The active verbs have obtained from the present participle two very much used tense formations with a declarative sense.

A. The verb *ida* has joined the present participle enclitically and given a »low speech» declarative with the ending *-nanda*, *-ninda* after a consonant and *-nda* after a vowel. The sense is that of a progressive present. It is not found in the compound tenses.

E.g. *inninda* 'is existing, is now', *meṇṇinda* 'is now eating', *anninda* 'is sitting', *unninda* 'is laughing', *ṭinninda* 'is hearing', *killinda* 'is boiling', *ḡmninda* 'is lacking, is failing, is not' (stems *iss-*, *mek-*, *anč-*, *us-*, *tir-*, *kilh-*, *eps-*)

ponda 'sees, is looking on', *kanda* 'is going away', *sanda* 'is buying', *sānda* 'is living', *killinda* 'is making it boil' (stems *po-*, *ka-*, *sa-*, *sal-*, *killi-*)

The corresponding form of the qualitative verbs would be:

čohin-ira 'is good', *kakkaon-ira* 'is near', *mēn-ira* 'is far', *ak han-ira* 'is bad'.

B. The second formation ends in *-ne* (written *-nai*, *-nai*, *-nei*), which may go back to a shortened *-nən* with some (deictic?) element added (*-i* or *-e*?). This kind of tense formation is used throughout the whole indicative, i.e. also in all kinds of compounds. E.g. *pone* 'sees', *poanne* 'has seen', *pogenne* 'will see', *poakkenne* 'will have seen', etc. It is used in downwards or familiar speech.

The verbs with a qualitative sense have also a present tense 137 of the same kind, i.e. ending in *-e*, probably received by eliminating the final *-n* of the perfect participle and so corresponding to the formation in *-ne* of the active verbs.

Active verbs	Qualitative verbs
<i>ponda</i> 'is seeing'	<i>poda</i> 'seems'
<i>meṇṇinda</i> 'is eating'	<i>kipta</i> 'is deep'
<i>kanda</i> 'is going'	<i>kakkapta</i> 'is near'
<i>činninda</i> 'is making'	<i>čhipta</i> 'is cold'
<i>ānda</i> 'is knowing'	<i>kilda</i> 'is long' (<i>kida</i> id.)
<i>inninda</i> 'exists'	<i>ida</i> 'is so-and-so'
<i>il handa</i> 'is working'	<i>ak hada</i> 'is bad'
<i>čēṇṇinda</i> 'is writing down'	<i>čēkta</i> 'are few'
<i>pone</i> 'sees'	<i>khie</i> 'is big'
<i>āne</i> 'knows' (stem <i>al-</i>)	<i>kie</i> 'is long' (stem <i>kil-</i>)
<i>tōmne</i> 'helps' (stem <i>tōb-</i>)	<i>čhiwe</i> 'is cold' (stem <i>čhib-</i>)
<i>kamne</i> 'pays' (stem <i>kaph-</i>)	<i>kiphe</i> 'is deep' (stem <i>kiph-</i>)
<i>nōnne</i> 'puts down' (stem <i>nōh-</i>)	<i>čohē</i> 'is good' (stem <i>čoh-</i>)

As to different tenses we find used:

138

Present	<i>pone</i> , <i>meṇne</i> , <i>kane</i> etc.	<i>čohē</i> , <i>khie</i> etc.
Perfect	<i>poanne</i> , <i>megenne</i> , <i>kanne</i>	<i>čohanne</i> , <i>khēne</i>
Future	<i>pogenne</i> , <i>mekkenne</i> , <i>kagenne</i>	<i>čokhenne</i> , <i>khigenne</i>
Past perfect	<i>poassenne</i> , <i>megessenne</i> , <i>kassenne</i>	etc.
Future perfect	<i>poakkenne</i> , <i>megēkkenne</i> , <i>kakkenne</i>	
Perfect future	<i>pogessenne</i> , <i>mekkessenne</i> , <i>kagessenne</i>	

- 139 In the official language the verbal noun on *-m*, which is a substantive form of the verb, meaning the action itself or even its result, also in a quite concrete sense, is (for the sake of solemnity?) widely used as a declarative indicative:

E.g. *il ham* 'works', *kam* 'goes', *issim* 'is', *kathim* 'is like', *čegim* 'are few', etc.

- 140 From this verbal noun have been derived
- A. an indicative in *-mu*, which is found in many dialects, but not good enough for the literary language, and
 - B. an indicative in *-me* (origin. *-mai*), which has entered the literature.

The formation on *-mu* is widely in use in the Northern dialects, but is mostly used in 'polite form', i.e. from the passive stem in *-b*, and we find, for instance, *kamu*, *kammu* 'goes', *issimmu* 'is', *hajessimmu* 'has said, has done'. Besides this the same form with the verb *ida* enclitically joined is frequently used: *kammuda* 'goes', *issimmuda* 'is', *hajessimmuda* 'has said', etc. And from the verb *hada* 'to say' we have *hamu* in a low style dialectical imperative on *-ramuna* (= *-ra hamu -na*): *karamuna* 'go, I say!'

More frequent are forms of the type *kamme* 'is going, are going', *kassimme* 'has gone', *kagessimme*, *kagassimme* 'will go', *hamme* 'says', *hajessimme* 'has said', *hagessimme*, *hagassimme* 'will say', etc.

Polite predicative forms.

- 141 Up to now we have had in view the straight, simple forms, which in Korea now are mostly used only downwards to children and servants. The more polite forms have many degrees of politeness, but we must content ourselves with the distinction of only two degrees, the middle form, used among civilized people, to friends and equals, and the high forms which must be used when addressing older, higher or honoured persons.

These polite forms are etymologically not simple indicatives, but either converbs, or compounds of a participle and some simple form of the verb *ida* 'to be'.

Middle form. If the stem of the verb ends in a vowel or *-l-* (which has been lost before every ending commencing with a dental and also in this form), the ending is *-o*; if the stem ends in any other consonant, the ending is either *-io* or *-so*. 142

The original of this formation in *-o* and *-io* is the perfect converb of the passive stem in *-b-*, *-bu-*: earlier **kawa* 'having been gone' (from v. *ka-b-*) has developed into *kao*, earlier **megiwe* 'being eaten' (from v. *megi-b-*) into *megiu*, *meggio*, and similarly *āwa* (from **alwa*, v. *al-bu-* 'to be known') into *āo*, *isiwe* (from *isi-b-* 'to happen to exist') into **isiu*, *issu*, now *isso*.

The form in *-so* seems to be the same formation of a secondary stem in *-sq-b-*, *-sī-b-*: *meksīwe* has been shortened to *meksu*, now *Skor. mekso*, *čapsawa* (from *čap-sq-b-*) to *čapso*, *tioh-sqwa* to *čosso*. The now synonymous types *megio*, *čohio* and *mekso*, *čosso* are thus of different origin.

The different tenses are:

143

Present: *poo*, *mekso* (*megio*), *isso*, *čosso* (*čohio*), *ao* (*ario*)

Perfect: *poasso*, *megesso*, *issešo*, *čohasso* (*čoašo*), *arasso*

Future: *pogesso*, *mekkesšo*, *ikkesso*, *čokhesšo*, *algesso*

Past perfect: *poassesso*, *megessesso*, *issessesso*, *čoaassesso*

Future perfect: *poakkesso*, *megėkkesso*, *isseėkkesso*, *čoaėkkesso*

Perfect future: *pogessesso*, *mėkkessesso*, *ikkessesso*, *čoaėkessesso*

As already said, these middle forms of politeness are constantly used in everyday speech and that explains why in many grammars they are reckoned as the real indicative forms. This formation on *-o*, *-so* can always be used in an interrogative sense, which is impossible with all other forms named hitherto. It is used also as a polite imperative, or, as we call it here, a propositive, § 160. The interrogative forms and their building will be taken up in §§ 150—154. 144

To this *-o*, *-so* form the verb *ida* is very often added. So we find in literary works expressions such as *pooida* (read *poōda*), *megioida* (read *megiōda*), *issoida* (read *issōda*) etc.; these formations seem to be rather literary and not used in everyday speech. 145

As result of phonetic abbreviations the dialects possess forms of the type *issuda*, *issude* (from *issu ida*) 'is', *kassude* 'is gone', etc. With the declarative *ida*, *ide* (-*da*, -*de*) and the regressive *ide* (-*de*, -*re*) are built dialectal forms like *sa wannide* (= *sa wannan ida*) 'has bought' (Jap. *katte kimashita*), *sa wannirera* (< *sa wannan idera*) 'had bought'.

- 146** High form. From the passive stem in -*b*-, -*bu*- the present participle in -*nən*, -*nin* has been prolonged with a -*i* (s. Postparticipial Nouns N:o 19) and the verb *ida*, added enclitically hereto. The result has been a formation in -*mnida* (written -*pnida*, -*pnida*, -*pnida*), which in Keijo is considered the most polite ending in the indicative. The same form occurs also without *ida*, i.e. -*mni*, -*mnä*, -*mne*, but is deemed to be dialectal. Besides -*mnida* there is as a accepted good form also -*mnäda*, -*mnända*, which is used to express a conviction of the lower speaker.

- 147** The tenses of the high form in the indicative are:

Present: *pomnida*, *megimnida*, *issimnida*, *čohimnida*, *āmnida*

Perfect: *poassimnida*, *megessimnida*, *issessimnida*, *čohassimnida* (*čoassimnida*), *arassimnida*

Future: *pogessimnida*, *mekessimnida*, *ikkessimnida*, *čokhessimnida*

Past perfect: *poassessimnida*, *megessessimnida*, *issessessimnida*, *čohassessimnida*

Future perfect: *pogessessimnida*, *mekessessimnida*, *ikkessessimnida*, *čokhessessimnida*

Perfect future: *poakkessimnida*, *megekkessimnida*, *issekkessimnida*, *čoakkessimnida*

The formation in -*mnäda*, -*mnända* is similarly used in all compound tenses. The examples are all declarative, the corresponding regressive and indecisive forms are possible, but seldom used.

- 148** The polite forms of the regressive and the indecisive are usually formed either by adding *ida* to the corresponding formation of the passive stem or by using *io* (the middle form of *ida*) added to the regressive and the indecisive of the primary stem, or even to the

passive stem. We have thus, in the order of politeness, going from simple to higher style, the following formations:

Regressive: *pode*, *podera*, *podida*, *popte*, *poptera*, *poptida*

Indecisive: *poži*, *požio*, *poži io*, *poži ida* (*požida*), *popčio* (*popči io*), *popčida* (*popči ida*).

Table of the grades of politeness in the indicative **149**
present tense.

Active verbs	Qualitative verbs
to servants and small children: <i>ponda</i> , <i>meṇṇinda</i>	<i>kipa</i> 'is deep'
to aged servants, intimate friends, etc.: <i>pone</i> , <i>meṇne</i>	<i>kiphe</i>
to equals, familiarly, carelessly <i>poži</i> , <i>mekči</i>	<i>kipči</i>
to equals, more polite <i>požio</i> , <i>mekčio</i>	<i>kipčio</i>
to unknown persons, acquaintances: <i>poo</i> , <i>mekso</i> (<i>megio</i>) <i>popčio</i> , <i>megipčio</i>	<i>kipso</i> (<i>kipžio</i>) <i>kiphipčio</i>
to unknown persons, with courtesy <i>poida</i> , <i>meksoida</i> <i>poopčio</i> , <i>meksipčio</i> (<i>megipčio</i>)	<i>kipsoida</i> <i>kipsipčio</i> (<i>kiphipčio</i>)
to honoured persons, socially higher: (<i>poneda</i>), <i>pomnida</i> , <i>megimnida</i> <i>poomnida</i> , <i>meksimnida</i>	<i>kiphimnida</i> <i>kipsimnida</i>
to honoured persons, but expressing positive belief: <i>pomnända</i> , <i>megimnända</i> (<i>meksimnända</i>)	<i>kipsimnända</i>
in literary style: <i>ponanira</i> , <i>meṇṇinira</i>	<i>kiphinira</i>
in official style: <i>pom</i> , <i>megim</i>	<i>kiphim</i>
in poetry or in exclamatory solilocutions: <i>pododa</i> , <i>mektoda</i>	<i>kiptoda</i>

It is to remark that what in one part of Korea is considered polite enough can be a bad provincialism in another part. The rules and forms of politeness used in the capital Keijo are, of course, taken as standard.

B. The verb in interrogative sentences.

150 The language has three interrogative particles: *ka* (*ko*), *ja* (*jo*), and *ēi* (N. Korean *ti*). They are found affixed to the participle and never to any forms of the indicative. They mark at the same time a question and the end of a sentence.

The particle *ka* (*ga*) has the variant *ko* (*go*). The particle *ja*, which seems to give the question a more familiar or friendly meaning, has variants such as *jo*, *ji* and *i*, and one finds also the doubled question mark *kaja*, *kajo*, *kaji* and *kā*. The third affix, originally *ti*, *di*, is more a sign of doubt than of a direct question. The original value of this *ti* seems to be a noun, meaning something like 'fact'.

151 All participles of any verb can be used together with these question marks. The qualitative verb *ida* 'to be' has the regular participles *in* (after a vowel in the preceding word usually only -*n*), and the future participle *il* (N. Korean *ir*). With the questioning particles joined to them we have in present tense *in ga*, *in ja*, *in jo*, *inī* and in future tense *ilkka*, *ikka*.

152 The forms in -*o* and -*so* already explained above are in the middle style of speech also used in questions and, as we will see later (§ 160), also in commands.

153 The correspondence between affirmative forms and interrogative forms of the verb may be shown by the following:

Affirmative	Interrogative
<i>ponda</i> , <i>pone</i> 'sees'	<i>ponanga</i> , <i>ponango</i> <i>ponanja</i> , <i>ponanjo</i> , <i>ponanī</i> , <i>ponī</i>
<i>poatta</i> 'has seen'	<i>poannanga</i> , <i>poannango</i> <i>poannanja</i> , <i>poannī</i>
<i>pogetta</i> 'will see'	<i>pogennanja</i> , <i>pogennī</i>

<i>kipta</i> , <i>kiphe</i> 'is deep'	<i>kiphinga</i> , <i>kiphinja</i> , <i>kiphinī</i>
<i>kiphetta</i> 'was deep'	<i>kiphennanga</i> , <i>kiphennanja</i>
<i>pode</i> 'he sees'	<i>pode ikka</i> , <i>podikka</i> <i>podeinja</i> , <i>podinja</i> (<i>podenja</i>)
<i>poo</i> , <i>posio</i> 'sees'	<i>poo</i> , <i>posio</i>
<i>kipso</i> 'is deep'	<i>kipso</i>
<i>poptera</i> 'sees'	<i>popte inga</i> , <i>popte ikka</i> , <i>poptikka</i>
<i>poattera</i> 'saw'	<i>poatte inga</i> , <i>poattinga</i> , <i>poattikka</i>
<i>kiphettera</i> 'was deep'	<i>kiphette inga</i> , <i>kiphette ikka</i> , <i>kiphettika</i>
<i>pomnida</i> 'sees, Sir' (= <i>pomnan-i-ida</i>)	<i>pomnikka</i> (= <i>pomnan-i-ikka</i>)
<i>pomnānda</i> 'sees, Sir'	<i>pomnāikka</i>

In discussions the questions and answers are naturally often given in quite opposite styles. If one asks for example 'Is he from Seoul?' and the answer is 'He is from Seoul', this is in the Korean language between unequal persons: **154**

The inferior asks:	The superior answers:
<i>Seul saram imnikka?</i>	<i>Seul saram ida</i>
The superior asks:	The inferior answers:
<i>Seul saraminja</i>	<i>Seul saram imnida</i> (or <i>iomnida</i>)

C. The volitive forms.

Answering to the imperative and conjunctive in our languages, the language has some special forms which are somewhat difficult to classify in any other way than by putting them all under this heading, since they are all expressions of the will or wish of the speaker. **155**

156 1) The imperative.

The sense of the imperative is a strong command, from a higher person to an inferior, and must therefore be avoided as much as possible if a definite command is not meant.

- a) The imperative without an ending. Only two or three words of this kind are used in commanding children, *iri o* 'come here!', *čibe ga* 'go home!', *jē-bo* 'look here!' (=hello!'), *ču* 'give'.
- b) The perfect converb used in commands. This is like German expressions such as 'aufgestanden!' It is quite a strong imperative: *poa* 'look!', *wa* 'come!', *hajē* 'do!'.
- c) The above perfect followed by the affirmative particle *-ra*: *poara* (> jap. *hora*), *wara*, *hajera*, *megera*, *tjēra* (listen!), etc.
- d) The future converb on *-ke*, here shortened to *-kē*, together with *-ra*: *hagera* 'do!', *kagera* 'go!', *ikkera* 'be!', *čagera* 'sleep!'.

Of the above, the third is most often used. The imperative *onęra*, *onęra* 'come!' seems to be the only one of its kind.

157 2) The precative.

The precative is as to its form the same as the future converb, and since it does not imply a commend but an entreaty is more used than the imperative: *il hage* 'please do that work', *tonjil čuge* 'please give money', *munjil jēlge* 'please open the door', *kage* 'please go'.

158 3) The prescriptive.

The prescriptive is an expression of advice to the person spoken to. It is formed by the ending *-lkke* or, shortened, *-kke*. This ending is derived from the future participle in *-l* with affixed *ige*, the precative of the verb *ida*: *megjilkke*, *megjikke* 'you have to eat', 'please eat', *kalkke* 'you had better go', 'please go', *halkke* 'you should do'. This prescriptive is used also when the commend is meant for oneself, i.e. as 1. person sing. of imperative.

159 4) The optative.

- a) The optative always contains the idea of 'I wish that' and the subject can be 'I' or anyone else. The ending is *-se*, written in

earlier days *-sqi*: *mękse* 'I wish that you eat' or 'he may eat', also 'I might eat', *kase*, *kapse* 'let's go', *iri hase*, *iri hasise* 'I wish you do it this way'. The more polite form is formed from the passive in *-b*, *-bu-*; *kapse* is more polite than *kase*, *megjipse* ('it may be an eating') better than *mękse*, and so on. Still more polite and more distant is this same expression with following *ida* 'it is' affixed: *-se ida* is pronounced *-sida* (seldom *-sēda*): *kase ida*, *kasida* 'I wish we go', *kapse ida*, *kapsida* 'please let us go', *hasida*, *hapsida* 'we may do it', 'let's do it, please'; *hasisida* 'let's do it together, Sir', 'I pray you do it.'

b) The future optative is formed by *-se*, shortened from *ise* 'it may be', affixed to the future participle: *kalse* 'he may go', *megjise* 'you may eat', *halse* 'I may do it', *nuga olsera haja* 'thinking that someone might come'. The meaning is more a consent for the future than a wish.

c) The same *-se*, the shortened *ise*, is also affixed to the verbal noun in *-m*: *hamse* (= *ham ise* 'the doing may be') 'it may be a doing, I like to do', 'I like you to do', 'he may do', etc. This formation seems to be sometimes used in the same sense as the indecisive in *-či*: *kaži* 'I think I go', 'I may go', 'he may go', *kamse* in the same meanings or 'you (we) had better go'; *somssi dāro mandara čumse* 'I'll make it according to my best skill'.

5) The propositive.

160

The perfect converb in *-o*, *-so*, which was mentioned among the indicative and the interrogative forms, is very commonly used also as a volitive form of middle style among equals and to give a milder sense to a command. It may be best to call that use of it the propositive. From the verb *ida* one has the form *io*, and this may be affixed to the indecisive: *hao* 'please do' (doing!), *hao io*, *haži io* 'please do' ('how about doing'), *mękso* 'please eat', *isso* 'please be', *mękčio* 'please eat', etc.

To be more polite one uses the secondary stem in *-si-* or the passive stem in *-b* or both, once or twice: *hao*, *hasio*, *hapsio*, *hasipsio* 'please do'.

As already explained, the form in *-o* itself is originally the perfect converb of the stem in *-b*; *hao* is an earlier **hawa*, *hasio* an early **hasiwa*. This use of the perfect converb corresponds, therefore, to the use of the converbum perfecti mentioned under the imperative (b).

161 6) The benedictive.

A secondary stem in *-sq-* has existed, and the perfect converb of its passive ends in *-so*. This *-so* is used as an ending of the verb in petitions and prayers: *čuso* 'please grant', 'give, I pray', *haso* 'do, I beg you humbly', *oso* 'it may come, I pray'; *seguk čonjje ssiso* 'I beg you to write it on foreign paper'.

To this *-so* the essive particle *-sje*, which will be found explained under the converbs and was also treated in the chapter on cases of the declension, gives a shade of still more evident humility; *ososje* 'it may come' (in prayers), *čusosje* 'Thou give, God', *hasosje* 'Thou deign to give, God', *tissosje* 'please deign to listen'.

162 7) The cohortative.

The cohortative expresses an exhortation addressed either to myself or all persons present to do something. The ending is *-ča*. E.g. *mekča* 'let's eat now', *kaža* 'let's go', *tonil čuža* 'let's give the money', *hollo kaža* 'I'll go alone', *hamkki kaža* 'let's go together', *kombu haža* 'let's study', *tjčča* 'let's listen'.

Sometimes it is formed from the passive stem and is then more polite: *megipča* 'let's eat', *kapča* 'let's go'. But this exhortation ending is as such not considered very polite, and the propositive (*-o*, *-so*) is used in about the same sense, but more politely.

163 8) The promissive.

From the verbal noun in *-m* with a vocative interjection *ā* has been obtained a much used form in *-mā* which expresses a promise on the part of the speaker himself: *megimā* 'I will eat it', *čumā* 'I shall give, I promise surely to give', *kamā* 'I promise to go. I go',

i iril hamā 'I promise to do this', *kil ssimā* 'I shall write letters', 'I promise to write the signs', *omā hadeni* (> *omādeni*) *ani wasso* 'he said he would come but he did not'.

The promissive as relating to the speaker himself can be used on all occasions without any fear of being impolite.

Sometimes *-mā* (shortened from *ima*) is found affixed to the future converb in *-ke*: *i iril poge-mā* (or *pogemā*) 'I shall look after this affair', 'I promise that I shall look after this'.

9) The preventive.

164

This name can be given to special formations with the ending *-llā*, *-llē*, i.e. some element *-ā* (*jā* or *nā*?) appended to the future participle in *l*. This form expresses some fear that something might happen, and implies the sense: 'take care that it does not happen'.

E.g. *neme žillē* 'it falls, it is falling, it will fall', *megillē* 'he will eat it (please prevent it)', *kallā* 'don't let him go', *tora ollā* 'he comes back!' 'please don't let him come again', 'I'm afraid he is coming back'.

10) The desiderative.

165

This form expresses the intention to do something, and is followed usually by the verb *hada* 'to mean, to say'. Between the desiderative and the verb *hada* the particle *ko* 'thus' is often used. The ending of the desiderative is *-llā* or *-ljā* (with long vowel *ā*).

E.g. *kallā*, *kaljā*, *karjā* 'I go', *čullā*, *čulljā*, *čurjā* 'I will give', *pollā*, *polljā*, *porjā* 'I intend to see', *kallā-kko handa* 'he says: I'll go' = 'he intends to go', 'he decides to go', *megirja-kko* 'I will eat', *megirja-kko hajesso* 'I wanted to eat', 'I was just about to eat', *čarja-kko hajette* 'he intended to sleep', 'he was just going to bed'.

With this kind of expression the verb *malda* 'to avoid', 'not to do' is often used as a means to indicate hesitation: *megirjakko mallakko hajetta* 'he was about to eat and not to eat', 'he didn't know what to do about eating', *kallakko mallakko handa* 'he hesitates about going'. In such combinations of words with the verb *malda* the terminations *-llakko*, *-rjakko* are usually shortened to *-lak*, *-rjak*:

kallakko mallakko, or *kallak mallak* 'going or not going'. According to UNDERWOOD, *kallak mallak hada* means 'to go a little and stop a little', and the use of these double desideratives indicates lack of interest, but the sense can also be that of a sudden change of mind.

- 167 The particle *ko*, which is used after the desiderative form of the verb, is originally a variant of the demonstrative pronoun *kī* 'that' and means 'thus', 'so'. Here after the desiderative it is strengthened to *-kko*. If *-ko* is not used and the verb *hada* follows immediately after the desiderative, the sound *h-* is usually unarticulated and the language receives formations of the type *kallāada* > *kallāda* 'to intend to go, to be about to go', *megillāada* > *megillāda*, 'to be about to eat, just mean to eat'. Verbs of this kind, of which in the dictionaries *tallāda* 'to beg, to demand, to request' is an example, are, as explained above, contractions of the fuller type *tallā hada* 'to say: I want to receive'. In the same way the verb *hada* after the promissive in *-mā* is contracted with the ending of the preceding word. Thus there are: *čumāda* 'to promise to give', *čullāda* 'to intend to give' and *čurāda* 'to command to give' and also: *onda go handa*, *onda handa* > *ondanda* 'he says they come', 'they say they come (or that he comes)'.

168 11) The indirect imperative.

The imperative mentioned above expresses a command given direct to a second person who is present. It cannot be used if the command applies to a third person (he, she, they, etc.). For this purpose the language uses a formation with the ending *-ra*, i.e. the interjection *ā* joined to the future participle.

As in the preceding promissive and desiderative, the indirect imperative is followed by *-ko hada* or only *hada*, which here means 'to say'. E.g. *megirā-kko hajera* 'say to him that he must eat', 'tell him to eat', *čurākkko hajetta* 'I said that he must give', 'I told him to give'. This form is to be kept well apart from the direct imperative; for instance, directly: *meģera* 'eat', 'you eat', indirect: *megira-kko hajera* 'tell to eat, tell him to eat'; *ikkeģa* 'be you', indirect: *issirā kko hage* 'he may be', 'tell him to be'; *onęra* 'come you', indirect:

ora-kko hao 'please say that he may come'. If the verb *hada* 'to say' is unstressed, it joins with the ending of the indirect imperative and we have *megirāda* 'to command to eat', *orāda* 'to command to come', etc. The compound verbs of this kind can have perfect, future and other tenses: *čura-hajetta*, shortened *čurajetta* 'to have told to give', 'to have commanded to give', *megira hagetta*, *megirāgetta*, 'I will tell him to eat'; *kī sarami ssiranān (ssira hanan) gešil ssjo* 'that man writes what one tells him to write', *ssillānan gešil* 'what he himself wants to write'.

The promissive, the desiderative and the indirect imperative 169 are very much used with the contracted verb *hada* and these three formations might perhaps be taken up under the class of formations which will be explained next, but because of the use of *ko* 'thus', which marks the preceding as oratio recta, they must be considered special volitive formations. The conjugation of the verb *hada*, when this is contracted with the preceding form, is regular as to the declarative and interrogative endings. E.g. *kalla hao* = *kallao*, *karjao* 'do you intend to go', *kamā hao* = *kamāo* 'do you promise to go, do you say you will go', *karā hao* = *karao* 'do you command him to go or them to go', *megillāo* 'do you mean to eat, are you about to eat', *megillāde* 'he was about to eat'; *čumādeģa* 'he promises to give'; *čumāģettera* 'he had promised to give', etc.

Converbalia.

Under this title we shall take up all such verbal formations as 170 through their ending and meaning lead us to understand that the sentence is *not finished* but a the main verb is following. In the European languages the two verbs are united by the usual conjunctions, but the Korean language, which has no conjunctions, possesses, like all Altaic languages, many special formations for connecting one action with another or a qualitative expression with another qualitative expression. Some of these converbalia are from early times simple formations with a suffix to the verbal stem, others are made by using auxiliary verbs or nouns after a suffixed form of

the verb. According to this, we can divide the converbialia into two classes: a) simple forms, b) compounds. The simple forms are few, only totalling five (n:is 1, 2, 3, and 15, 16), the rest have more than one suffix element.

It is difficult to find suitable Latin or other names for the different converbial formations. The main point is that there is always some distinction felt in the use of this or that form. The names given here are only tentatively given, and we beg the reader to try to learn the meaning of each ending through the examples given.

171 1) Converbium presentis.

The ending is *-ko*: *mekko*, *kago*, *mankho*, pf. *hajekko* (= *hajē ikko*). With this ending the first verb is expressed when two verbs are *coordinated*, i.e. when they express two actions or qualities *accompanying each other*, either really at the same time or only in the thought of the speaker considered *equally important*. E.gr. *so molgo katta* 'they went driving an ox', 'they drove the ox and went', *mul igo onda* 'she comes carrying on her head (a) water (pail)', *čigo kagenne* 'we will go carrying it on our backs', *kipko malgin mul* 'a deep and clear water', *malkko kiphin mul* 'a clear and deep water', *čokho pulgin kkot* 'a nice and red flower', *pulkko čohin kkot* 'a red and nice flower', *pi ogo param punda* 'it is raining and the wind is blowing', *param pulgo pi onda* 'it blows and rains'; *na hago* 'I and . . .', *ne hago* 'you and', shortened *na-go*, *ne-go* (generalised: *hago* 'and, together'). This converb of *ida* is usually *io* (< *igo*).

In most cases it is possible in the translation of this form with the suffix *-ko* to use the conjunction 'and', but there can also be an opposition between the two simultaneous actions, and the translation is then 'but'. If the two actions are not contemporaneous as in the examples given above, the use of this form suggests that they are of equal importance: *mekko kagenne* 'we will eat and go'. In this case the particle *se* is frequently used: *mekko se kagenne* 'we will eat and then go'.

172 By using the particle *nin* after this converb one marks an opposition between the two actions, and the translation is then usually 'if'.

E.gr. *nail seullo kago nin*, *jege nin mot ogresso* 'if you are going to Seoul tomorrow you can't come here', 'you are going then to Seoul and thus you can't come to me', *ulgo nin jege issil su ēpta* 'if you are crying so you can't stay here', 'you can't be here when you are crying so', 'you cry really so much that you can't stay here', *ese hago do nitta*, 'even if I hurry it is too late', *pap mekkose sul do megera* 'if you take rice please also take wine (you are already taking rice)', *čal mot hago do*, *čal hajetta go hanī* 'even doing wrong, can one say one has done right'.

As to tense, one here uses mostly the present: *hago*, *ikko*, *mekko* etc.; *hajekko*, *hagekko*, etc. are possible, but seldom needed.

With affixed *-na* the converbium presentis is used in exclamations without a following main verb: *čokhona* 'how good (it is)!', 'really, it's good', *mjo hago-na* 'how nice!' cf. *čokhena*, *ikkenā* and imperatively used *karamuna*, *karamona* (*kara hamuna*, *hamu-na*) '(I say that) you shall go', 'go, I tell you' with the same ending *-na*. In an exclamatory sense all tense formations are possible: *čohakko-na* 'how good it was'.

2) Converbium perfecti.

173

The ending is *-a*, *-e*. It is the second basic form which has already been discussed as the first part of the perfect, where it is united with the verb *itta* 'to be', 'to exist'. It would be possible to call this form converbium anterioris. It gives the action of the first verb as in time preceding that of the following verb, and it can also give the first verb as being less important in the mind of the speaker than the following verb, or referring to it descriptively. E.g. *ka poara* 'go and see', 'having gone there, please see', *ka poa-ja algesso* 'I can know it only (-ja) having gone and seen', 'I must go and see and only after that I will know it', *pulle il sigjera* 'call him and then compel him to work', *paŋe ka megera* 'go in the room and eat', *phjenžiril sse nājaera* 'write the letter and send it'.

To stress the difference in time, one uses the particle *se* and to stress the opposition of the two verbs one uses the particle *nin*; 174

i.e. there is a slight difference in *mege*, *megeşje* (*megese*), *mege nin*, *megeşje nin*. With the use of the particle *to* (*do*) 'also, even' the meaning is that of a strongly concessive phrase; *mege do ani ėhatta*, 'although having eaten I am not satisfied', *mäwesje do mekkesso*, 'although it is bitter, I will eat it', *il hagi nin haję do*, *ċal mot handa*, 'as to working, he works, but not well', 'even though he works he does not work well', *amori poasse do mollasso* 'how much ever I looked on it, I didn't understand it', *ċosim hajesse do ċal mot tőjesso* 'although I tried my best it did not turn out well', *mal hagesse do mot hajetta* 'he had to say it, but nevertheless he did not'.

175 The particle *ja* 'only' (orig. *-a*, *-ha* < **sa*) used after this converb is very frequent, and thus used usually easiest to translate by 'must' in the following way: *poa-ja algenne* 'only having seen, I shall know', 'I must see before I know', 'I must see to know', *kotċhe-ja tőgesso* (or *kotċheşje-ja t.*) 'only having been repaired it will do', 'it must be repaired before it will be all right'.

176 From the oldest times the converbum perfecti has been also used with ellipsis of the following verb, and is therefore to be found as 1) present tense: *mege*, *mege jo* 'eats', *haja*, (*haję*, *hă*), *hă jo* 'does, says', 2) imperative and also 3) at the end of a sentence, which is then understood as not finished. This elliptic use is sometimes necessary to avoid the finite forms, where the special distinctions of higher and lower position of the persons should be expressed. By using a passive stem, which in itself is more impersonal and therefore more polite, and by shortening *-awa* to *-o* (and earlier also *-iwe* to *-u*) the «middle forms» now used in *-o*, North Korean *-o*, *-u* have arisen.

Because of its frequent use, it is called by UNDERWOOD 'the verbal participle' and by ECKARDT simply the 'Verbalform', names which do not express the real sense of this formation. In the Turkish languages, where it is called the gerund in *-a*, *-e*, it is easily identified.

177 The verb *hada* 'to say', 'to mean', after this converb of qualitative verbs, gives the meaning 'to mean that it is so and so'. E.g. *ċotha* 'to be good', *ċoha hada* 'to approve'; *musepta* 'to be

frightful', *musewe hada* 'to be afraid of, to think it awful, to fear'; *pangapta* 'to be delightful', *pangawa hada* 'to be glad, to find it joyful'. This use of *hada* makes the qualitative verbs in some sense transitive. E.g. *kj sarami sęro ċoha hao* 'they love each other', *nari teweşje komburil mot hagesso* 'the day is too warm and therefore we cannot study', 'because the day is too warm we cannot study', but *nari tewe hajese komburil mot hagesso* 'it is too hot for me, or I find the day to be too hot, and therefore we shall not study'!

Some verbs are used now-adays only in this form, e.g. *ire nada*, **178** 'to get up and go out', *ire oda*, 'to rise and come'; the verb *ilda*: *ire*: *in* 'to rise, to get up' is no longer used in other forms. Such converbs are also the words *ire* 'in this way', *ċere* 'in that way, so as that yonder' and *kire* 'so as that', *amore* 'in any way', which are used only with the verb *hada*; *ire hada*, *ċere hada*, *kire hada*, shortened *iretha*, *ċeretha*, *kiretha*, 'to be so (as this, as that there, as that)'.

3) Converbum futuri.

179

This simple converb has the ending *-ke*: *mekke*, *oge*, *ċokhe*. It has been mentioned as a primary form of the verb when we dealt with the formation of the future of the indicative, where the verb *itta* 'to be' is appended. This converb could also be called the converbum posterioris. It gives the content of the verb as a consequence or in time or mind later in relation to the following verb: *parami oge munil jeretta* 'I opened the door that the breeze might come', *osil nipke mandire ċusio* 'please make the dress to fit, make the dress so that it might fit', *nă ga pabil mekke isso* 'I am here to eat the food', *pi ga wa-ja pabi mekke tőgesso* 'there must be rain if we shall have rice to eat', *ċibil nopke handa* 'he builds the house to be high', 'he makes his house higher', *kirekhe haža* 'let's do it so, let's do it to be so', *kăril ċukke handa* 'he makes the dog die, he kills the dog', *pabin mekke isso* 'the food is ready for eating'.

All verbs can have a periphrastic transitive or factitive built by using this converb together with *hada* 'to do, to make': *ċukke hada* 'to kill', *mekke hada* 'to feed, to make eat', *mal hage hada* 'to make one tell', *mandilge hada* 'to have someone to make, to order';

(*nopla* 'to be high') *nopke hada* 'to make something high'; (*čotha* 'to be good') *čokhe hada* 'to better something, to improve'; (*pulkta*, 'to be red') *pulkke hada* 'to redden'; (*musepta* 'to be frightful') *musepke hada* 'to frighten', etc. It seems to be the easiest way for the Koreans to form factitive and transitive verbs, and therefore many of the old transitives now seem to be falling out of use.

- 180 Like the preceding converb, this is also found used at the end of a sentence, but also here the omission of a following main verb in a final form makes the phrase more polite. We therefore have it also under the volitive forms, where we have called it the *p r e c a t i v e*. Sometimes the particle *-na* is used after the ending *-ke*: *čal ikkena* 'you will behave well, you will be a good boy'. This same *-na* is also found after the present converb in *-ko*: *čal ikkona* (exclamation) 'how this is well, 'how well this is and' . . ., *čokhona* 'it is good, really', *čokhena* 'it will be all right, it will be fine'.

- 181 The future converb of the verb *ida* 'to be', *ige*, which we found united to the future participle in *-l*: *kalkke* 'I'll go', *megilkke*, *megikke* 'you shall eat', was treated above under the name *p r e s c r i p t i v e*. It is usually directed to the second person: *megikke* 'please eat', originally 'please be the one who eats'.

- 182 In the literature a word *siri* is found after this converb. This *siri* is a shortened **isir-i* where we have the verb **isida* 'to be' (the present pronunciation is *itta*) in the future participle, with an *i* added. *Il hage siri watta* 'he came in order to do the work', *poge siri kattera* 'he went in order to see'. The use of *siri* seems to stress the sense of future. The origin of the ending *i* in *siri* will be explained later under the converbum premissi.

- 183 4) *Converbum destinationis (Supinum)*.

The ending is here *-rja*, *-rje*, which in daily speech are pronounced *-ra*, *-re* or in many dialects *-ro*. This is a combination of the future participle in *-l* (*-r*) and the perfect converb *ie*, *ije* of the verb *ida* 'to be'; *karje* 'in order to go, for going', *kare*, *karo*, shortenings of the original *kar-ije*; *megirje*, *megirje*, *megire*, *megiro* 'being in order

to eat', 'for eating', *pap megire* (*megiro*) *watta* 'he has come for dinner, for eating', *muesil harje onan ja* 'what is he coming to do here, what has he to do here', *tonil padirja katta* 'he went to receive the money', *tte narje hajetta* 'he did as if to start', 'he prepared starting, he was to start', *tte narje hajettene pi ga wase mot tte nasso* 'I intended to leave, but because of the rain I did not'.

This converbial form is mostly found with verbs of motion (to go, to come, to leave, etc.) signifying the destination or purpose, but it is also used quite frequently with a following form of the verb *ida*, where then the stem vowel *i*- is lost; *tte narje ini* has been shortened to *tte narjēni* 'because intending to start', *čarjēni* 'because of intending to sleep, being about to sleep'; *čarjēde* 'he intended to sleep, he was about to sleep', *čohirje-n get* 'it is to be well, it would be well', *pijeŋi sokhi naassimjeŋ čohirjeŋ gel* (*čohilleŋ-gel*) 'it would be quite well if my health should quickly improve', *čhüksaŋ čhažiro kal ttāe tonil kažigo kamse* (or *kagenne*) 'when we come to take the table we will come bringing the money with us'.

5) *Converbum premissi*.

184

The ending is here *-ni*. To the participles (ending in *-nan*, *-n* and *-l*) has been added an element *i* which originally is an indefinite pronoun meaning 'something' and interrogatively 'what', s. Post-participial N:o 19. In the literature are used *hanani*, *hani* and *hari*. Of these the perfect participle with *-i*, i.e. the form on *-ni*, is in the present day language the most used verbal form to connect two actions or two verbs together when there is some *logical connection* between them, the first being a premiss, the second its consequence. This connection can be causal, temporal or any other, and in translation one can use conjunctions of widely different kinds, or one can as well omit them. Compared with converbum perfecti the converbum premissi binds the verbs and phrases much looser.

E.g. *onal nari čohini*, *sophuŋ harja-na kagesso* 'today the weather is fine, let's go out to take a walk', *mogi mariŋi nāŋsuril mekkesso* 'my throat is dry, I would like to drink cold water', *uri čibe sonnimi*

wassini il hagi erjepso '(as) guests have come to our house, it is difficult to work', *sonnimi ogessini na gaži mot hao* '(as) a guest is coming, I can't go out', *näil kombu hagessini ilžigi osio* '(as) we will study tomorrow, please come early'.

- 185 The verb *ida* is in this form *ini*, or with loss of stem vowel after a vowel preceding, *-ni*; *so-ni him-isso* 'it is an ox, there is strength in him', 'as it is an ox, he is strong'; *seuril onal kagette-ni pi ga wasse (oni, wassini) mot kasso* 'I had to go to Seul today but it rained and thus I did not go'; *čibil čal čiette-ni, hwažuril mannasso* 'he built a good house but chanced on a fire', *kombu čal hadē-ni jumjeŋ han sjeŋbi ga tōjesso* 'he studied hard, and so he became a renowned scholar' (*hadē-ni* 'because he did', *hagessini*, *hajette-ni* 'because he had done'), *kombu handa go hadē-ni čharŋan hajekko-na* 'he said he was studying, but he indeed was only playing'.

Instead of *idē-ni* 'because it was' there is of course after a vowel also *-de-ni*. *So-de-ni him itte* 'because it was an ox, it was strong'.

- 186 To strengthen the causal relation between the verbs, one uses, besides this form given above, also a longer one with the ending *-nikka*, where a word **kka* (originally 'occasion' or 'case') is joined to the ending *-ni*. Still longer is an ending *-nikkandere*, *-nikkandero*, which has *-n* from the participle *in* and *dere* from the word *tere*, *terje* or *tarje* ('to, towards'); e.g. *nari teunikka (teunikkani, teunikkandere) pakke kaži mot hao* 'because it is hot today we can't go out', *tteŋe -žinikkandere kkiŋe-žesso* 'it is broken because it has fallen', 'it fell and was thus broken', *muri kiphinikka mot kenne kagesso* 'since the water is deep, we can't come over', *kui-nikka tinŋin čikpun io* 'because it is the ear, it ought to hear', *kim inikka kui hada* 'as it is gold it is precious', *omā kko hajette-nikka kidarjesso* 'I waited because he said that he would come', *nā čugirje-ni mjeŋiril manna sarasso* 'I should have died but I met a renowned physician and revived (*mannas-sinikka* or *mannanikkani*, *mannanikkandero sarasso* 'because I met I revived')'.

- 187 When *ini* is joined to the future converb in *-ke*, the result is the ending *-keŋi*; the future sense is very vague in the present language. This form will be taken up in §§ 190, 191.

6) Converbum admissi.

188

The ending is *-nā*, which seems to be the perfect participle together with some interjection *ā*, the same as in the promissive. This form expresses some carelessness and is thus a kind of concessive; the sense is the same as in English expressions such as 'be it as it may' (I will go), 'say what you like' (I will go).

E.g. *čuginā sānā kagesso* 'it may be for death or life, but I go', *muri kiphinā kenne kagesso* 'the water may be deep but we shall go over', *čohinā kapsi manso* 'it may be good, but the price is too high', *čanā mānā majm dāro hao* 'sleep or not, do as you like', *so-na mar ina kwange haži anso* 'ox or horse, it makes no difference', *onal nari čohinā na ani kagesso* 'the day may be fine today, but I won't go out', *pā ga kophini pab ina kogi-na amo geš ina čusio* 'I am hungry, please give me rice or meat or anything'.

The verb *ida* 'to be' has here *inā* or, after vowels, *-nā*. This *-na*, *ina* can in many cases be translated by 'or', 'or else'; *nā-nā kagesso*, = 'I shall go as well' = 'or I go'; *pab ina, kogi-na, amo gešil mekke čusio* 'give me to eat, rice or fish or anything else'; *nat-čam ina polkka* 'should I try a noon sleep?'; *ča-na čāsio* 'you take tea or something!', 'or you take tea at least!' (*čada* 'to consume, to repose').

This form frequently includes a sense of indecision and is found especially in expressions concerning time or amounts, corresponding to the idea in the word 'about'; *enže ogesso* 'when will he come?'; *enže-na ogesso* 'about what time will he come'; *hanā-na tūur ina* 'just one or two'; *člmā-nā* 'about how much?'. 189

Very often one hears a longer ending *-nāmā* instead of *-nā*. Here seems to be a word *amā* 'possibly', connected with the ending *-nā*. The sense seems to be to stress the opposition at the same time as the sense of 'doesn't matter': *ānā mal ani hagesso* 'he knows, but he doesn't tell', *ānāmā mal ani hagesso* 'he may know, but he will not tell', *čohi-nāmā kapsi manso* 'it may be good all right, but the price is too high'.

If one wants to stress the opposition between the two ideas expressed by the verbs, it seems preferable to use the converb in *-a*, *-e* together with *to*: *čoha-do kapsi manso* 'it is good, but the price is too high'.

190 Converbium admissi futuri and Converbium premissi futuri.

The formations *ini* and *inā*, united to the converbum futuri in *-ke*, have given the endings *-keni* and *-kena*, which sometimes seem to be used without much difference in sense; e.g. *salgena ėukkena inže kagesso* 'it may be for life or death, but now I go', *khigena ėekkēna sa oo* 'it may be large or small, go and buy it', *kī sarami il hagenā tambārīl meññin-gona* 'although he has to work, he is always smoking', *il hagenā nolgena tambārīl meññinda* 'he may be at work or be idle, he always smokes'.

As will be seen from the above examples, this form on *-kena* is mostly used in alternative expressions. The same is the case with the ending *-keni*.

E.g. *irekheni ėerekheni* (= *ire hageni ėere hageni*) *nege sanḡwani muēs in ja* 'whether I do it this way or that way, what concern is it of yours'; *sageni phalgeni kao* 'buying or selling, on it goes'; *tiḡgeni nokheni hajetta* 'they kept on lifting and placing'; *khigēni ėekkēni sasio* 'large or small, buy it, please'; *mekkeni kumgeni* (= *kulmgeni*) *sāḡgak tāro hao* 'eat or starve, do as you like'; *nami-ja iril hageni malgeni* (or *hagenā malgena*) *ċane nīn pužarenhi hal-kkeš-io* 'he may work or not, only he, but you have to be diligent'.

Both these formations are used also singly, and the form on *-keni* is often employed also at the end of an unfinished sentence; e.g. *kī iri ċham kīre hageni* 'that's the truth of it, after all'. 'it is so, after all, (but nevertheless)'.

191 This same formation on *-keni* is found with an affixed postposition *wa* (*kwa* or *wa* 'with', 'together with'), and the whole expresses then a concessive statement with a retracting objection or critical remark following in the main clause.

E.g. *nohjeñ-in kīri hageni wa, na nīn kīri ani hagesso* 'you can do it in that way, but I will not do so'; *i sāḡsenīl mekkēni wa irhīmīn mollasso* 'although I eat this fish, I don't know its name', 'even at eating this fish, I can not tell the name'; *ċhoñin nohakkeni wa noro nīn mot ċabasso* 'although I fired off my gun, I did not get the deer' 'even with firing off the gun I couldn't get the deer'; *mekki nīn ċokheni wa nāamsā nīn hjuḡ hao* 'to eat it is tasty, but the odour is

disagreeable', 'although it is good to eat, the odour is awful'; *i beñin joḡse hageni wa tasi nīn joḡse haži ani hagesso* 'this time I forgive you, but I shall not forgive you again'.

7) Converbium contrapositi.

192

There exists also a converbial formation with the ending *-kenīl*, which seems as to its function and ending to be the accusative case (in *-īl*) from the participle *in*, united to the converbum futuri as in the endings *-keni*, *-kena* explained above. In the present language the participles are used only attributively and are therefore not capable of declension, but in the old literature one finds some forms like *hananiro*, the instrumental of *hanan*, *hanīl*, the accusative of *han* and some other examples of declension. The accusative is sometimes still used as an accusativus absolutus to stress the opposition of what is following, and the meaning is something like 'to think about that and that, how should . . .' or '... it cannot . . .'. The accusative *inīl* seems to occur also after the converbum perfecti (e.g. *hajēnīl, iēnīl*), but such examples belong to the older literary style, and only *hage-inīl* seems as a type to have survived in the language of today. It is to be noted that the following main clause contains either a negative or a wondering question.

E.g. *ċhīḡḡu ga ċukketta go hagenīl, etēi ani kal su ga issir-io* 'when (if, after that) they say my friend is dying, what can I do but go', 'is there a possibility of my not going'; *kī sarami mušin il hagenīl, nā ga iāgi haži mot hajesso* 'when he was doing some work, I could really not have a chat with him'; *ilkkenīl etēi ttisīl morir-io* 'when he can read, how does he not understand the meaning'; *ċhāḡīl kaže kagenīl mušin kwange ga isso?* 'he has taken the book away, but what is his meaning with it?'; *kkwā ga mugepkēnīl, wā mot kaže kao* 'the box may be heavy, but why cannot you carry it away?' = 'why should it be so heavy that you can't carry it away?'.

8) Converbium mutationis.

193

This converbial form with the ending *-taga* has the particle *ga* joined to the declarative in *-ta*, and the meaning of *ga* is here 'but'.

The use of the form on *-taga* implies the pointing at an interruption or change of action, but it may also indicate a sudden change of mind when telling something. The particle *ga* can also be left out, but it then makes the context somewhat unclear. According to UNDERWOOD, *-taga* signifies 'while', 'at the time when', but there is nevertheless a kind of opposition between the 'two verbs.

E.g. *čadaga ire natta* 'I slept, but I rose up', *odaga katt a* 'he came, but has gone', 'he was on the way here, but went away', *ahä üdaga kkie žetta* 'the child was crying, but fell asleep', *kadaga poatta* 'I was on the way and (then suddenly) I saw it', *čugirje hadaga sara nāatta* 'I was nearly to die but I revived', *čabattaga čiksi nōatta* 'he caught it, but immediately let it go', *nemu saramil sogidaga čä ga sokso* 'deceiving people too much one is deceived oneself', *tā kidaridaga čugenna poda* 'they all waited, but seem to have died', *ittaga kamnida* 'I am still awhile, then I go'. This *ittaga* (or *itta*) may be translated as 'by and by', 'after a while'.

It is to be noted that just as *ini*, *ina*, *ige*, etc. are shortened to *-ni*, *-na*, *-ge*, etc., the formation *idaga* from the verb *ida* 'to be' is shortened to *-daga*. This *-daga* is found used after many other converbs; *poa-daga* 'has seen, but', *porje-daga* 'was about to see, but', *poge-daga* 'would see, but'; *Miguk karjā-daga* (< *karjā hadaga*) *ani kasso* 'I intended to go to America, but did not', *ilbone sara-daga i pjeñil edesso* 'I lived in Japan, and I got this sickness', *phum phara-daga sanda* 'I live by selling my efforts', 'I live on occasional earnings', *onal koñsaril porjā-daga mot poasso* 'I intended to see the minister today, but I didn't see him'.

194 As stated above, this *ga* of the ending *-taga* can be omitted; *tonil čhažirje katta wasso* 'I went to find the money (and I am back)'. It is usually omitted when two verbs are put together to indicate an alternative. The declarative in *-ta* is of course the same formation, and as an alternative expression the perfect is very commonly used; *oda kada hajetta* 'coming and going he did', 'sometimes he was going, sometimes he was coming', *watta katta hada* 'to be going and coming', *ollatta narjetta hada* 'to be going up and down', *ūdaga uttaga katta* 'they wept and laughed when they went',

'they went away weeping and laughing', *urettaga usełłaga (urełłta usełłta) katta* 'they went both weeping and laughing'.

Among words of this kind, besides the above mentioned *itta* 195 'after a while', 'by and by', the most common is the word *poda* 'seeing, looking at', which is used in comparisons and is to be translated by 'than'; *čosen poda (poda nin) ilbonin pi ga čažo onda* 'looking at Korea, Japan has more frequent rain', 'it rains more in Japan than in Korea', *nosä ga mal poda seo* 'a mule is stronger than a horse'. Instead of *poda* also *pogo* is used in comparisons.

9) Converbium citationis.

196

The ending is here *-ta-i*, now *-tä*. It is used before an oratio recta, and is after all the old instructive in *-i* (§ 99) from the declarative in *-ta*. It is uncertain whether it is used in everyday speech at all, but it is found in books and letters quite often.

E.g. *čibe issese sāngak hadä »ačham ol ttäe muriri ida» hajetlera* 'he sat in his house and thought: tomorrow when he comes I will ask him', *nä ahärił muttä, ne edä sānanja, hajetta* 'I asked the child: where do you live?', *sjengjeñe illessidä: . . .* 'in the Bible it is said that . . .', *pakse karadä (karasjadä):* 'the Teacher spake: . . .'

This use of the formation on *-tä* to introduce a quotation demands that after the quotation follows *hada* 'say' or *ko hada* 'thus say', and this is in itself enough to denote a preceding clause as oratio recta.

10. Converbium respectus.

197

The ending is *-toi*, pronounced *-tö* or *-te*. In old documents the ending seems to have been *-otoi*, *-utui*. This ending is very difficult to explain; the vowel before *-toi* must be some old suffix, and not a special change of the stem word. This form indicates a 'taking in view that', 'to be that and that (it is . . .)'.

E.g. *musik hadö čohin saram ida* 'to be uneducated, he is a very fine man'; *khi nin khide pariñ čekso* 'to be so tall, his feet are small'; *kojanin nin manhidö čui nin ani čapta* 'although there are plenty of cats, they do not catch the rats'; *pi ga ollinži moridö* (or *molla do*,

morina) *na-gaja hagesso* 'although I don't know whether it will rain, I must go out'; *čugin hue jagil kaže wassidō muet hagennin ja* 'to come bringing medicine after the death, what use will it be'?

In many cases the sense is about the same as that of *converbum admissi* and that of *converbum perfecti* with *do*, but there are different shades in the concessive *ona*, *wa do*, *odō*, *oži manin*, *ogo manin*, *onama*, *wasse do*, *onžira do*, *olčira do* 'although he comes'. This formation on *-tō*, *-te* points most clearly to a point of view and is therefore to some extent also comparative.

198 11) *Converbum efficiendi*.

Here the ending is *-torok*, which can be analysed as the instrumental case with the ending *-ro* from the declarative in *-ta* (or the regressive *-te*, *-ta?*) and with the particle *ok*, *uk* added; *-tgro-ok* has been assimilated and shortened to *-torōk*. The sense is 'until that and that is reached', or 'so that . . . '.

E.g. *čhadorok mekta* 'to eat until one is satisfied', *ādorok* (*aldorok*) *kombu hajettera* 'they studied until they knew it', *komburil čal hadorok kuwen hao* 'please admonish him until (so that) he will study well', 'admonish him to study well', *osil iptorok mandira čusio* 'make the dress so that it fits', 'make the dress to fit', *kij iri čal tōdorok him ssęra* 'use your efforts that this affair may succeed', *hā čidorok ani onda* 'they do not come back until the sun is down', *kij sani olla kadorok hem hada* 'the mountain is rough until one reaches the top', *ęttorok čhaža* 'search for it until you find it', *kijękhe niłtorok čaži ani hal kkešio* 'you ought not to sleep until it is so late'. — Also *-toro* (i.e. without *-ok*) is sometimes used in the same sense; e.g. *puridgro hāra* 'make him call'.

199 12) *Converbum dumtemporale*.

The ending is *-mje*, formed by uniting the *converbum perfecti* of *ida* with the verbal noun on *-m*. Here as in the following *converb* the stems on *-l-* have lost the «binding» vowel. Before *-m* the binding vowels (orig. *g*, *i*) have been labialised, i.e. *o*, *ę*. The meaning

is 'meanwhile, while', or that the action is continuing during the action expressed by the following main verb. Usually only the present tense, but sometimes also the perfect is to be found.

E.g. *pabil megimje simmun ponda* 'I read the newspaper while eating', *na nin čamje* (or *čamjense*) *konan perudi isso* 'I am in the habit of snoring when I sleep', *čannan hamje komburil ettekhe hao* 'how can you study while you are playing', 'how can you both study and play at the same time', *kil kamje (n)iaği mot hao?* 'can't we tell a story while going along the road', *mulgenil manhi phalmje do* (*phalmjense do*) *toni ępta hao* 'do you say you have no money when meanwhile you are selling a lot of things', *ęnže kassimje ęnže wannan jo* 'when did you go and when did you come back'.

Instead of *-mje* also *-mjense* is used without any marked difference in meaning. The particle *se* (< *sje* < *isię*) is here joined to *-mjen* which is to be treated in the following paragraph.

The verb *ida* is in this form *imje*, and loses the stem vowel after a preceding vowel.

E.g. *Kim sęban ikko-mje ępta go hajęssso* 'while Mr Kim was there they said he was not'.

13) *Converbum conditionale*.

200

Its ending is *-mjen*, the same as that of the preceding *converb* and an affixed *nin*, sometimes found in this full form, but usually contracted to *-n*. In North Korea this ending *-mjen* is in some parts pronounced *-mjęn*, *-mjan* or *-mjan*. The sense is that of a conditional or temporal circumstance in relation to the following main verb. All compound tenses are here used.

E.g. *pi ga omjen mot kagesso* 'if it rains (when it rains) I can't go', *čhurip hamjen ettekhe hari ikka?* 'if he goes out, what shall I do?', *čhurip hajęssimjen ettekhe hao* 'when he has gone out, what can I do', *karja kko hamjen kasio* 'if you intend to go out, please go', 'when you intend to go, please go', *morinan maril alla hamjen okpjenne čaža pomjen suipke algęssso* 'when one wants to know an unknown word, it's easy to know it if one looks for it in a dictionary', *kij iri čal tōmje nin čal salge tōgęssso* 'when that affair will turn out all right,

it will be easy to live', *kirekhe mandilmjen kapsi manso* 'if one does it so, the price will be high', *pjetche näje nōhimjen kot marigesso* 'if you lay them in the sun they will dry immediately'; *pomi tōmjen kkotēhi phio* 'when the spring comes, the flowers blossom'.

- 201** The converbum conditionale of the verb *ida* is *imjen* and after a preceding vowel *-mjen*, which latter is to be kept apart from *-mjen* as primary ending; *teun param imjen pi ga ogresso* 'when the wind is warm, there will be rain', *kaže wate-mjen čosso* 'if he has come bringing it it is good', 'I wish he had brought it', *so-mjen ssigesso* 'if it is an ox it is all right', *i sepsän imjen algetēi* 'if it is this teacher, he knows', 'this teacher will know it', 'might know it', *nä sängage nijn pansi-mjen kal čul arasso* 'I thought I could go (that way) in half an hour'.

202 14) Converbum hypotheticum.

In the preceding converb the conditional clause is expressed so that the condition is thought of as real or existing. Contrary to this, converbum hypotheticum gives the condition as supposed or possible without deciding upon its reality. The ending is here *-keđen*, *-keđin*, an old shortening of the converbum futuri and the regressive *ide* with *nijn* added, and the sense is 'if it should be that . . . '.

E.g. *pi ga ogedin munil tadara* 'if it should rain (while I am away) shut the door', *ne hjeņi ogedin näge alge hajera* 'if your elder brother should come, let me know it', *omjen näge alge hajera* 'if he comes let me know it', *il weņe phaži ani hagedin te čuera* 'if he should not sell it for one yen, give more', *silkhedin koman tusio* 'if you should not like it, leave it', *pi ga kagedin čosso (čokhesso)* 'it would be well if the rain should clear up', *nu ga ogedin čom kidarje issira go hajera* 'if someone should come, tell him to wait a while', *tā sūijekkedin kombu sižak hasio* 'as soon as you have rested enough, begin to study, please', *kire-mjen (kire hamjen)* 'when it is so', 'if it is so', *kirekhedin (kire hagedin)* 'if it should be so', *kirekho nijn (kire hago nijn)* 'as it actually is so', *khijn kire ikkedin kot čažil su ikkesso* 'if it should be (but it is not) along the main road, then we could find it', *khijn kire issekkedin* 'if it had been on the main road', *so-mjen*

čothaga nagui iedin (igedin) mot ssigetla 'if it is an ox, it is all right, but if it should be a donkey, that wouldn't do', *phjetche näje noakkedin kot mallakkesso* 'if you should have put them in the sun, they would have been dry immediately'.

This converb is very often found also without a following main **203** verb, as expression of a wondering 'that it should be so and so': *čokhedin* 'that it should be so good'. Sometimes it is also used so that the thought 'then it is all right' or 'then it is just so' is meant: *čokhedin* 'that it should be so good', *pi ga ogedin* 'that it should rain'. In the use of such an exclamatory expression as the predicate of a unfinished sentence the Korean language agrees with many others.

Also the combined ending *-keđemjen* is to be noted; e.g. *pi ga ogedemjen urane nijn ppallä ga tā kjeldan hagesso* 'if it should happen to rain, the »washings» in the court-yard would all be spoiled'.

The converbum hypotheticum of *ida* is not *igedin* or *igeden*, but usually *iedin*, which phonetically can also be *iden* joined to converbum perfecti *ie*. In the older literature we often find *-denin*, i.e. the shortened *ide nijn*, affixed to a preceding converbum perfecti, but this usage is now exceptional.

In conditional and hypothetical phrases an introductory word **204** may be used. Such are:

hok 'another (case)', 'else', 'or', 'perhaps';
man-il 'one (case) of ten thousand', 'if', 'if perhaps';
pirok (piro-ok, Chin. pi 'example') 'just for example', 'for instance'.

These words may also be used when the phrase has a concessive sense, indicated by the use of the augmentative particle *to (do)*.

15) Converbum momentanei.

205

This primary formation has the ending *-ča*. Possibly it is identical with the nomen resultatis (*-ča*) in Mongolian and the nomen perfecti in the Tungusian dialects (*-ča*, Turkish *-ş*).

It expresses the following main action as taking place immediately after the first action. E.g. *nä ga kaža ahädiri tara-gatta* 'I went out, and immediately the children ran away', *jačil mekča*

tho hajesso 'as soon as I took the medicine, I vomited', *pabil megetča sarami watta* 'just as I had eaten, a man came', *nä ga čenčəne tadačə kičə ga tte-natta* 'at the same moment as I had arrived at the station, the train left', *ki sarami tije oža nä ga na-gatta* 'at the same time as that man (or he) entered, I went out', *pi ga oža maža kinčətta* 'it rained a little and then suddenly stopped', *nupča čamıl tijeтта* 'as soon as I was in bed, I fell asleep'. 'I laid myself down and slept in at once'.

206 16) Converbum negationis.

This name we here may give to the same verbal noun in *-či* (N. Korean *-ti*), which is treated under the name of Indecisive. It is a verbal noun and used with the verb *hada* 'to do', if this is negated. In the same way as 'to do' is used in English together with a negation, for instance in 'I do not go' as opposite to 'I go', the Koreans say *kaži mot handa* or *kaži ani handa* 'I do not go', thereby stressing the negation. They also say *mot kanda* 'I can't go' or *ani kanda* 'I shall not go', 'I will not go', but the construction *kaži mot* (or *ani*) *handa* expresses a somewhat stronger negation.

To this formation in *-či* (*-ži*, *-čhi*), Nkor. *-ti* (*-di*, *-thi*) the particles *nin* and *to* are affixed as to other verbal nouns. The use of *to* after *-ti* (now *-či*) seems to have been early shortened to *-to*: *mekti to* to *mekto*, *manthi to* to *mantho*, *odi to* to *odo*, *otto*, etc. For **-ti nin* (present *-či nin*) the abbreviation *-tin*, *-č'in* is sometimes used. Besides *-či*, i.e. the Nominative of this verbal noun, sometimes also the Accusative *-čiril*, *-č'il* is used.

E.g. *aži mot hao* 'I don't know' 'I can't know', *aži nin mot hao* 'as to knowing I don't (know)', 'I really don't know it', *ažiril mot hao* 'I do not know', *aži do mot hao* 'he does not even know it', *ki sarami sal-ka podin an-kho čugıl-ka boda* 'he seems not be recovering at all, but perhaps dying' (*podin* = *pod* *nin*), *čukči do ani hago nālči do ani handa*, *čukto ankho nātto ani handa* 'he is neither dying nor recovering'.

207 This same formation is used also with the verb *mada*, *malda* (stem *mar-*) 'to avoid', 'to evade', 'not to do', which verb is used

as negation with all volitive forms. Also here the Accusative *-čiril* is sometimes found.

E.g. *kaži mara* 'don't go!', *kaži marara*, *kaži malgera* (in the same sense); *oži malge* 'please don't come here!'; *munıl jere nōčhi* (or *notčhirıl*) *malla-kko hajette* 'he said: don't leave the door open', 'he told me not to leave the door open'; *mekči mara*, *mekči nin mara* 'don't eat it!'

There are two formations very frequently used in the same way 208 as these converbs. One ends in *-me*, *-mä*: *pomä*, *pome* 'in seeing, while seeing', *hamä* 'in doing, because of doing', *megessimä* 'by having eaten', etc. As this is the locative case of the verbal substantive in *-m* (v. § 235) and the other cases too of this same verbal substantive are used adverbially, there is nothing special to mention regarding this formation. The other formation, also quite frequently used, is that with the ending *-killä*, i.e. the case in *-llä* from the verbal noun in *-ki*, and is thus also a regular case formation in the North Korean dialects; it is only in South Korea that this case is restricted to the verbal noun in *-ki* (v. § 233).

Of some verbs the converbial forms, especially converbum perfecti, are used just like the English 'taking in view', 'concerning', 'excepted', and this use makes it possible to call them *postpositions*, and, — to distinguish them from nouns used as postpositions —, *verbal postpositions*. 209

E.g. *seul puthe wasso* 'he came from Seul', originally 'attaching to Seul, he arrived'.

The most used verbal postpositions will be taken up later, § 285.

Nomina verbalia.

The nomina verbalia in the Korean language can be divided 210 into two classes: verbal adjectives and verbal substantives.

I. The verbal adjectives.

The verbal adjectives are, like all other attributively used words 211 not declinable. They stand immediately before the noun to which

they are the attribute, but they can also be used as predicates, then receiving a final particle, either affirmative or interrogative. Under the headings 'various indicative formations', 'polite forms' and 'the verb in interrogative sentences' this use of the adjectival forms of the verb has already been partly treated, — in the following we call these adjectival nouns briefly *participles*.

UNDERWOOD and others use the name «relative participles». This is due to the fact that the name participle has also been used for what are here called converbial forms. The name 'relative participles' is given because they are often to be translated by relative clauses in our languages.

- 212** 1. The present participle has the ending *-nən*, *-nīn*. Before this ending, a consonant at the end of the verbal stem is in many words in one way or another assimilated.

E.g. *itta* 'to be': *innan*, 'being', *antta* 'to sit': *annan* 'sitting', *mēkta* 'to eat': *mēnīn* 'eating', *hajetta* 'has done': *hajennīn*, *hajennan* 'one who has done', etc. The verbs with *-l-* stems lose their *-l-* before the ending: *ānan* 'knowing', *tīnīn* 'lifting', *kēnīn* 'hanging up, attaching' etc. The verbs with *-lh-* as the last sounds of the stem end here in *-lln*, *-llīn*: *killīn* 'boiling', *ttullīn* 'piercing', 'boring through'.

- 213** As has already been said, the qualitative verbs are not used in this form. The perfect participle with the ending *-n* seems to be the old form and the ending *-nən* is really the *-n* participle of a secondary verb with the ending *-nə-*, *-nī-*. This verb is still found in use, e.g. *khīda* 'to be big', *khīngda* 'to be increasing', *khīn* 'big', *khīnīn* 'growing bigger'; see § 246.

- 214** 2. The perfect participle has the ending *-n*. The sense in words of action is that of the past tense, but in qualitative verbs purely adjectival, like the English 'an aged man'; e.g. *nīlgīn saram* (*nīlhta* 'to be old') or *nāhi manhīn saram* ('his-age being-many man') 'an old man'.

- 215** 3. The future participle has the ending *-l*, *-r*, in North Korean *-r*. This is the form under which the Korean dictionaries give all verbs. The sense is that of the future action or of the action as such, i.e. without any determination of tense, v. § 221.

When the participles are used as attributes to a noun, the direction of the action is not grammatically indicated and is clear from the context.

E.g. *il hanan saram* 'work-doing man', 'a man who works', *saram hanan il* 'man-doing work', 'the work a man does', *il han saram* 'a man who has worked', *saram han il* 'work done by a man', *il hal saram* 'a man who has to work', *saram hal il* 'a work to be done by a man', *kil kanan saram* 'the man who goes on the road', *saram kanan kil* 'the road the man goes on', etc.; *insa han sarami nugu-jo* (*insa hada* 'to greet') 'who is the man who greeted?' or 'who is the man whom you greeted?' In this respect the Korean language is even freer than the English where one says «sleeping room», «eating room», «dining car», «walking stick», «closing hour», etc.

E.g. *ne sānan ēibi ēna ēib-io* 'in which house do you live', *nā mēnīn suri tan sur-io* 'the brandy I drink (eat) is very sweet', *i gēsī nugu ga ponan ēhag-io* 'this is a book which who is reading?' 'who is reading this book', *uri kannan kiri mēo* 'the way we have gone is far', *se ēunīn ēibi ēdā isso* 'where is the house one rents out', *se ēun ēibi ēdā isso* 'where is the house one has rented', *se ēul ēibi ēdā isso* 'where is the house which is to be rented', *kēnnēn paṇi innan ja* 'is there a through-going room?', *kēnnēl paṇ inja* 'is it a room which one has to go through?'

From the present participle have been derived the indicatives in *-ne* (*inne* 'is', *pone* 'sees', *mēnne* 'eats', *hajenne* 'has done', *hagenne* 'will do') and the progressive present in *-nīnda*, *-nda* (*innanda* 'is', *mēnīnda* 'is eating', *ponda* 'is looking', *handā* 'is doing'). In *-ne* a particle *i* has joined the participle, and in *-nīnda*, *-nda* we have an earlier *-nan ida* in a shortened form. Also *innaida*, *mēgīmnaida* are this participle with *ida* added. Besides this the literary language makes considerable use of the participles with *-ira* to indicate the close of a sentence: *hananira* 'does', *hanira* 'has done', *harira* 'will do', *kiphīnira* 'is deep', *kiphīrira* 'will be deep', *kīnira* 'is long', *kīrira* 'will be long' (*kīlda* 'to be long').

Corresponding to the present in *-ne*, the qualitative verbs have an indicative in *-e* (sometimes *-a*, *-ä*): *kiphe* 'is deep', *kīe* 'is long',

čoha 'is good' (*čoha*, *čohä*). This tense formation does not seem to hold good for the literary language and may be a shortening of forms like *kiphīni*, *kini*, *čohīni*, etc., earlier used at the end of independent sentences as found in old documents.

219 The use of participles with interrogative particles *ka* and *ja* has been treated earlier. Relevant at this point is that also here many kinds of shortenings and contractions are to be found: *innanja* 'is it?' shortened *innani*, *innā*, *innī*; *ponanja* 'does one see?' *ponanī*, *ponī*, *ponā*, *ponā*.

220 The particle *ka* is usually not contracted with the participle, but before the verb *poda* ('to see') 'to seem', *-nanga* is very often *-nā*, e.g. *pi ga onā poda* 'it looks to be raining', *onal kugjeŋ kallā* (*karjā*) *hajette-ni*, *ečē-mjeŋ*, *parami hīiban čikkennā poo* 'we had intended to go to see sights to-day, but, may be, it seems as though the wind will prevent it' (*čikkennā* < *čikkennan ga*). This ending *-nā* before *poda* is to be kept apart from the ending of the converbum admissi. The shortening of *-nanja* to *-nanī* and of *-nja* to *-nī* is of course to be kept apart from the *-ni* we have in converbum premissi.

221 As to the use of the participles they are often to be found in phrases which in our languages are rendered by subordinated clauses.

E.g. *nāhi manhan sarām* 'a man whose age is much', 'an old man'; *sogi čoun sarām* 'a man whose mind is narrow', 'a narrow minded man'; *ibi ak-han njein* 'a woman with a bad tongue'; *kapsi pissan mulgeŋ* 'goods whose prices are expensive', 'an expensive article'; *čane pon že ga orā-o* 'the time when I saw you is long ago', 'it's a long time since I saw you'. The future participle is used in cases where, properly speaking, no time relation is included; e.g. *por ir isse ka-ja iži* 'I have work to do and must go'; *čugil pjeŋ* 'a sickness to death', 'a deadly disease'; *megil keši manthera* 'there was much to eat'; *uri ga kal kiri tadan-hi mēresso* 'we had a very long way to go'.

222 The particle *ka* after the future participle has been pronounced with lengthened and voiceless clusive. Instead of *megil ka* one speaks *megilkka* and *megikka*; *il ka* is pronounced *ilkka*, *ikka*. This *ikka* is much used in many kinds of interrogative sentences, as explained earlier.

The qualitative verb *ida* has the participles *in* and *il*. After a preceding vowel the stem vowel is lost, i.e. of *in* only the sound *-n* is left.

E.g. *čugir-ie-n üä* 'at the time when I was about to die', *megir-ie-n pap* 'the rice he was about to eat', *čuktē-n üä* 'the time when he died', *mektē-n pap* 'the rice which we then ate of', *kade-n kil* 'the way he then went', *kassette-n kil* 'the way he had gone', etc.

The extended future participle.

The above example *čugir-ie-n* may be taken as an example showing the origin of the extended future participle with the ending *-llen*, *-llin*, which is very much used with the interrogative particle *-či* but may be found also as predicate in questions and also as attribute to nouns. This *či* has been a noun with the sense of 'fact, thing' (v. postparticipial nouns, n:o 6).

E.g. *kallinži mallinži morigesso* 'I can't know whether he had to go or not', 'I don't know if he was about to go', *issillenži aži mot hao* 'I don't know whether there is' (cf. *kalči malči morigesso* 'I don't know whether he is to go or not', *issilči aži mot hao* 'I don't know whether it is to be, it will be'); *ollinga* 'will he come?', 'was it: he will come?'; *ki iril tā hajessillinži al su ēpso* 'I don't know whether the work is all done'.

Special postparticipial nouns.

The participles are used with all kinds of nouns but the language has a special inclination to use certain nouns with a very general sense (thing, fact, moment, case, place, word, while, way, etc.) after the participles where European languages have subordinate clauses. In this combination the nouns are used in the nominative, i.e. without case endings, except *koro* and *tāro*, which are instrumental forms.

The most used nouns of this kind are:

- 1) *tai* 'place', 'when', 'if', 'as to', pronounced *te*, *tā* and *de*, *dā* after pr. pf. fut. participles; e.g. *pi ani onande wā usanil kažigo*

osjesso 'when there is no rain coming why have you come here carrying your umbrella?'; *kî sarami il hanande i mal hajette* 'when he was working he said:' (»work-doingplace, he said«), *il hande* 'when he had worked', 'as he had worked', *il had-nde* 'when he was working'; *näge ol phjenži ga innande* »to me to come a letter being-place«, 'but there ought to come a letter to me'; *ssiltte isso* 'I can use it', 'it can be used'; *kaltte nin* 'as to going'; *kal či-nde* 'when it concerns going, speaking about going'; *pi hage-n-de, pikhendä* 'for instance', 'to take an example', 'if', 'just to have an illustration'; *irē hage-n-de, irēkhendä* 'if it is so', 'if it's this way', *kirē hage-n-de, kirēkhendä* 'if it's so', 'if it's that way'. This noun *te, de* is thus very frequently used for a subordinate clause of time or of situation. But it is also found in its original, more concrete sense, e.g. *kandä ėmnında* »the gone place is not existing«, 'there are no traces (of going)', *čohin kal tä (kal-tte) nin isso* 'there is a good place to go to'.

2) *tal, tıl, pronounced tıl, dıl*, original meaning perhaps 'event', 'case', is used after all participles, but usually with question or negation in the following main verb; e.g. *kažē on dıl ssiltte isso* 'even if I bring it, can it be of any use?', *kan dıl mot poatta* 'even if he has gone, I have not seen it', *kal dıl čoha ani handa* 'even if he is to go I don't find it good'. Cf. Manchu *dule* 'in the contrary'.

3) *ttai*, original *ptai* 'season', 'time', still found in *iptä* 'now', 'nowadays', *kıptä* 'at that time', *čeptä* 'lately', identical with Turkish (Uigur) *bula* 'season', is pronounced *ttä* and means 'at the time of', 'when'. It is used after all participles but most frequently with the future participle. Also the Locative *ttäe* is used.

E.g. *phjenži ssıl ttä sonnimi wasso* 'when I wrote a letter (was to write a letter) a guest arrived'. This *ttä* with the future participle is a very popular method of expressing the temporal clause.

4) *ček* 'occasion, time'; to be rendered by 'while', 'when' after the future participle. Also the Locative *čēge* is in use.

E.g. *akka ol ček (or: ol čēge) māu ėhıpsıpteda (or ėhıptē ida, ėhıptēra)* 'when I came a few minutes ago it was terribly cold,

Sir'; *na-gal ček mada (čēē-mada) i saramıl mannao* 'every time I go out I meet this man'; *kombu hal čēge (hal ček or hanında, hal ttä, hal ttäe) čaḡnan haži mara* 'don't play while you study'.

The words *ček* (Turkish *čas* 'time') and *ttä* (N:o 3) are still felt as independent nouns while *te, de* (1), *tıl* (2) and *ček* (5) are by all grammarians explained either as endings or as conjunctions.

5) *čik*, originally a Chinese word with the sense 'moment', 'case', now gives an expression for 'as', 'as far as', 'since', 'when', etc. It is used after the perfect participle.

E.g. *tırin-čik* 'as I have heard', 'as far as I have heard', *kırıkhe mal han-čik* 'since one has said so', 'since they have said that', *i ġesin ta hajessın-čik kî daım kes sıžak haža* 'as we have already finished this we will begin with the next following', *nä ga munıl jēn-čik 'ažik tıre oži malla' go hapteda* 'at the moment I opened the door they said: you must not come in yet', *kapsıl čurje-n-čik toni epkedın* 'that I should have no money when I was to pay the price!' *ka ponžik amo do epsē* 'I went to look and there was not any'.

6) *či*, original and North Korean *ti, di*, South Korean pronunciation *či, ži* 'fact', 'thing', 'event', is used with the perfect and future participles. The interrogative particle *či (< *ti)* is the same word in another function. Cf. Tung. *-ti* as a question mark.

E.g. *kal či-ra* 'he will go, he shall go', *kal či-nde nin* 'if it is about going', 'as to talking about going', *hajessıl či-ra do* 'although one really has said', 'even if one has done', *hajessıllın ži* 'that one has said it (does it matter)', *hal či-n-ira* 'I should say', *hal či-jē nin* 'when one was to do'; *nari källin-ži do al su ėpso* 'one cannot know whether the day will clear up at all' (*käida* 'to clear up', *källin < käll-iē-n, -n < in*; see § 224); *täpho ari ettekhe manhi tterē-žēnnan-ži, čēnži ga tā phajesso* 'how many shells of the great guns fell, — (it was so much that) the earth was all ploughed up'; *kan ži ga orä-o* (»the gone-fact is long time ago«) 'it is already a long time since he went'; *mēgin ži ga ne si tōjesso* 'it is already four hours since I had a meal'; *ne kerie*

kase mulgenil sal ei-nde čohin gesil sara 'when you go in the street to buy things, buy them good'; *čugil-či enžen kežit mal hagesso* 'I will sooner die than tell lies'. To remark is the use of the verb *ida* 'to be' in forms like *ižen-ži* (now seldom in speech) and *iden-ži*, *-den-ži*, NKor. *idendi*, *-dendi* '(who) so ever, (who) ever it may be'; *nugu-denži* 'anybody', *muš-idenži* 'whatsoever, anything'; *mjetčh-idenži* 'any amount'.

- 7) *če*, written *čjei* (Chin.) 'time, coincidence, occurrence' used after the perfect participle.

E.g. *kj saram čugin-že nin orä-sso* 'it is a long time ago that he died'; *na mannagi čene seul on-že ga isso* 'have you been in Seoul earlier than when you met me?' *čane pon že ga orä jo* 'it is a long time since I saw you'; *i sesan päpho han že ga mjet man-njen ina tönī* 'about how many thousand years may it be since the world was created?'.

- 8) *tai* 'accordance, concordance', instrumental *täro* 'in accordance with, so as, like' is used after nouns and also after all participles.

E.g. *idäro* 'like this', *mal däro* 'according to the word'; *nä pon däro* 'like what I have seen, in accordance with what I have seen'; *kj saram mal hanan däro* 'in accordance with what he says'; *mal hal ttäro* 'in accordance with what he is to say'; *kj erin ahä ponin däro kažigo siphe hao* 'that baby in accordance with what it sees it wants to get it', 'that baby wants to take everything it sees'.

- 9) *čhē*, written *thjei* (Chin.) 'body, shape', is used after all participles and with the verb *hada* 'to do', in the sense of 'to pretend', 'to simulate'.

E.g. *ūnan čhē handa* 'is making weeping shape', 'feigns weeping', *ūn čhē handa* 'simulates having wept', *ul čhē handa* 'simulates to start weeping'; *il hanan čhē hajetta ani hajetta* 'he was pretending to work but was not doing so'.

- 10) *the* 'ground', 'site', 'place' (*čip-the* 'the site of a house') is very much used after the future participle to express an obligation; the verb *ida* 'to be' usually follows this *the*.

E.g. *kal the io* 'one ought to go, I am obliged to go', *kassil*

the io 'they ought to have gone', *tašini mal han däro hal the ida* 'one must do it just as you have said', *phjenži nin nohjeŋi ssil the io* 'you ought to write a letter'.

Sometimes the obligation does not logically concern directly the subject of the verb, but is more a conclusion of the speaker.

E.g. *i hainin činsil hal the io* 'this servant ought to be reliable, must be reliable', *i saram čansa čal hajessenikka puža hal the imnida* 'this man must be rich as he has done good business'.

For *the ida* is often erroneously written *thghida*.

- 11) *tas*, *tis*, pronounced *tīt*, 'similarity', is used both with the ending *i* (*tisi*, the old instructive case) and with the verb *hada* 'to be' (v.qual.) after all participles in the sense of 'seemingly, probably', 'likely to'.

E.g. *ol tīt hada* 'it seems that he will come, he may come', *čē saram al tīt hada* 'that man probably knows it', 'he must know it, after all', *ahä čanan dīt hada* 'the child may be sleeping', *jel weŋ iro mot sal tīt sipso* 'one probably can't buy it for 10 yen'.

The form *tisi* is used not only after participles but also after the form in *či*, but *-či-tisi* has been shortened to *tisi*.

E.g. *pap mektit hago* 'just (as lightly) as eating food', *nä meŋnin diši ne do megera* 'please eat just as I am eating', *čukči diši* (*čuktisi*) *ča itte* 'he is lying there as if dead'.

- 12) *jaŋ* and *mojaŋ* (Chin.) 'manner, fashion' is used after all participles to express some probability or feasibility. The verb may be *ida* or *hada* or *sipta* 'to look like', or the instrumental case is used. Cf. *kijaŋ* (*ijeŋ*), *kijaŋiro* 'so, in that way'.

E.g. *čigim ilgil mojaŋ io* 'he seems to start reading now', *akka kan mojaŋ ida* 'they seem to have gone a few minutes ago', *ilbonil kal jaŋ ida* (*karjaŋ ida*) 'he seems to go to Japan, I think he goes to Japan', *ažik ol jaŋi mēresso* 'it is still long until he comes', *kj sarami pit padir jaŋiro sibi hao* 'that man starts a quarrel about getting money seemingly due to him', *čheŋgučil juram har-jaŋ imjeŋ sogä phjenžiril mjet-čan ennin geši māu čosso* 'if you should travel to China it would be very

well to get some letters of introduction', *karja hamjen* 'if you intend to go', *karja har-jaŋ (hal-mojaŋ) imjen* 'if you should possibly intend to go', *migugil kal jaŋiro piŋphjoril ekcesso* 'I have to get a passport as I shall probably go to America'.

- 13) *ko* (Chin.) 'reason', 'cause', is used in the instrumental case, i.e. *koro, goro*, in the sense 'because of', 'owing to'.

E.g. *čibaŋ i iril hagennan goro tarin iril mot hagesso* 'now because I do this work I can't do any other work', *onal nuni onan goro te čhipso* 'it's colder today because the snow is falling', *pulgabul kal the in goro kasso* 'he has gone because he had to'.

The participle is either the present or the perfect with the modification they imply.

- 14) *kkadalk* (locative *kkadalge*) 'reason' is used in about the same sense as *koro*.

E.g. *nari kail čul ān kkadalge kidarjesso* 'I waited because I thought it would clear up', *nuni on (wannan) kkadalge ani watta* 'he hasn't come because it has snowed'.

- 15) *su* 'remedy', 'means', 'possibility', after the future participle and with the verb *itta* 'to exist', *ępta* 'to lack', is the commonest expression for »can», »might».

E.g. *kal su isso* 'one can go', *kal su ępsso* 'there is no possibility to go', *mot kal su isso* 'one need not go', *mot kal su ępsso* 'there is no possibility not to go', 'I must go', 'you must go', etc., *al su ępsso* 'I have no means of knowing it', 'I can't know it', *toni čęgin žik mal sal su ępsso* 'as I am short of money I can't buy the horse', *čohi ga ępsini phjenži ssil su ępsso* 'I have no paper and can't write a letter', *kilža ga khin žik čal pol su isso* 'as the characters are big I can read them very well'.

When two negations are used the idea 'must' is strongly expressed: *čeri mot kal su ępsso* 'you must go there'.

- 16) *man* 'size', 'quantity', 'amount', 'measure', with the verb *hada* 'to be' and after perfect and future participles, means the extent of quality and quantity, and can be translated by 'worth while to', 'able to', 'good for', 'can'. Cf. *jel man* 'only ten', *san man nophin* 'high as the mountain', *elma* (<**wen man*) 'how much', *nā*

sängakhan man mot hada 'it is not as much as I thought', *mantha* (<*man hada*) 'to be an amount, to be much, to be many', *manhin* (<*man han*) 'numerous'.

E.g. *i čhäk pol man hada* 'this book is worth reading, is readable', *če put ssil man haži mot hao* 'that pen is not good to write with', *ul man han ęes io* 'it is a matter one could weep over', *i ęesi megil man hada* 'this is eatable, one can eat this'.

After the perfect participle, *man* is often to be translated 'but'. In this sense the declarative and the indecisive are also found before *man* and the emphatic *manin* 'but'.

E.g. *kiri kassin manin ępsetta* 'I went there, but it wasn't there', *phjenj sokhi naassimjen čohir-ię-n man* 'it would be well if the sickness should be better soon', *pi ga ol tit hage-n man mot kal su ępsso* 'it will probably rain, but even so I must go'.

Before this *man*, *manin* the indecisive ending *či* has in the compound tenses been shortened to *t* and this is now assimilated with following *m* to *nm*, *mm* (written *sm*): *kagetči manin*, *kagen manin* 'has to go but', *kagesetči manin*, *kagesenmanin* 'he had to go but', *kasetči manin*, *kasemmanin* 'he had gone but'.

- 17) *ķes*, nominative, pronounced *ket*, *get*, North Korean *ke*, *ge*, 'piece', 'bit', 'thing', 'object', is used after all participles in the most wide sense and of both concrete and abstract »things». When used in the predicate before the verb *ida*, *ķes*, *ęes* has given *-k-*, *-g-*, i.e. *ķes-ida*, *ķes-io*, *-ęesi-da*, etc. are usually abbreviated to *-kida*, *-gida*, *-kio*, *-gio*, etc.

E.g. *i ęesi khallo pehin ęesio* (> *pehingio*) 'this is cut with a knife (is a thing cut with a knife)', *i saram mal hanangida* 'this man is saying', *kallā hanan ęes io (kallānangio)* 'he (says he) wants to go', 'the matter is that he wants to go', *sal ķesil kažę kage* 'please carry away what I am to buy', *san ęesil kažę kage* 'take with you my purchases', *čurnin get* 'the dying', 'to be dying', *čugin get* 'the being dead', 'to be dead', *čugil ket* 'to be near death', *ne ānan ęesil mot arasso* 'he didn't know that you knew it', 'he didn't know what you know'.

When used after the future participle and with following

ida 'to be', the sense is 'it is a thing that one has to', and signifies duty, which is most easily expressed by 'ought to', 'should', 'must', 'has to', etc.

E.g. *i čhāk nā ga pol keš io* 'this book I must read, ought to read', *kal keš io (kalkkio)* 'I ought to go', *phjenži nīn nohjenī ssil keš io (ssilkio)* 'as to the letter, you ought to write it'.

This use of *keš* with the future participle with *ida* gives about the same sense as the use of *the* 'place, site' or of the following noun *il*.

Joined to a participle, the accusative *kešil*, shortened to *kēl*, *gēl* (N. Kor. *ke*, *ge*), is often used in exclamatory sentences as elliptic predicate; e.g. *čohin gēl* 'how good it is!', 'but it is good!', N. Kor. *tjoun-ge*; *ēmnan gēl* 'there is nothing!' *ēpsil kēl* 'it will not be!'

- 18) *il* 'work, business, doing'. Besides its use in the general sense, it has after a future participle and with the verb *ida* the meaning 'must', 'should', 'ought to'.

E.g. *kar ir io (kal il io)* 'we have to go', *nohjenī phjenžirīl ssir ir io* 'you ought to write the letter', 'the letter writing is your business', *tā tōijēssil il io (ir-io)* 'all ought to be ready', *por ir isso (pol il isso)* 'I have something to look after', 'I am busy'.

- 19) *i* 'something', 'one', 'man' or 'thing', is used in the present language only as a kind of ending, and has been said to be a shortened form of the Chinese word *in* 'man', 'human being', but is an old interrogative-indefinite pronoun 'some' or 'what'. In English it corresponds very often to the word 'one': *i i ga čosso* 'this one is better', *čē i ga muēsīl (muēl) hane* 'what does that one say, what is that one doing', *kī i nīn kēmda ga* 'that one is black, but ...'

When this word *i* is used after participles, it substantiates the participle in one way or another: *kanan-i* 'the man who goes, the going one, that that one goes', *kan-i* 'the man who has gone, the gone one, the absentee, the absence, the being away', *(kal-i) kari* 'the one who is to go, anything that will go, the starting one, the departure'. We have here the same *i* as

has already been treated under the converbum premissi, which has the ending *-ni*, i.e. the perfect participle and *i*, but *i* is still used after the perfect participle also as a sign of substantiation, or when no other noun is found necessary.

E.g. *kanan-i ga nugu io* 'who is going there', 'who is now going', *seuresē on-i ga algesso* 'that man who came from Seul may know it', *nīlgīn-i* 'the old one', 'the old man', *čēlmīn-i* 'a young one', 'a youth', *čugīn-iege muēsīl tallā hao* 'what can I demand of a dead man?', *sānan-irīl toa čusio* 'please help one while he is living', *nā ga kari ikka* 'is that so that I am to go?', 'shall I go?', *nā ga porī ida* 'it is I who am to see, it is that that I shall see, I shall see, I will see, I like to see'.

The last examples with *-ri ikka*, *-ri ida*, *-ri io*, etc., are usually both in speaking and writing shortened to *-rikka*, *-rida*, *-rio* and by ECKARDT in his grammar they are said to be formations from a secondary verb stem on *-ri* with future sense. It is here to be remarked that *-ri* with the verb *ida* is most commonly used for the first person: *kari ikka*, *karikka* 'shall I go', *porio* 'I shall see'.

The combination of *-i* with a participle has, as seen in old documents, been very much used with the final affirmative particle *ira* at the end of a sentence for the indicative, *kanan i ira (kananira)* 'goes', *har i ira (harira)* 'shall do, will say, will be', but also without *ira* it occurs quite often at the end of a sentence. In the high style forms *kamnida*, *issimnida* which have been treated earlier, we have the same formation and the verb *ida*, i.e. *kamnida* is an earlier *kapnan-i ida* and *kamnikka* is an earlier *kapnan-i irikka*. The same *-i* is also to be found in *siri*, a word used after the future converb (*si-ri* < **isil-i*).

- 20) *čja*, a Chinese word meaning 'person, personality', is used beside or instead of *i* (No. 19) to indicate the doer of an action, and is more polite than the preceding *i*.

E.g. *kananža* 'the goer', 'the going person', *kanža* 'the one who has gone', *kalča* 'the one who is to go', *čē ir-hananža nugu jo* 'who is the worker there?', 'who is working there?', *kī gode*

kattēn-žaril aži mot hananža jo 'I am not one to know who it was who went there', *seul kassınža ga, seul kassınnanža ga* 'that one who had gone to Seul', *ahä ponanža* 'a nurse', *kanan hanžaril kuže hamje irhe onžaril tōa čumje* 'by giving alms to the sufferers and helping the unfortunate'.

This *čja* is used after both Chinese and Korean nouns, and after participles.

- 21) *pa* 'place', 'point', 'side', 'object'. Contrary to the preceding *i* and *čja*, which denote the doer and give the verb in an active sense, the substantive *pa* is often used to denote the object of the action, so that the verb is to be translated by the passive. The original meaning may have been 'place'.

E.g. *sān ba* 'the place where one has lived' — 'domicile', *saden ba* 'the things some one bought', 'the things then bought', *anin ba ga muēs io* 'what is it you know?', *čugin ba tasi sal su isso* 'can something which has died live again?'; *nehji ga uri phjenži-ra, uri mai me ssese mus-sarami algo inin (illin) ba-ra* 'you are our epistle, written in our hearts, known and read of all men' (II Corinthians, Chap. 3, v. 2), *čugil keši sänmjenjige samkhin ba töige* 'that mortality might be swallowed up of life', *pi ga manhi wassini pathe simin ba koksigi kjeltan nasso* 'as it has rained much, the grain sown in the field has been damaged', *haden baril perigo musin iril hao* 'losing your working instruments, what work can you do?', *hānanim čun ba ponsjenji perigo* 'losing the original nature given of God'.

- 22) *čul* 'line', 'ruler', 'line of thought' is used if the following verb has the sense of thinking, knowing or hoping, and after such a verb corresponds to 'that'. If the accusative *čuril* is used, the sense is that the thinking was right, but if the instrumental *čullo* is used, the phrase usually indicates that the line of thought was wrong.

E.g. *kan žul aratta* ('gone-line I thought') 'I knew he had gone', *kan žuril aratta* 'I knew (quite correctly) that he had gone', *kan žullo aratta* 'I thought he had gone (but he hadn't)', *ol čullo sāngak hajesso* 'I supposed, he would come', *kirekhe*

pissal čul negjesso 'did you think that it was to be so expensive?', *muēs iden-ži tā čello tōn čullo ānan-i ga isso* 'there are some who believe that everything has come into existence of itself', *tōl čullo parasso* 'I hoped that it would happen', *seweri kanan žul mollatta* 'I didn't know that the time went so'.

- 23) *māl* 'word', 'speech' is used with participles if the verb which follows means 'to hear' or 'to perceive'. The Koreans cannot use another object with the verb 'to hear' than one which means the sound which is actually heard.

E.g. *katta han (kattān) maril tigrasso* 'I have heard the word that he has gone', 'I have heard that he has gone', *čugetta han mal tigrassimnikka* 'have you heard that he is dead?'.

- 24) *pen* (Chin.) 'time, turn, occasion' is used with the future participle and the verb *hada* 'to do' in the sense of 'to be on the point of', e.g. *tere-žil pen hajesso* 'I was about to fall', *tožak manna čugil pen hajetta* 'he met with robbers and nearly lost his life', *kire kada ga toresę neme-žil pen hajesso* 'when I was walking on the road I almost fell over a stone'.

- 25) *se*, written *sjei* (Chin.), 'state, condition, aspect, circumstances', has from early times been used with *ida* 'to be' and a preceding future participle in polite speech, meaning 'the opportunity to'; e.g. *megil-se-da, megilseda* 'has the opportunity to eat' and (passively) *megiolseda* id.; *iolseda* (after vowel -olseda) 'is, Sir': *čohin čohi-olseda* 'it is good paper'; *kire halseda, kirelseda* 'so it is, Sir', *kire haolseda, kireolseda* 'so it happens to be, Sir'; etc.

Elliptically used *se* without *ida* is often heard in daily speech; (*kire hal se >*) *kirelse > kilse* 'so it is'.

- 26) *sä*, written *sai* 'interval', 'space between' (Tung. *saja* 'the inner angle or space between the fingers') is used after the future participle in the sense of 'while, during'; e.g. *pap megil sä tožagi čhimbane tigre wasso* 'whilst we were eating, a thief entered our bedroom'. In this sense it is very common and equivalent or varying with locutions like *mektaga, megilttä, megil toņane*, etc.
- 27) *serok, särok* or *surok* with the future participle means 'the more . . . , the more'. As in the ending -*torok* (v. § 198) we have

here the instrumental case with the particle *-ok*, *-uk*, and the preceding *sa*, *sə*, *su* is here a noun, perhaps that which means 'new' (*sä* 'new', *säro* 'again'), or perhaps a word *sa* (orig. *so*) 'over, more', 'higher up' (cf. mo. *saja* 'recently, newly' or *tung. solo-*, *sola-* 'to go upwards'). The variation of the vowel of the noun is here caused by lack of stress.

E.g. *parami pul särok puri ire nao* 'the more the wind blows, the more the fire rises'; *əhün norä nün tirił surok tikkə sipso* 'the more I hear good songs, the more I want to hear'; *hanmunıl pähol serok erjewə gao* 'the more one studies Chinese letters, the more difficult it is', *pol surok ejəppuo* 'the longer I look on it, the prettier it is'.

- 28) *pep* (Chin.) 'law', 'custom', is frequently used with the future participle and the verbs *isso* 'is', or *əpsə* 'is not'. It means 'can', 'cannot'.

E.g. *jagił manhi megil pep əpsə* 'one can't take much medicine', *aži mot hanan saramiı tonsane kugjən hal pepi edä isso* 'where (do you think it) is customary (possible) to go sightseeing in the garden of an unknown man?', *tire ol pep əpsə* 'not allowed to enter', 'you can't enter', *jagi itte-mjen i pjenıl kotčhil pəbi issəssə* 'if I then had had the medicine, I could have cured that sickness'.

In most cases the noun *su* 'possibility', 'means' can be used in about the same sense.

- 29) *tämun* 'purpose', also *tämune* 'in the purpose of' or 'because of', 'for the reason that', is mostly used with the perfect participle.

E.g. *pähol tämune əhägil sa watta* 'he bought a book for studying', *mən kiril kan tämun il si süigəssə* 'I will repose one hour because I have walked a long way'.

- 30) *əharo*, N.Kor. *chari* 'in order to', 'for'. This is either the instrumental in *ro* of a noun *əha* or, perhaps, a contraction of *əi* (see No. 6) and *hərje* > *həro* 'to do, for doing'. It is used only with the future participle.

E.g. *əhägil päkkil əharo* (= *päkillä-kko*) *əhüirił saro kasso* 'he has gone to buy paper for copying a book', *sənsən han*

paramil sohil əharo kinirił əhažasso 'I have sought a shady place to get a cool wind', *aguņie əhərił əhil əharo komirärił əhatəio* 'I look for the ash broom for (the purpose of) sweeping the ash from the stove'.

- 31) *kil* 'road', is often used also in the sense of »during» or »while» after the present participle.

E.g. *kanan gil mal hajetta* 'while going, he said', *mulgenil sa onan gil nä əbiyro oo* 'please come to my house when you go shopping', *kweril kotčhinan gile sä əamıl-sə kkatčhi tumjən əokkhəssə* 'as you are mending the box, you had better put in a new lock'.

- 32) *əuŋ* (*tjuŋ* Chin.) 'middle, mid.' This is used oftener than the preceding *kil* in the sense of 'while', 'during'. The participle is then the present.

E.g. *simmun ponan žuŋe čatta* 'he fell asleep in the middle of reading the newspaper'; *kil kanan žuŋe* 'while walking along the road'; *kil ponan žuŋ itta* 'he is reading now', 'he is in the middle of his reading'.

- 33) *əjən* (Chin.) 'the fore', 'before', 'earlier than' is used with the future participle.

E.g. *kı i ga nä ol čene katta* 'he went away before I came', 'before my coming', *megil čen kaži mara* 'don't go before you have eaten, before eating'.

The verbal noun on *-ki* is here as frequent as the future participle: *ogi ženə*, *məkki žene* etc.

- 34) *hu* (Chin.) 'after', 'the following', is used with the perfect participle in the sense of 'after that'.

E.g. *i əhägil pon hu (hue) nal čuəra* 'after reading this book, give it to me', *nä ga kan hu amogä ga wannan ja* 'has someone been here since I left?'

- 35) *toŋ* 'alternative', *toŋan* 'interval', 'space in'. Of these, *toŋan* is used in the sense of 'during', 'while'. Very much more frequent is the use of *toŋ* after the future participle and with the verb *hada* 'to do', in the sense of 'to hesitate between doing and not doing'.

E.g. *kal toŋ mal toŋ hajetta* 'he went a little and stopped again', 'he didn't know whether to go or not'; *pi ga ol toŋ ėil toŋ hajetta* 'it rained a bit and again not'; *il hal toŋ mal toŋ hanan geſi sſil tā ėpso* 'it is no use if you work just a little and stop again', 'there is no need for you to vacillate between working and not working'.

- 36) *ėigjeŋ* (*ti-kjeŋ*, Chin.) 'boundary, border', is used with the future participle and with *ida* 'to be' in conditional phrases in the sense of 'if it really concerns' or 'when it comes to the point that'.

E.g. *kal ėigjeŋ imjeŋ na do kageſso* 'if it really has come to going, I will go too'; *i pathil phal ėigjeŋ ieđin (ieđen)* 'if you should come to such a point that you have to sell this field'.

- 37) *ppun* is used after the future participle and with following *ida* in the sense of 'only', 'solely'.

E.g. *ne nje il man hal ppun ida* 'you have only to work'; *i geđ pāhol ppun deđe te pāhoara* 'you have not only to learn this part, but you have to learn more'; *nakĕi wa muneŋ nje tāso ga taril ppun io, mojaŋ-in kathin geſi io* 'the *nakĕi* (small octopus) and the *mune* (big octopus) differ only in size, their shape is just the same'.

- 38) *māim* (*māam*, *mām*) 'mind', *sāŋgak* 'thought', *tiſi* 'meaning', *weŋ-i* (Chin.) 'intention', *parām* 'hope' are used with the future participle.

E.g. *ne ga nāil ol parame kidarigesso* 'I will await you in the hope that you will come tomorrow'; *jeŋ-guđil kal māimi isso* 'I have in my mind to travel to England'; *ilbon kal tiſi ėpso* 'I have no idea of going to Japan'; etc.

- 39) *ėiim* 'beginning' with the future participle gives the sense 'when about to'.

E.g. *kal ėiim* (or *kal ėiime*) *nu ga wasso* 'just when I was about to go someone came', = *kallā hande nu ga wasso*.

226 The use of such postparticipial nouns and of the still more frequent converbial constructions is one of the most characteristic features

of the Korean language. It is by these means the sentence can be continued to any length. So though Korean has no conjunctions, it is rich in other remedies to tie more or less independent phrases closely together, when this joining ('conjunction') is wanted as solemn or rhetorical.

II. The verbal substantives.

Substantives are formed from the verbal stems with various 227 suffixes. Most of the formations now used as verbforms (those with the endings *-la*, *-te*, *-ĕi*, *-ĕa*, etc.) are strictly speaking substantives. Besides these, there are also some not mentioned earlier. The most important of these are:

- 1) the verbal substantive in *-ki* (earlier **-kwi*). The idea of the 228 verb is expressed in the form of a substantive, in other words, this expresses the activity or the quality itself. It is declined regularly and used in all case formations.

N o m i n a t i v e. *hagi ga süipso* 'it is easy to do', *pogi do ėokho mekki do masi isso* 'it is both fine to look at and is tasty to eat', *noŋsa hagi wa kil kombu hagi ga sero tarin geſio (tarinġio)* 'agriculture and literary study differ entirely from one another', *pokĕeŋ hagi man hamjeŋ tā ėuri ida* 'if you will only yield, I give you all', *on gaži iril mal man hagi nje süiwe do hāŋ hagi nje erjeppo* 'all kinds of work are easy to talk about, but it is difficult to do them'.

To stress the opposition the n o m i n a t i v e with following 229 *nje* is often used pleonastically; *ĕokhi nje ėosso* 'as to goodness, it is good'; *ĕokhi nje ėohina* 'it may be good, but . . .'; *kagi nje ka do tora oži nje mot harira* 'as for going, I will go, but I will not return'.

Sometimes this substantive is used with the verb *hada* 'to do', for the sake of emphasis: e.g. *ogi do hago kagi do handa* 'they do both coming and going'.

L o c a t i v e. *nehi kagi na do kageſso* 'with you going, I will 230 also go', *ki sarami him segie orā sal ėul arasso* 'that man with his strength I thought would live long', *i ĕhāk nā pogie nje ĕami ėpso* 'that book, the way I read it, is without interest'.

231 Accusative. The accusative is used as the object of a following verb, but it is also used to introduce a quotation, i.e. in the same way as *converbum citationis* and the word *mari* 'with the words'; *kī i ga mal hagirīl i bjene nīn kkok tīnda go hajetta* 'he said that this time it would come out exactly', *ne ga sul mekko nōrīm hagirīl čoha hani namboŋ io kunža nīn anira* 'as you are fond of drinking and gambling you are a rake and not a gentleman'.

232 Instrumental. The instrumental case of this verbal substantive is used in the various senses in which the instrumental is usually found; the most frequent is perhaps the sense 'on account of'. Specially to be remarked is the use of this case with the verb *čakčeq* *hada* 'to decide for', 'to decide to do': *kī saram mal hagiŋo kīžīmmallo arasso* 'because he told it, I thought it was a false rumour', *i mulgenīl sagiro čakčeq hajesso* 'I have decided to buy this', *isa hagiŋo čakčeq hajessimnikka* 'have you decided to move?'

233 Instrumental-locative in *-killä* is used to express the cause or the purpose. The case ending *-llä* is used in South Korea only appended to the verbal substantive in *-ki*, but in North Korea it is frequently used with many other kinds of nouns; *čabok hagillä jonse hajesso* 'as he confessed, I forgave him', *čal mekkillä te čuesso* 'I gave him more because he ate so well', *sīlhe hagillä kī man tuenne* 'as they did not like it, I stopped' (Cf. N.Kor. *äbillä* 'for the father').

234 2) The verbal substantive in *-m*, *-im*. It expresses either the action or its result, concrete or abstract. Like any other substantive, it is used in all case formations.

E.g. *midimi saraŋ ham man katčhi mot hamnäda* 'faith is not equal to love', *saraŋ hamese te činanan geši epso* 'there is nothing better than love', *kosāŋ hamīl tora poži ani hago* 'without any regard to the hardship involved', *kīre hamīro ire hamīro* 'because of this and because of that', *abažikke ku hame (hamä) čumä ko hasidera* 'asking my father, he promised to give it', 'when I asked my father, he promised to give it'; *sārim, sālmi* 'the life', *almi* 'knowledge', etc.

235 This verbal noun in *-m* is used in official and legislative language as a final verb (§ 139). From such use the present tense in *-mu*

and *-me* have developed (§ 140). With the exclamative particle *-ā* the promissive is obtained (§ 163). With the enclitically affixed *ie* 'having been' and *ie nīn -sje*, the forms in *-mje* and *-mjēn*, *-mjense* are recent shortenings (§§ 199, 200).

The verb *ida* 'to be' has the noun *im*, and here, as in many other forms of this verb, the stem vowel may be lost after a preceding vowel. The form *podam* besides *poda*, *puthem* besides *puthe* and some other deverbal words are to be understood as such contractions. Cf. *kīrem* 'well then, that's that' (< *kīre ham*).

A word *čak* (*čik*) in the sense 'measure', 'size' (cf. Turk. *čaq* 'measure', Mong. *čagla-ši ügei* 'unmeasurable') is joined to this substantive in *-m* and used with the verb *hada*: *pomžik hada* 'to be worthy of seeing', 'to be all right for seeing', *megimžik hada* 'to be eatable'. The use and sense of *-čak* is about the same as that of *man* in *pol man hada*, *megil man hada*. Very often the Koreans use here also formations like *poamžik hada*, *megemžik hada*, without any difference in sense; here, after all, *poam*, *megem* are shortenings of *poa im* and *mege im*.

This noun in *-m* can be used also in compounds: *midim* 'faith', *midimža* 'a believer', *pom* 'the looking', *pomil* 'work to look after', 'an errand'. This *-m* noun of a verb is much used as object to its verb; e.g. *čam čada* 'to sleep', *kkum kkuda* 'to dream', *sarim sāda* 'to live a life', *sallim sallida* 'to feed oneself with', *nōrim nōda* 'to play a play', *korim kōda* 'to ferment, to gather pus', etc.

There are many nouns of this type from verbs which are now obsolete: *arim* 'an armful' (cf. Manch. *alimbi* 'to accept', Turk. *almaq*, 'to take'); *pegim* 'the next' (cf. Turk. *eki* 'two').

As there exists an old denominal suffix *-ak*, *-ek*, words of the type *ččarimak* 'short' are to be referred to this *-m* noun and thereby to primary verbs (*ččariida* 'to be short').

3) The verbal substantive in *-i*. The language has a noun in *-i* from verbal stems both as a substantive and as an adverb. As a substantive it is used of both active and qualitative verbs.

E.g. *nōlda* 'to play', *nōri* or *nōrim* 'a play', *tīlda* 'to enter', *tīri* 'entrance', 'the entering', *tīri hada* 'to enter', 'to do entering', *mōlda*

'to drive', *mōri* 'driving', *mal mōri -kkun* 'a horse driver', 'horse-driving man'; *keṭta* (pf. *keṛeṭta*) 'to walk', *keṛi* 'street, road'; *čapta* 'to catch', *čabi* 'catching', 'capture', 'handle', *kogi čabi -kkun* 'fish-catcher', 'fish-catching man'; *nopṭa* 'to be high', *nophi* 'height'; *manṭha* 'to be many', *manhi* 'multitude', 'many'; *kipta* 'to be deep', *kiphi* 'the depth', 'deeply'; *tatta* 'to close', *taži* 'sliding wall' (*mi-daži* id.), 'the closing'; *tariḍa* 'to be different', *tariṃ*, *talli* 'difference'; *kilda* 'to be long', *kiri* 'length', *kirim*, *kirek*, *kirekēi* 'length', *khogiri* 'the elephant' (*kho* 'the nose'); *khida* 'to be tall', *khii* 'tallness', 'stature'; *pappuda* 'to be pressed for time', *pappi* 'hurry', 'quickly'; *sūipta* 'to be easy', *sūi* (older *sūiwi*) 'easiness', 'easily'; *mugeṭta* 'to be heavy', *muge* (older *mugewi*) 'weight'; *pureṭta* 'to be enviable', *purewe hada* 'to envy', *pure* 'envy, greed'; *algi* 'a wedge' (Tung. *arki-* 'to tighten a boat'); etc.

240 Undoubtedly it is this noun in *-i* which is used as an adverb when the adverb on *-i* is derived from the verb. The qualitative verbs formed with *hada* have their adverb in *hi*. E.g. *ka hada* 'to be possible', *kahi* 'possibly', *kadiḱ hada* 'to be full', *kadiḱhi* 'fully', *kireḱ hada* 'to be so', *kiri* 'in that way', 'so', **eṭta* 'to be how', *eṭēi* 'how', *kaman hada* 'to be quiet', 'to be still', *kamanhi* 'quietly', *pužareṇ hada* 'to be diligent', *pužareṇhi* 'diligently', 'eagerly', etc.

-nhi > *ni*. Here it is to be remarked that *-nhi* is usually pronounced and often also written *-ni*; so one very often meets adverbs where the ending seems to be *-ni* (*kamani*, *pužareṇi*, etc.), but as the corresponding verb contains (*-n* +) *hada*, we have here the same phonetical development as in *mani* 'many', instead of or beside *manhi* from the verb *manṭha* (original *man ḥada*) 'to be an amount', 'to be numerous'.

Here must be mentioned also the adverb and postposition *ēpsi* 'without' from the verb *ēpta* 'to lack', stem *ēps-*.

241 As to the use and sense of the deverbal *-i* formation as an adverb, it is to be mentioned that the converb of the future (with the ending *-ke*) is also used as an adverb, and that a difference in the meaning is felt. While *nophi* 'highly' means 'i n t h e height', *nopke* 'highly' means 'so that it will be high': *sā ga nophi naratta* 'the bird was

flying high', *sā ga nopke naratta* 'the bird flew up high', *manhi meḡeṭta*, 'has much eaten', *mankhe meḡeṭta* 'has eaten a lot', *khige mal handa* 'they are talking loudly (so that there is much noise)', *talli* 'differently', 'in a different way', *tariḡe* 'so that the result is different', *namu ppuregi kiphī tire gasso* 'the root of a tree has entered deep, is deep in the earth', *maktārīl tae kipke tirjesso* 'I pushed my stick deep in the earth'. Although there may be some exceptions in the use of these two adverbs, as a rule the difference exists, and we therefore find, side by side, *katēhi*, *kakke* 'similarly', *ppalli*, *ppariḡe* 'urgently', *pappi*, *pappuge* 'quickly', 'at once', *sūi*, *sūipke* 'easily', *erjei*, *erjepke* 'with difficulty', *nappi*, *nappiḡe* 'badly, basely', *keṭli*, *keirige* 'indolently', *ṭimuri*, *ṭimulge* 'seldom', *kahi*, *kahage* 'possibly', *kadiḱhi*, *kadiḱ hage* 'fully', *hemhi*, *hem hage* 'earnestly', etc.

4) The verbal substantive in *-o*, *-u*. The derived noun in *-o* (when **242** the stem has *a* or *o*), *-u* (when the stem vowel is any other sound) seems in many cases to go back to a formation with a suffix *-ag*, *-eg*, where the consonantal element has developed into a vowel as in the word *namo* 'tree' (earlier *namag*, cf. *namaksin* 'wooden shoes'). From some verbs this noun is quite concrete, e.g. *karo*, N.Kor. *karg-i* 'flour', from the verb *kalda* (: *kara* : *kan*) 'to grind', *čaro* 'handle', N.Kor. *tsarg-i* 'the handle', from the verb *čalda* 'to grip, to sling around'.

Many words of this type are now found used either as adjectives or as adverbs. Some examples may be given: (*tolda* 'to turn around') *toro* 'on the contrary', *toro ie* (written *torohie*) 'on the contrary', (*motta* 'to assemble', 'to collect') *modo* 'all', *to-moži* 'all, together', (*čatta* 'to be frequent') *čažo* 'frequently, often', (v. **ač-* 'to open') *ažo* 'completely', 'entirely', (*matta* 'to meet, to suit, to hit') *mažo* 'opposite, face to face, against', *mažo kada* 'to go to meet', (*nemda* 'to go over, to be too much') *nemu* 'too much, exceedingly', (*turiḍa* 'to circle about, to surround') *turu* 'on all sides, round about', (*māpta* 'to be acrid, peppery') *māu* 'awfully, very, exceedingly', (*kjeupta* 'to be unable to, to lack capacity for') *kjeu*, *kjeu* 'hardly, scarcely, with difficulty', cf. Goldi *keuke* 'hardly', 'not', 'probably not'); (*nilda* 'to increase, to be stretching') *niru* 'continually'; (*milda* 'to shove')

miru 'the space between high and low water mark'; (*parida* 'to be straight') *paro* 'straight, lengthwise, against'; (*tarida* 'to be different') *tarō* 'different, differently'; (*kočhida* 'to make perpendicular') *kočho*, *kočhu* 'upright, perpendicularly'; (*korida* 'to be equal', *koroda* 'to adjust, to make equal') *koro* 'equal, all alike'; (*katta* 'to be in good order', *katčhoda* 'to put in order') *katčho*, *katčhu* 'complete, in order'; etc.

Secondary verb stems.

243 From the primary verbs the language has several kinds of derived verbs, which express the action as either transitive, passive or inchoative. Some forms of such secondary verbs have been so frequently used for the sake of politeness that they now belong to the paradigm of the primary verb. The most used derivatives are: 1) *-b-*, a suffix which from the beginning has had the sense of the passive; it is to be found also in the form of *-bu-*, *-bi-*.

E.g. primary *us-*: *utta* (pf. *ušetta*) 'laughs', ('has laughed'), *uṣim*, (*uim*) 'laughter', but secondary **uṣip-* in the verb *uṣipta* (pf. *uṣiweṭta*) 'is ridiculous ('was ridiculous'), *uṣium* 'ridiculousness'.

primary **kis-* 'to be happy', *kippu-* (*kippi-*) 'to be glad', *kikkēpta* (orig. *kispu-*, *kiskēb-*) 'to be happy, to be glad', *kikkēweṭta* 'was happy', *kikkeum* 'happiness', *kikkeun* 'happy'.

primary *mit-*: *mitta* (pf. *midēṭta*) 'to believe', *midim* 'belief', *midipta*, *mippuda* 'to be trustworthy', *mippum* 'reliability', 'trustworthiness'.

primary *sjelh-* 'to feel sorrow', *sjerhim* 'sorrow, sadness', *sjelpta* (: *sjēlphe* or *sjēlwe* : *sjēlphin*) 'to be deplorable'.

primary *alh-* 'to cause pain, to be sick', 'to have pain', *ari* (*arhi*), *arhim* 'pain, sickness', secondary **alph-*: *aphuda*, *apha*, *aphun* 'to be in pain', *apphum*, *aphim* 'pain'.

primary *nač-* 'to be low', secondary *nappida*, *nappuda* 'to be base, to be contemptible', *nappum* 'baseness', *näppi* 'basely'.

Secondary *pappuda* (: *pappa* : *pappun*) 'to be in a hurry, to be pressed', *pappum*, *pappi* 'hurry', derived from a primary **pas-*, (cf. Turk. *bas-* 'to press' and Korean (**pasčak* >) *patčak* 'tightly, as squeezing, exceedingly').

The secondary verb of this type, for instance *ipta*, *isipta*, *hapta*, 244 *megipta*, etc., is not used in the declarative, but its converbum perfecti (**iwe*, **isiwe*, **hawa*, **megiwe*), developed to *io* (*iu*), *isso* (*issu*), *hao*, *megio* (N.Kor. *megiu*), has entered the conjugation of the primary verb as a polite form and is as such used with the same function as the declarative, volitive and interrogative. That the passive is more polite than the active is easy to understand. Besides the converb on *-o* the participles are also largely used, and it is more polite to say *haor ida* than *har ida*, *haon ida* than *han ida*, *hamnan ida* than *hanan ida*. This *hamnan ida* is the same as the literary *hamnaida* and pronounced *hamnüda*, and *hamnan i ida* has given *hamnida* 'does, says'. Thus the origin of most of the polite expressions goes back to the use of such passives on *-b-*.

But it was not enough with the use of passive stems only. Other secondary stems have also been used for the sake of politeness. Such are the stems in *-sa-* (*-si-*) and *-si-* (see below).

Special mention may be made of the verbs with the ending 245 *-kepta*, *-ropta*, which are qualitative verbs and are derived as passives from earlier verbs in *-k-* (*-ki-*, *-ke-*) and *-ra-* (or *-r-*, *-l-*).

E.g. *kikkēpta* 'to be glad', *kikkēum* (Nor.Kor. *kikkēbum*) 'joy', *kikke* (N.Kor. *kikkebi*) 'joy, gladly', cf. *kippuda* 'to be glad' and the primary **kis-* 'to be glad' found in old documents; *mugepta* 'to be heavy', *mugeum* 'heaviness', 'weight', *muge* 'weight' (earlier *mugewi*, N.Kor. *mugebi*), cf. *musik hada* 'to be heavy'; *ēlgepta* 'to rejoice', *ēlgewe hada* 'to show one's happiness', from *ēlīgida* 'to rejoice, to be fond of'; *pangapta*, *pangida* 'to be happy'; *ēlgepta*, *ēlīgida* 'to be lasting, to be durable', etc.

The ending *-ropta*, *-repta* is found joined to nouns.

E.g. *hā* 'damage', *hāropta* 'to be injurious', *sā* 'new', *sāropta* 'to be new, to start again', *we* (written *oi*) 'single', *weropta* 'to be an orphan, to be single', etc. Here the passive formation is certainly derived from the verb stem (*haira-*, *saira-*, *oira-*, or something like that), because there must have been a denominal verb stem from which these qualitative verbs are derived.

2) *-na-*, *-ni-*. This suffix is after all a very old element **-nu-*, **-ni-* 246
9

for inchoative derivations. There existed a verb *hāda* 'to be big', found in *hār-abi* 'grandfather', *halmi*, *hān-emi* 'grandmother', and many other old compositions; from this verb the language has *hāngl* 'heaven', originally 'the extending'. Besides, many of the most used qualitative verbs which, as explained earlier, have no present participle, nevertheless have forms exactly similar but explained as perfect participles from a secondary verb in *-nada*.

E.g. *khida* 'to be big', *khin* 'big', *khinqda* 'to get larger', *khinqn* 'growing big'; *kilda* 'to be long', *kin* 'long', *kingda* 'to extend', *kingn* 'growing long'. In old documents one can find many indications of the past existence of such a secondary verb.

E.g. *insāñä jehim ie modomi innada* (< *ising-*) 'in human life, if there is parting, there is also the meeting again'. Here we have a verb in *-na-* in the sense of 'to be, to be in the future'.

It is from this secondary verb or strictly speaking from its perfect participle that the language has obtained the ending *-nan*, *-nin* of the present participle of the active verbs. And here we have also to register the imperative *ongra* (*onera*) 'come here!'

247 From this same verb stem with the ending *-ng-* the passive stem has been *-ngb-*, which is lost with some few exceptions. The perfect participle in *-nōn* (earlier written *-ngbun*, *-ngon*) is used with the noun *-i*, i.e. in the form we call *converbum premissi*, of some few verbs, *nä ga paranōni* 'as I hope', 'as I dare to hope', *sāngak hanōni* 'as I dare to imagine'. The perfect converb is used with the particle *-ra* as the final word of a sentence. E.g. *osigiril parānōra* 'I hope for your august coming'. These endings *-nōni*, *-nōra* (for earlier *-naon -i* and *-nao-ra*) are still very much used in letters, but are seldom heard or perhaps not at all used in daily speech.

248 3) *-sq-*, *-si-*. It is somewhat difficult to say what modification of the sense of the primary stem this suffix has been the expression for. It is evident that it has very early been used in expressions with a shade of politeness or reverence. E.g. *karqadä* 'in saying', *karasqadä* 'in saying' (used when speaking of important persons),

hao and *haso* (earlier *hawa* and *hasawa*) 'doing', 'saying'. We find this stem formation in *mutēapta* 'to ask respectfully' (**mut-sa-b-*) from *mutta* (: *mure*) 'to ask', and in some other verbs of this type. Later on the secondary verb in *-sq-*, *-si-* has been used perhaps most frequently when the verb was qualitative. E.g. *kipta* 'to be deep', *kiphio* (earlier *kiphiwe*) and *kipso* (*kipsiwe*), N.Kor. *kipsu* 'being deep'. From this variation *kiphio* ~ *kipso* *megio* ~ *mekso* the ending *-so* seems to predominate over the ending *-io*. It is now almost the rule to form these polite forms with the ending *-o* when the verb has a vowel stem, and with *-so*, if the stem ends in a consonant; thus *poo*, *hao*, *io*, *ēuo*, etc., but *mekso*, *tisso*, *kipso*, *čapso*, *pulkso*, etc., while forms like *poso*, *hao*, *iso*, *čuso*, etc. are rarely heard and *megio*, *tirio*, *kiphio*, *čabio*, *pulgio* seem to give way for *mekso*, *tisso*, etc.

4) *-si-*. This ending may have been the *-i-* factitive of the preceding 249 *-sq-*, *-si-*, and means now something like 'to deign to'. While the polite forms which have been treated earlier are used in the presence of persons to be honoured, and without regard to what or who is the subject of the sentence, the verb stem in *-si-* is always used when it is a question of the action of an honoured person himself. E.g. *ogi* 'the coming, the arrival', *osigi* '(the august person's) deigning to arrive', *nä ga wasso* 'I came', *čē ogesso* 'he will come', *erusinne ga osjesso* 'my father has come', *osigesso* 'he will come', *erunne* 'an old man', *erusinne* 'the honoured old man', 'my father', *erunne-da* (*da* for *ida*) 'it is the old man', 'it is the father', *erusinne-sida* (for *isida*) 'it is my honoured father'.

From all kinds of verbs one can find such secondary verbs, and in some grammars it is said that *-si-* is an 'infix' for showing politeness. But this language has no infixes at all, and the difference between for instance *erunne-da* and *erusinne-sida*, *hada* and *hasida*, *mekta* and *meksida*, *han* and *hasin*, *in* and *isin*, etc. depends on the existence of such an old type of secondary verbs.

At least in South Korea the women, instead of using forms like *mekso* 'eats', *hao* 'does', very often use *meksjo*, *hasjo* etc., where

the contraction of *meksio*, *hasio* is evident. Such forms are used when addressing a man and include a polite »you» as the doer.

250 This same old combination of the suffix *-si-* and the suffix *-b-* is found in that polite form among the volitive formations which is called the benedictive. E.g. *päksjeñil phjeñan hage hasjosje* '(O Lord,) give peace to Thy people'. Here the origin is the perfect converb from the stem in *-s-ib-* with *sje* added. Instead of *-siosje* as highest forms for invocation, the optative of the same polite stem is also used; e.g. *phjeñan hage hasipse* 'please give, mayst Thou give peace', *čuojsiosje*, *čuojsipse* 'give thou', 'grant thou', 'deign to grant'.

251 The Koreans seem to be very eager to accumulate their politeness, as is seen in the variants, e.g. (from *čuda* derived) *čusida*, **čupta*, **čuopta* (earlier *čusapta*), *čupsida*, *čuojsida* and (in *čuojsiosje*) **čuojsipta*.

252 From the verb *ida* the derived *isida* has escaped this tendency for politeness and remained as the present »straight» verb *itta*, *isse*, *issin*, with the older forms *isje* and *isin*, *issin*. It signifies 'to exist, to be in existence'. The old converb *isje* has been used as a kind of divider of phrases, and, losing its stem vowel, taken over a special function as the present essive particle *sje*. This particle has been mentioned above, in the explanation of the declension of nouns (*čibe* 'in the house', *čibesje* 'as to being in the house, from the being in the house, from the house', *saramiŕo* 'towards the man', *saramiŕosje* 'as to the man', 'from being the talked-about man', *seul* 'the capital', *seulsje* 'concerning Seul', 'as to Seul') and also in the chapter on converbs, among which that in *-ko* (converbum presentis), that in *-a*, *-e* (converbum perfecti) and the formation on *-mjen* (cv. conditionale) are very often used with this particle.

Speaking politely the straight verb *itta* is substituted by *kesida*, written *kjeisida*, original *kjei isida*, with the sense 'to dwell', 'to remain'. 'to have the opportunity to exist'.

253 The word *siri*, used after the converbum futuri (e.g. *hage siri*), is another old form of the verb *isida*, which is used in a specialised sense.

The factitive..

We have divided the Korean verbs into two classes, the qualitative **254** verbs and the verbs of action. From both kinds of verb is derived a secondary stem, which we will call the factitive. If the verb is qualitative, e.g. *marida* 'to be dry', *meŕda* 'to be far', *tepta* 'to be warm', etc., the factitive has the sense of the corresponding transitive, e.g. *mallida* 'to dry', *mellida* 'to enlarge the distance', *teda* (**tewida*) 'to warm', etc. If the verb has a transitive sense, the factitive is either the corresponding passive or the causative. UNDERWOOD says in his grammar, as also other grammarians have said, that the Korean verbs have three distinct voices, active, causative and passive. But as there is no grammatical nor phonetic difference between the causative and this kind of passive, the causative and passive really are one, although they may be translated either way, e.g. *poda* 'to see' (active), *poida* 'to have it seeing', 'to show, to let see something' (causative), 'to let one see, to appear' (passive).

As to the ending, there is a strange instability, and we have most probably three different suffixes:

- 1) *-i-*, *-gi-*, *-hi-*,
- 2) *-u-*, *-gu-*, *-hu-*,
- 3) *-čhi-*, original *-thi-*, N.Kor. *-thi-*.

The factitives are therefore to be learnt in each case separately, **255** both as to the form and to the sense. As a general rule, however, a factitive from a verb on a vowel stem has the suffix *-u-* and those with a consonant stem the suffix *-i-*. There are many verbs which historically are such factitives in *-i-* without a shorter variant. E.g. *sugida* 'to kneel', *kidgrida*, N. Kor. *kidgrguda* 'to wait for', *parida* 'to let go, to throw away, to lose', *purida* 'to act, to exhibit', *kītčhida* 'to end, to finish' (cf. *kkīt* 'the end').

Some examples:

A. verbs with vowel stem	the factitive
<i>poda</i> 'to see'	<i>poida</i> , <i>pōda</i> 'to be seen', 'to show', <i>pōida</i> , <i>pōuda</i> 'to show'

<i>sēda</i> 'to stay'	<i>seda, seuda</i> 'to erect'
<i>nada</i> 'to go out'	(<i>naida</i>) <i>nāda</i> 'to give out, to drive out'
<i>seda</i> 'to be strong'	<i>seuda</i> 'to strengthen'
<i>phida</i> 'to blossom'	<i>phiuda, phiida</i> 'to make blossom'
<i>ppada</i> 'to fall out'	<i>ppāda</i> 'to take out', 'to take away'
<i>ssida</i> 'to use', 'to write'	<i>ssiuda</i> 'to make spend', 'to dictate'
<i>oruda</i> 'to ascend'	<i>ollida</i> 'to lift up, to offer'
<i>purida</i> 'to call'	<i>pullida</i> 'to be called'
<i>hīrida</i> 'to flow'	<i>hillida</i> 'to pour out'
<i>murida</i> 'to step aside'	<i>mullida</i> 'to postpone, to expel'
<i>murida</i> 'to be watery, to be soft'	<i>mullida</i> 'to make soft'
<i>aorida</i> 'to unite' (v. intr.)	<i>aollida</i> 'to join, to collect'

B. verbs with consonant stem

the factitive

<i>ēlda</i> 'to freeze' (v. intr.)	<i>ellida, elguda</i> 'to freeze' (v. tr.)
<i>alda</i> 'to know'	<i>allida</i> , N.Kor. <i>arguda</i> 'to inform'
<i>salda</i> 'to live'	<i>sallida</i> , N.Kor. <i>sarguda</i> 'to save, keep up life'
<i>pilda</i> 'to pray, to beg, to loan'	<i>pillida</i> 'to lend'
<i>mulda</i> 'to bite'	<i>mullida</i> 'to be bitten'
<i>nelda</i> 'to be broad'	<i>nellida</i> 'to broaden'
<i>tilda</i> 'to enter'	<i>tirida</i> 'to send in, to offer'
<i>nilda</i> 'to be elastic'	<i>nirida</i> 'to draw out'
<i>mōlda</i> 'to drive'	<i>mollida</i> 'to be chased back'
<i>thilda</i> 'to twist'	<i>thillida</i> 'to be twisted'
<i>hēlda</i> 'to pull down'	<i>hellida, helēhida</i> 'to make over-turan' or 'to be pulled down'
<i>tolda</i> 'to turn back'	<i>tollida, tolguda, tolēhida</i> 'to turn something round'
<i>titta</i> 'to hear'	<i>tillida, tikkida</i> 'to be heard, to let hear'
<i>tatta</i> 'to run'	<i>tallida</i> 'to gallop (a horse)'
	<i>tatēhida</i> 'to run against'
<i>ketta</i> 'to walk'	<i>kellida</i> 'to lead', <i>ketēhida</i> 'to trip'

<i>tiļltha</i> 'to bore through'	<i>tiļlida</i> 'to get holes'
<i>koltha</i> 'to grow less'	<i>kollida</i> 'to take the strength of'
<i>nottha</i> 'to set free'	<i>notēhida</i> 'to miss, to allow to escape'
<i>kiltha</i> 'to be boiling'	<i>killida</i> 'to prepare food'
<i>kkintha</i> 'to break, to cut'	<i>kkinēhida</i> 'to be interrupted', 'to be cut off'
<i>tepta</i> 'to be warm'	(<i>tewida</i>) <i>teda</i> 'to warm'
<i>kakkapta</i> 'to be near'	(<i>kakkawida</i>) <i>kakkaida</i> 'to bring near'
<i>ežirepta</i> 'to be confused'	<i>ežireida</i> 'to cause confusion'
<i>čopta</i> 'to be narrow'	<i>čophida</i> 'to narrow down'
	<i>čopēhida</i> 'to make narrow'
<i>ssēkta</i> 'to rot'	<i>ssegida</i> 'to cause to rot'
<i>nokta</i> 'to melt' (v. intr.)	<i>nogida</i> 'to melt' (v. tr.)
<i>sokta</i> 'to be deceived, to fall in a trap'	<i>sogida</i> 'to deceive'
<i>mēkta</i> 'to eat'	<i>megida</i> 'to feed'
<i>sēkta</i> 'to mix'	<i>sēkkida</i> 'to be mixed'
<i>pakta</i> 'to fix'	<i>pakhida</i> 'to be fixed'
	<i>pagida</i> 'to be stuck in'
<i>nikta</i> 'to be ripe'	<i>nikhida</i> 'to cook through'
<i>makta</i> 'to stop'	<i>makhida</i> 'to be stopped'
<i>mutta</i> 'to bury, to inter'	<i>mutēhida</i> 'to be buried'
<i>kotta</i> 'to be straight'	<i>kotēhida</i> 'to repair'
<i>patta</i> 'to receive'	<i>patēhida</i> 'to give'
<i>putta</i> 'to pass by'	<i>putēhida</i> 'to lay by'
<i>hētta</i> 'to be dishevelled'	<i>hetēhida</i> 'to scatter'
<i>holtta</i> 'to rub, smooth' (<i>holth-</i>)	<i>holtēhida</i> 'to rub with an instrument'
<i>utta</i> 'to laugh'	<i>ukkida</i> 'to cause laughter'
<i>ppäatta</i> 'to take by force'	<i>ppäakkida</i> 'to be robbed'
<i>pitta</i> 'to comb'	<i>pikkida</i> 'to be combed'
	<i>piēhida</i> 'to comb with something'
<i>ssitta</i> 'to wash'	<i>ssikkida</i> 'to be washed'

<i>peṭta</i> 'to undress'	<i>ssitēhida</i> 'to clean with something'
<i>ēapta</i> 'to catch'	<i>pekkida</i> 'to undress another'
<i>kopta</i> 'to be crooked'	<i>ēaphida</i> 'to get caught'
<i>nopta</i> 'to be high'	<i>kopēhida</i> 'to bend'
<i>palpta</i> 'to step on'	<i>nophida</i> 'to elevate'
<i>neḷpta</i> 'to be broad'	<i>palphida</i> 'to be trodden on'
<i>niṭta</i> 'to be late'	<i>neḷphida</i> 'to broaden'
	<i>niṭēhida, niṭēhuda</i> 'to slacken down'
<i>kkotta</i> 'to drive in'	<i>kkotēhida</i> 'to be driven in'
<i>ēčotta</i> 'to frighten away'	<i>ēčokkida</i> 'to be driven away'
<i>antta</i> 'to sit'	<i>antēhida</i> 'to place down'
<i>sinda</i> 'to put on one's shoes'	<i>siṅgida</i> 'to shoe'
<i>naṃda</i> 'to be more'	<i>naṅgida</i> 'to add'
<i>ēgmda</i> 'to dive'	<i>ēgamgida</i> 'to be submerged'
<i>kamda</i> 'to wind'	<i>kamgida</i> 'to coil round'
	<i>kamēhida</i> 'to turn back'
<i>kamda</i> 'to take a bath'	<i>kamgida</i> 'to give a bath'
<i>olmda</i> 'to move oneself'	<i>omgida</i> 'to transport'
<i>kolmda</i> 'to get boils'	<i>komgida</i> 'to form a boil'
<i>kulmda</i> 'to be hungry'	<i>kumgida</i> 'to leave unfed'

256 The endings *-gi-* and *-gu-* seem at some period of development to have given *-hi-* and *-hu-*, and this *-hi-*, *-hu-* seems to have lost the *h* sound, but as this suffix has been a living element in the language new variants have appeared by analogy. If we find with the verb *alda* such factitives as *aroida* (< *algwi-*) 'to inform', *arida*, N. Kor. *arguda* and South Kor. *allida* 'to let know', of these the last two may be more recent and *aroida*, *arida* perhaps older, as is testified by the Goldi verb *alo-*, *alosi-* 'to teach'. It is not clear whether *-(g)i-* and *-(g)u-* from the beginning were two different suffixes or only variants of some older suffix type. But it is clear that the N.Kor. suffix **-thi-*, used in the forming of causatives, is a old suffix by itself, and corresponds to Turk. *-t-*, Mong. *-či-*, Tung. *-ti-*, *-či-*, the ending for transferred or transitive action.

As already said under the converbum futuri, the Koreans use 257 also a periphrastic combination of *-ke* and *hada* 'to do', 'to make', when the sense is causative, and *-ke* and *tōida* 'to become', 'to turn out as', when the sense is passive, e.g. *nopta* 'to be high', *nophida* or *nopke hada* 'to make high', 'to elevate', *palpta* 'to step on', *palphida* or *palpke hada* 'to have someone to step on', *palphida* or *palpke tōida* 'to be stepped on', *mekta* 'to eat', *megida* or *mekke hada* 'to have someone to eat', *megida* or *mekke tōida* 'to be eaten'. The periphrase is always used when the factitive is not quite clear either as to its building or its sense. Of some verbs the factitive is no longer used at all; on the contrary there are many verbs which are seemingly factitives but are probably formed from nouns, e.g. *kugida* 'to call (as mountaineers at night)', from *kuk* 'the call of a falconer' (cf. Tung. *ku-ni-m* 'to call by whistling').

Other deverbal verbs.

There are a whole group of verbs which have been used only 258 in the perfect converb and show a stem in secondary *-il-*, *-ir-*, which seems to have been an ending for intransitive (neuter, medium) verbs. Such forms are used with following *ēida* 'to come to, to become' (N.Kor. *dida*). The corresponding transitive is formed either by the same intransitive form and the verb *ēhida* (N.Kor. *thida*) 'to beat, to hit', or *therida* (which is not used separately), or by a verb stem with the ending *-ri-*, which is possibly the factitive in *-i-* from this verb stem in *-il-*. E.g.:

ukta 'to be bent in, to be battered', *uge žida* 'to get battered', *ugire žida* id., *ugida* 'to batter in', *ugirida*, *ugire-ēhida*, *ugire-therida* 'to batter'.

puse-žida 'to break, to be in pieces', *puse-ēhida*, *puse-therida* 'to break something', *pusire-žida* 'to fall in pieces', *pusire-ēhida*, *pusire-therida*, *pusirida* 'to break in pieces'.

ese žida, *esire žida* 'to be droll', from *etta* (*ese*, *esin*) 'to be sideways'.

nukta 'to be slack, loose', *nuge žida*, *nugire žida* 'to become loose, to slacken down', *nugire-ēhida*, *nugirida*, *nugida* 'to unharden, to loosen'.

neľpta 'to be broad, to be flat', *neľbe žida*, *neľbiře žida* 'to become flatter', *neľbiře čhida*, *neľbiře therida*, *neľbiřida* 'to make broader, to flatten' (cf. *neľčhi* < *neľpčhi* 'the sole fish').

ep̄ta 'to turn upside down', *ep̄he žida*, *ep̄hiře žida* 'to fall upside down', *ep̄čhida*, *ep̄hiře čhida*, *ep̄hiře therida*, *ep̄hiřida* 'to bend forward down', *ep̄hiře therida*, *ep̄tiřida* 'to bend down'.

neľmda 'to be over', *neľme* 'over, beyond', *neľme žida*, *neľmiře žida* 'to tumble over', *neľme čhida*, *neľme therida*, *neľmiře therida* 'to knock over', *neľmčhida* 'to run over, to transgress', *neľmniřida* 'to be too pretentious.'

peľta 'to take off the clothes', *peľse žida*, *peľsiře žida* 'to be stripped'.
sukta 'to be bent', *suge žida*, *sugire žida* 'to become bend, to bend oneself', *sugida* 'to bend forward', *sugire therida* id.

kupta 'to be crooked', *kube žida*, *kubiře žida* 'to be bowed or bent',
kuphida, *kubiře čhida*, *kubiřida*, *kuphiřida* 'to bend forward'.

naľta 'to be low', *naľže žida* 'to be lower', *naľžiři negida* 'to look on with contempt'.

- 259 The suffix *-r-* can also be traced elsewhere, for instance in the verb *phuriřa* 'to be green', *phuriřin* 'green', *phuriřin* 'greenish', from *phul* 'grass', *kirię hada* 'to be so', *iřię hada* 'to be as this', *čerię hada* 'to be as that (there)', from the pronouns *ki*, *i*, *čę*.

Denominal verbs.

- 260 In many cases one notes an etymological connection between a verb and a noun when these have a somewhat similar sense. Mostly it is the noun which is shorter and therefore probably nearer the original. The element which could be called a suffix or root determinative is, however, difficult to explain, both as to its form and its meaning.

The following few examples are illustrative of this. E.g.:

(suffix *k*) *mul* 'water', *mulkta* 'to be watery', *ol* 'threads', *olkta* 'to tie'.
pul 'fire', *pulkta* 'to be red',

**kul* (cf. Turk. *kür* 'fatbodied, thick', Mong. *küriji-* 'to be thick', Tung. *kurbę-* 'to swell'), *kulkta* 'to be voluminous',
pure žida 'to fall in pieces', *pulge žida* idem.

(suffix *p*) *neľda* 'to spread out', *neľpta* 'to be broad',
pal 'foot', *palpta* 'trample, tread on',

(suffix *u*) *mul* 'water', *muřida*, *muruda* 'to be watery',
phul 'grass', *phuruda* (*phurulda*) 'to be green',

(suffix *a*) *čal* 'well, enough', *čarada* 'to be sufficient', *možarada* 'to be insufficient',

(suffix *i*) *ča* 'foot (measure)', *čāda* 'to measure the length',
ma 'a mill', *māda* 'to grind',

hā 'day, sun', *hāje hada* 'to be white', *hiřida* 'to be white, clear',

tūi 'behind, after', *tuida* 'to chase, hunt',

pā 'stomach', *pāda* 'to conceive',

tiři 'belt', *tiřida* 'to tie on a girdle'.

Derivations of this kind are inherited from past periods of the 261 language, but there are some few endings which are used as living material for building new words. These endings are:

- 1) *-ropta*, *-repta* (see p. 245),
- 2) *-siřopta*, *-siřepta* gives qualitative verbs with a sense 'to be worthy of', 'to be apt to', e.g.

isaņ 'wonder', *isaņsiřepta* 'to be wonderful',

sosa 'tricks', *sosaņsiřepta* 'to be cunning',

teķ 'virtue', *teķsiřepta* 'to be virtuous',

kkoī 'stratagem', *kkoīsiřepta* 'to be wily',

ssaņ 'multitude', *ssaņsiřepta* 'to be vulgar',

saram 'men', *saramsiřepta* 'to be human',

saraņ 'love', *saraņsiřepta* 'to be lovely', *saraņ hada* 'to love',

pulkta 'to be red', *pulgiřiřepta* 'to be reddish',

hiřida 'to be white', *hiřiřiřepta* 'to be whitish'.

To the verb in *-siřepta* corresponds a noun with the ending *-siřem* (for **-siřem?*). and this is used with the verb *hada* as a qualitative expression with the same sense, e.g. *isaņsiřepta* = *isaņsiřem hada*, *sosaņsiřepta* = *sosaņsiřem hada*, *pulgiřiřepta* = *pulgiřiřem hada*. etc.

- 3) *-tapta* 'to be like, to be of the nature of', e.g.

saramdapta 'to be almost human',

aran 'beauty'(?), *aranɔapta* 'to be beautiful',
 (n)*je* 'the rules of courteousness', *njedapta* 'to be polite',
ɛinsil 'truth', *ɛinsildapta* 'to be reliable',
 (n)*janban* 'gentleman', (n)*janmandapta* 'to be noble, gentlemanly',
saran 'love', *saranɔapta* 'to be amiable'.

263 4) *-kerida*, *-kida*. This ending is found used after all kinds of onomatopoeic words expressing movements or sounds. This ending has the same function as the following

264 5) *-täda* (probably **-tajida*? or perhaps **-tanida*). Both are used after onomatopoeic words without any special stress on the ending and with the onomatopoeic word used only once and stressed. As well as this, the onomatopoeic word is also used twice and then the verb is obtained by using *hada* 'to do'. Some few examples among hundreds:

tɪlsɛŋgida, *tɪlsɛŋgerida*, *tɪlsɛŋdäda*, *tɪlsɛŋ tɪlsɛŋ hada* 'to be unsettled'
sugɪŋgida, *sugɪŋgerida*, *sugɪndäda*, *sugɪn sugɪn hada* 'to whisper',
suktegida, *suktegerida*, *suktektäda*, *sukteɣ sukteɣ hada* 'to hold secret councils',

phallɛŋgida, *phallɛŋgerida*, *phallɛndäda*, *phallɛn phallɛn hada* 'to flutter',

phullɛŋgida, *phullɛŋgerida*, *phullɛndäda*, *p. p. hada* 'to rattle',

allɪŋgida, *allɪŋgerida*, *allɪndäda*, *a. a. hada* 'to skip for joy',

sillukkida, *sillukkerida*, *silluktäda*, *s. s. hada* 'to be unsteady',

ɛɛbegida, *ɛɛbegegerida*, *ɛɛbeɣtäda*, *ɛ. ɛ. hada* 'to grate (as shoes on sand)',

tɪlkhɪŋgida, *tɪlkhɪŋgerida*, *tɪlkhɪndäda*, *tɪlkhɪn tɪlkhɪn hada* 'to shake, to rock', 'to scold',

ɛalɣɪŋgida, *ɛalɣɪŋgerida* -*däda*, *ɛ. ɛ. hada* 'to gnaw loudly',

ɛɛalkkakkida, *ɛɛalkkakkerida*, *-täda*, *ɛɛ. ɛɛ. hada* 'to spank',

tɪŋssalgida, *tɪŋssalgerida*, *tɪŋssaldäda* 'to annoy, to pester'.

Notes about the use of some of the verbs.

265 Among the most used verbs there are some which must be mentioned separately, either because of their form or because of some unexpected variation in the sense.

First of all the three verbs *ida* 'to be so and so', *isida* 'to be, to exist' and *hada* 'to do, to say, to be', which are so frequently used that they all merit the name of auxiliary verbs.

1) *ida*. It has already been mentioned (§§ 193, 223) that since the 266 oldest times the originally short stem vowel is often omitted after a preceding vowel. We therefore find in this combination only the verbal endings, which has given the impression that nouns or any other word can be conjugated; e.g. (*so* 'ox, cow') *so-da* 'it is an ox' *so-de*, *so-dera* 'it was an ox', *so-de-n goro* 'because it was an ox', *so-deŋ-ži mar idɛŋ-ži* 'it may be either an ox or a horse', *ol-se* 'opportunity to come', *olseda* 'he comes' ('it is his opportunity to come'), *ol jɛ-n ži > ollɪŋži* 'whether he might come', *hajɛkk e* 'in order to have done', *hajɛkke-n manɪn* 'was to have done, but . . .'; **im ije nɪn* 'if it is': *so-m-jɛ-n*, *somjɛn* 'if it is an ox', *so-da ga > sodaga* 'while it is an ox', *io* (politely said) 'is', *saram io* 'it is a man', *mɛkke jo* 'it is to eat', *mɛkɛi-o* 'eats', I think' (orig. *mɛkɛi io*); *mege jo* 'eats', *ka jo* 'goes' (*jo < io* 'is').

This capacity of the verb *ida* to lose its *i-* and sometimes to change it to *-j-* is one of the traits of the Korean language which have been difficult to understand and have therefore been misinterpreted. Besides this, it is also to be noted that the ending *-ke* with *in*, *idɛ*, etc. has been shortened to *-kɛn*, *-kɛde*, etc.; *mɛkte-n pap* 'the food one then ate', *mɛkkɛdeŋ* 'if I should eat', *mɛkkɛn pap* 'the food that was for eating'.

2) *itta*. This verb joined to the perfect and future converbs forms 267 the compound tenses and also loses its stem vowel, e.g. *itta* 'to be', *isse-tta* 'has been', *ikke-tta* 'will be', *ikke-sse-tta* 'was to be', etc. This loss of the stem vowel is also found elsewhere when the verb *itta* has been unstressed e.g. *orä* 'long ago', *orä-tta*, *orä-sso* 'it is long ago'. See also the particle *-se*, *-sje* for original *isje* (§ 252), the particle *siri* after the future converb (§ 253).

3) *hada*. This is the most used verb in the whole language, since, 268 strangely enough, it has been used in both active, intransitive and qualitative senses. Its translation as 'to do' is not wide

enough. Like its phonetic equivalent in Manchu (*se-mbi* 'to mean, to do') and in Japanese (*suru* 'to be, to say, to do' [cf. Korean *mal hada* (< **mal-sada*) with *malsam* (< **mal-sam*) 'a word, an utterance'], it is, the 'verb in the most extensive sense', wider than the English 'to do' («I do not go», «do you say?», «how do you do?»). After nouns it is a verb expressing either the quality ('to be...') or, if an active sense is intended, the transitive verb ('to do'). In this latter case the noun may be used with the accusative ending if a special, not a general object, is meant. With the perfect converb of a qualitative verb, *hada* expresses 'to think it...' (*čoha hada* 'to think it good', 'to approve', *ak haje hada* 'to think it bad', 'to disapprove'; also: *kkama hada*, *kkamatha* 'to be quite dark', etc.). With the future converb *hada* means 'to make' (*čokhe hada* 'to make it good', 'to improve', 'to repair', *mekke hada* 'to feed', 'to compel to eat'). With the noun *man* 'amount' has been formed *man hada*, now *mantha* 'to be many', and there are many other verbs which seem to be old contractions with *hada*. Of the negative particles *mot* 'not, not possible', *an*, *ani* 'not, unwillingly', *mot hada*, *ani hada*, are used like the English 'do not' as a negative auxiliary; *mekči mot handa* 'I don't eat', *mekči ani handa* 'I do not wish to eat', besides *mot meṇṇinda*, *ani meṇṇinda*. Of these, *ani hada* is usually shortened to *antha*, *ani hade* to *anthe*, *ani hago* to *ankho*, *ani hage* to *ankhe*, but *ani han* to *anin*, *ani haje* to *anāi*, etc. As the *h* sound is very weak, if pronounced at all, the stem of the verb *hada* disappears, leaving only the endings on all occasions where the preceding vowel is a long *ā*, *ē*. This is the usual habit of this verb if it stands after another verb and has the sense 'to mean, to say, to think' or if it is equal to *mal hada* 'to say, to utter' (*mal* 'word, speech'). E.g. *megillā hada*, *megillāda* 'to mean to eat, to want to eat', *megirā hada*, *megirāda* 'to say: eat!', *megimā hada*, *megimāda* 'to promise to eat', *megimā haži ani hada*, *megimažantha* 'not to promise to eat', *mekčā hada* 'to say: let's eat', *mekčāda*, *mekčāžantha*, etc.; *kire hada* 'to be so', *kirehan*, *kiren* 'such', *ette hada*, *ette han*, *etten* 'which, what kind of'.

It is sometimes difficult to decide whether it is the verb *hada*, 'to do, to be', or *ida* 'to be', which has given the verbal ending found joined to some otherwise clear forms; *poda*, *podam* (*poda im* or *poda ham?*), *čhere* 'like, similar to' and *čherem* idem, *puthe*, *puthem*, 'from'.

Among other verbs there are some which are used more or less pleonastically to express some special side of the action. While the three above are auxiliary verbs these could be called modifying verbs. We mention only some of them and without any pretence to fullness or exactitude. Such are for instance:

- 4) *čida* (*žida*), N.Kor. *tida* (*dida*) 'to become'. This verb is used 269 after nouns, but most frequently after verbs in the perfect converb, to express an intransitive and inchoative verb. It is so frequent that of some verbs only this composition with *žida* is used, e.g. *čappa žida* 'to fall backwards', *utere žida* 'to fall down', *pure žida* 'to be broken'. These three are used without the declarative forms, which should be ****čappida*, *ttelda*, *putta* (or *pulda?*). From intransitive verbs a verb in the sense »to be more and more« is obtained.

<i>karida</i> 'to divide'	<i>kalla žida</i> 'to be divided, split'
<i>thida</i> 'to burst'	<i>the žida</i> 'to burst',
<i>kkakta</i> 'to break' (tr.)	<i>kkkke žida</i> 'to become broken, be broken'
<i>ępta</i> 'to turn down'	<i>ęphe žida</i> 'to fall upside down'
<i>čelmda</i> 'to be young'	<i>čelme žida</i> 'to become younger'
<i>mulкта</i> 'to be watery'	<i>mulge žida</i> 'to become thinner'
<i>pokta</i> 'to roast, to parch'	<i>pokka žida</i> 'to be roasted'
<i>salmda</i> 'to cook'	<i>salma žida</i> 'to become ripier'
<i>pulkta</i> 'to be red'	<i>pulge žida</i> 'to redden'
<i>ędupta</i> 'to be dark'	<i>ęduwe žida</i> 'to darken'

One finds the indirect imperative *žira* 'it be!' used after the perfect converb, and also *že ida*, especially in older usage. The combinations *že hada* (cf. *čoha hada*) 'to mean it to become', in the sense of 'to desire, to wish that it may become', is also used.

E.g. *phjeŋan han kodesŋ sūiŋe žē ida* 'my prayer is) may he rest in this peaceful place', *joŋ han iŋweni tōŋe žē ida* 'I want to be a good physician', *sogjeŋŋi nun tere palga žira han dŋl, etŋi palga žigesso* 'if one merely says 'be bright' to the eyes of a blind person, will they?', *mekko žē haja talla-go hajesso* 'I wanted to eat and asked for it'.

Of this *ēida* 'to become' the present converb *ēigo*, joined to another verb in the same form, expresses a strong desire or a wish for something beyond possibility or difficult to attain or do.

E.g. *ēhendaŋe kago žigo* 'my wish is to get to Heaven', *joŋmi poŋthan mekko žigo* 'would that I had some dragon flesh and phoenix soup to eat', *kjeŋsaŋ kamsa hago žigo* 'oh, that I might be the Governor of the Kjengsang Province'.

- 270 5) *ēhida* and *therida*. They are used in the same sense and with about the same frequency. They create a transitive if the preceding verb is intransitive. In some cases *ēhida* seems to be identical with the verb *ēhida* which means 'to beat, to hit', but in other cases we have perhaps some connection with the ending *ēhida* of the factitive verbs. It is possible that *ēhida* (N.Kor. *thida*) and *therida* are variants of the same kind as the 'endings' *-kerida*, *-kida* or *-purida* and *-puda*. Where *ēida* is used to form an intransitive expression, *therida*, *ēhida* form with the perfect converb the corresponding transitive.

Intransitive	Transitive
<i>ppada</i> 'to fall into water', <i>ppa-žida</i> 'to drown'	<i>ppa ēhida</i> , to drown (tr.), <i>ppa-therida</i> 'to drown (tr.)'
<i>neme žida</i> 'to fall over'	<i>neme therida</i> 'to throw over'
<i>munhe žida</i> 'to collapse'	<i>munhe therida</i> , <i>munhe ēhida</i> 'to break up'
<i>čēige žida</i> 'to fall over'	<i>čēige therida</i> 'to fell'
<i>puse žida</i> 'to break' (intr.)	<i>puse therida</i> 'to break' (tr.)
<i>kekure žida</i> 'to fall upside down'	<i>kekure therida</i> 'to overturn'
<i>hehe žida</i> 'to be spread about'	<i>hehe therida</i> 'to spread about'
<i>hethire žida</i> 'to become dishevelled'	<i>hethē ēhida</i> , <i>hetēhida</i> 'to scatter'

The verb *ēhida* 'to hit, to beat' takes in the most various senses a noun as its object, and it is impossible to give any rules as to when it is used, e.g. *phuŋ ēhida* ('to beat wind') 'to exaggerate', *talk ēhida* ('to beat fowls') 'to keep fowls', *jep keŋim ēhida* ('to beat sidestep') 'to go a side way', *če ēhida* 'to sift'.

- 6) *kada* 'to go'. This is used after other verbs in the sense of 'to continue', 'to go on' or if the preceding verb expresses motion, this *kada* is used almost as a pleonasm.

E.g. *pissada* 'to be expensive', *pissa gada* 'to be more and more expensive', *pähoda* 'to learn', *pähwa gada* 'to go on learning', *kkŋlda* 'to drag', *kkŋre gada* 'to drag along', *nada* 'to go out', *na-gada* idem, *tolda* 'to turn around', *tora gada* 'to go back', 'to return' (in polite speche: 'to die', still more polite is *tora gasida*), *hada* 'to do', *haje gada* 'to go on doing, to continue to do'.

- 7) *oda* 'to come'. It is used sometimes in the sense 'to become', and must always be used in the sense of 'to come towards the speaker', 'to come near'. Where in other languages 'comes' means something else, the Korean uses *kada* (the Koreans say 'I will go to you tomorrow', never 'I will come to you'). The verbs *oda* and *kada* complete one another to make clear the direction of the movement, e.g. *na gada* 'to go out', *na oda* 'to come out', *kaže gada* 'to take away', *kaže oda* 'to bring', *ire gada*, *ire oda* 'to arise', *čina gada* 'to pass', *čina oda* 'to pass in this direction', *tōida* 'to turn out, to become', *tōiŋe gada*, *tōiŋe oda* 'to become'.

In the ending *-toda* used in exclamations in the same sense as the declarative in *-ta* (*hadoda* or *hada*, *tōidoda* or *tōida*) we have probably not the verb *oda*, but an old contraction of *ta* and *ida*, original **wida* 'to be'. The verb *oda* is identical with the Manchu and Tungus verb *oda* 'to become', while Korean *ēida* 'to become' is identical with the Manchu *-ži-* and Gold. *did-* 'to come'.

- 8) *nada* 'to emerge', factitive (*naida*) *nāda* 'to drive out', are usually used so that they are to be translated by 'out'. E.g. *ka nada* 'to go out, to move out', *na gada* 'to come out', *tiida* 'to rise', 'to float

up', *tle nada* 'to start', *ire nada* 'to rise', *činada* 'to pass', *čināda* 'to experience, complete, celebrate', (*po* 'wrapped parcel?') *ponāda* 'to send, to forward'.

After nouns *nada* has the general meaning 'to form', 'to appear', 'to be produced'.

E.g. (*nok* 'the rust') *nok nada* > *noṇnada* 'to rust, to become rusty', (*ttam* 'sweat') *ttam nada* 'to perspire', (*jekčēṇ* 'anger') *jekčēṇ nada* 'to become angry', *jekčēṇ nāda* 'to make angry', 'to cause anger', (*pul* 'fire') *pul nada* > *pullada* 'to start burning', *pul nāda* > *pullāda* 'to set on fire'.

Cf. Manchu *žafambi* 'to take', *žafanambi* 'to go to take', *žafažimbi* 'to come to take', etc. and Tungus *ičēṇ* 'sees', *itnaran* 'goes out to see' and also Manchu *umijaha* 'worm', *umijahanambi* 'to become worm infested', *suihe* 'the ears (of wheat)', *suihenembi* 'to form ears, be in ears'.

- 273 9) *tuda* 'to lay down', 'to put', 'to place', 'to let alone',
10) *notha* 'to leave free, to let go' and
11) *neṭha* 'to put in'.

They are all three perfective verbs, i.e. they are used to indicate the stable result of the action meant by the preceding verb.

E.g. *omgida* 'to transport', *omgje notha* 'to leave it transported', *mohida* 'to gather', *moha notha* 'to have ... convoked', *ssida* 'to write', *ssje notha*, *ssje tuda* 'to write down, to register', *ssje neṭha* 'to check, to register', *iltha* 'to lose', *irhe notha* 'to lose definitely'; *tepta* 'to cover', *tephje nokho* 'leaving it', 'what ever it may be'.

The expression *kiman tuda* or *koman tuda* 'to leave it at that much' is the Korean expression for 'to cease, to stop'. E.g. *koman tusio* 'please leave it!'

- 274 12) *poda* 'to look', 'to see'. This verb is used transitively also in the sense of 'to try': *ka poara* 'try to go' (and 'please go and see'), *ibe poara* 'try the dress on you', *ehägil ilge poassimnikka* 'have you tried to read the book?'

The verb *poda* is also used as a qualitative verb in the sense 'it seems like', 'it appears to be that', or 'as if', and in this case the preceding phrase is usually interrogative, i.e. has the endings *-ka* or *-ja*.

E.g. *pi ga onan ga poda* 'it seems to rain', 'may be it rains', *pi ga ol ka poda* 'it looks like starting to rain', 'I am afraid it will rain'; *tteṇje žil ka poda* 'I am afraid it will fall', 'it seems as if it will fall'. In this composition the ending *-nanga* is often shortened to *-nā* (*pi onā poda* for *onan ga poda*).

The perfect converb *poa* has after the interrogative *ka* been shortened to *-ba*, and we therefore have, besides the interrogative ending *ka*, a more dubitative ending *-kaba*; e.g. *ol ka* 'does he come?', *ol kaba* 'does he come, perhaps?'.

Another use of *poda* is that with the sense of 'than' (*i geṭ eḡ geṭ poda čosso* 'this is good in regard to that' = 'this is better than that'); v. Verbal postpositions § 285.

- 13) *patta* 'to receive, to get', factitive *patčhida* 'to offer, to give'. The 275
verb *patta* is sometimes used pleonastically to indicate that the action is for the benefit of the subject himself. E.g. *ssida* 'to write', *ssje patta* 'to receive the occasion of writing', but *kapla* 'to pay', *kapha patta* 'to receive payment'. After some verbs with a passive sense *patta* is used to strengthen the passive ('to get punishment' = 'to be punished').
- 14) *čuda* 'to give' (politely *čupta*, *čuoṭta*, *čusida*) and *patčhida* 'to 276
make to receive', *tirida* 'to lift up to, to offer, to bring before', are the contrast to *patta*, and used to indicate that the action is to the benefit of some other person. E.g. *phulda* 'to set free, to loosen', *phureṇ patta* 'to get it free', *phureṇ čuda* 'to give it free, to liberate', *phureṇ noṭha* 'to let off, to leave it in freedom', *phureṇ žida* 'to become unfastened, to be loose', *phureṇ theṛida* 'to have it to be freed', *mekta* 'to eat', *meḡe čuda* 'to eat (at the will of another)', *alda* 'to know', *ara čuda* 'to recognize, to admit', *allida* 'to let know', *allje čuda* 'to publish', *titta* 'to hear', *tire čuda* 'to lend an ear', *tōpta* 'to help', *tōa čuda*, 'to give help', etc.
- 15) *kažida* 'to take with one, to hold'. Of this verb the present 277
converb *kažigo* 'holding', 'in holding' is frequently used pleon-

astically. E.g. *phjoril sa kažigo tije kada* 'to enter having bought the ticket (and holding it)', *ede kažigo salda* ('gaining-holding-to live') 'to live on one's salary'.

- 278 16) *perida*, *parida*, and 'sometimes (shortened?) *puda*, seems to signify 'to throw away', but is scarcely used otherwise than after the perfect converb as an auxiliary verb to signify 'totally'. E.g. *ilta* 'to forget', *iželta* 'has forgotten', *iže perida*, *iže-pparida* 'to forget totally', *iltha* 'to lose', *irhe bgrida*, *irhe ppurida* 'to lose irrevocably'; *saroda* 'to burn' (tr.), *saroa-bgrida* (*salwa b.*) 'to burn up' (v. tr.).
- 279 17) *mekta* 'to eat', is used in its ordinary sense very widely, but as in Chinese, it is used with many kinds of objects (as 'to eat sticks' = 'to get beaten', 'to be beaten', and 'to eat scoldings', 'to eat money'). E.g. *jok mekta* (> *jon-mekta*) 'to eat insults' = 'to be abused'; *teui mekta* 'to eat heat' = 'to have a sunstroke'; *nā mekta* 'to eat age' = 'to get old'; *nä mekta* 'to eat smoke' = 'to be stupid'; *he mekta* 'to eat emptiness' = 'to lack constancy', *kire mekta* 'to grasp at'; *čusje mekta* 'to grab', *ašim mekta* 'to have a discontented nature' (*ašim* 'angry mind').
- 280 18) *tōida* 'to become', 'to turn out as', is used with the future converb to substitute a verb in a passive sense; e.g. *mekke tōida* 'to be eaten', 'to become eaten', *palpke tōida* 'to be stepped on'; but it is also used with other verbal forms. The verb *tōida* is an active verb (present indicative *tōinda*), not a qualitative verb as is *čida* (present *čida*, never *činda*).

The word which expresses what or how a thing becomes, what turns out, has in Korean the particles *-i* or *ga*, e.g. *i gesi čohin gesi tōinda* 'this will be a good affair', *ki sarami puža ga tōjelta* 'he has become a rich man'.

- 281 19) *sipta*, *sibuda* (stem *sib-*, *sibu-*, *siphi-*) is a qualitative verb which means 'to be agreeable', 'to be likely', 'to seem good'. It is used also in the sense of 'to like'. The preceding verb seems always to be in the form of a present converb. E.g. *mekko sipso* 'I like to eat (really: 'eating would be agreeable', 'eating is the

suitable thing'). The verb *sipta* is often used with the substantive *tis*, *tīt* (postpositional nouns, p. 225, No 11). E.g. *ol tīt sipta* (*ol tīt hada*, *ol tis-ida*, *ol tīt poda*) 'it seems likely that he comes', 'probably he comes' (cf. *ol ka poda* 'may be he comes and maybe not').

- 20) *malda* 'to avoid', 'to shun'. This verb is used after the verb form 282 of *-či* in all volitive formations instead of *ani* and *mot* to negate the verb.

When this verb *malda* is repeated in the same form as the preceding verb, it means 'of course' or 'how to talk about doing and not doing'. *Kago malgo* ('going, not going') 'of course he goes', *algo malgo* 'naturally I know', *ara mara* ('knowing, not knowing') 'how to talk about the knowing', *kirekho malgo* 'of course, it is so'. In daily speech this use of *malda* as a strong confirmatory expression is very frequent. But the contrast between a verb and following *malda* can also be meant, e.g. *kallā go mallā go handa*, *kallak mallak handa* 'to go and not to go', 'to go just a little'. This use has already been mentioned in the section on the desiderative.

CHAPTER III

Postpositions and adverbs.

283 The postpositions and many of the adverbs are etymologically and in their formation either nouns or some form of verb. The words which are here called postpositions correspond to the prepositions in English or other European languages. If they are used closely joined to a preceding noun, they are postpositions, and if without a preceding noun but joined to a following verb they are adverbs.

The postpositions are very much used to complete the declension of nouns and to substitute the case formations by giving a more definite expression.

The postpositions which are forms of a substantive are here called nominal postpositions, and those created in a verbal paradigm verbal postpositions.

The nominal postpositions.

284 These stand logically in a genitive relation to the preceding noun. This genitive relation is not expressed by using the genitive ending, and it is the stress which joins the two words together. The postposition is almost stressless. As to its form, the nominal postposition is either nominative, locative or instrumental, and in many words the locative and the instrumental are used side by side with the difference in sense that these cases imply.

The most frequently used words which in this way connect themselves with a stronger accentuated preceding substantive are the following:

- 1) *anthe*, *anthiŋro* 'for, before, in the presence of, under the eyes of, by, to, in regard to, owing to'; *abanim anthe mal hajesso*,

'I spoke to my father', 'I told it for the sake of my father', *tožek anthe čugesso* 'he died for a robber, he was killed by a robber'. This word, very often written *hanthai* instead of *anthai*, is the locative of **anth*, which is not found in the nominative, but corresponds to Manchu *antu* 'the fore', Tungus *ant* 'South'. The locative *anthe* is used in many widely varying senses like the English word 'for'.

- 2) *anhe*, *anhiŋro* (*ane*, *aniŋro*) 'in, between, among, amid'; regular case formations from a word *anh*, *an* 'the inside' (also 'the lining of a garment'), found in many compounds: *ankhan* 'the inner room', *anphat* 'the in and out of . . .', *toŋan* 'interval, during', *uran* 'the yard' (= *ul* 'the fence' and *an* 'inside') and in *anhä* 'the wife', *anhak* 'woman'.
- 3) *aphe*, *aphiŋro* (earlier *alphai*, *alpharo*) 'for, before, in the front of': *san aphiŋro watta* 'he came along this side of the mountain', *san aphe* 'before the mountain', *kī aphe* 'before that, earlier', *čip aphiŋroŋ* 'in front of the house'. The stem is originally **alph*, corresponding to Turkish *alp* 'foreman, forefighter, hero' and Mongol *albagut* 'the nobles', Turk. *alpaut* id.
- 4) *are*, written *arai* 'under, beneath', is now used also as nominative: *arānni* 'the lower teeth', *aräe* 'on the underside', but is originally the locative of a noun *al* 'the underside', still found in *čibal* 'the place under the house', *päal* 'the stomach'. The instrumental is *allo* and means 'downwards, upside down'. This word corresponds to Turkish *al* in *altın* 'under'.
- 5) *ue* (*uhei*, *uhīi*), *uiŋro* (*uhīro*, *uiŋru*, *uuru*) from *u* 'over, up, above, on', found in many compounds: *ussaram* 'a high class man', *unni* 'the upper teeth', *utčegori* 'upper garment', 'outside jacket', *upsi* 'extra seed', etc. The word *u*, older *ug* and *uŋ*, found in *čibun*, *čibu* 'the roof', corresponds to Tungus *u* in *uile* 'over, on', *uiski* 'upwards', *uir-*, *ugir-*, 'to lift up'.
- 6) *so* 'inside, heart' and *sok* id.; *soe*, *soge*, *soiŋro* 'in, within', are regular formations from the still used nouns *so* and *sok*.
- 7) *kkīt* 'end, tail, aft', is used as a regular substantive and as a postposition in the locative and instrumental in the sense of

'behind, after'. It seems to correspond to Goldi *kīta*, *kītta* 'the stern of a boat'.

- 8) *kat*, *kēt*, found in compounds and meaning 'the outside' or 'the side' of: *pakkat*, *pakkēt* 'the outside', *kēt tōlda* 'to turn the outside to', 'to be uncongenial, to be unfriendly', *kēt-por-an* 'seeing the outside the inside is known'. Of this word the locative *kathe* and the instrumental *kathīro* are used as postpositions in the sense 'by, beside, near to', e.g. *san kathe* 'near the mountain, on the mountain slope'. Cf. Turk. *qat* in *qatında* 'by the side of', Mo. *qačar*, *qačir* 'the chin'.
- 9) *kjet* 'beside, by, with', not used in the nominative except in compounds and originally the same as *kjet* 'friend, supporter, one who sides with', but very frequent in the locative *kjethe* and instrumental *kjethīro* 'at the side of, towards, near, to' and many other senses: *nā kjethe sānan saram* 'the man who lives with me', *san kjethīro* 'towards the mountain, in the vicinity of the mountain', *kañ kjethīro katta* 'he went to the river, he went in the direction of the river'.
- 10) *jephe*, *jephīro* 'at the side of, beside, by, near, with', seems to be an original *jep* 'sides, ribs': *nā jephe sānan saram* 'a man who lives with me, under my protection', *abanim jephe sao* 'I live with my father', *san jephe kāčēni hīrinda* 'a rivulet flows alongside or under the shade of the mountain'. The Tungus *äpti* 'rib', Mongol *epčigün* 'breast' may be a cognate word.
- 11) *mīt* 'base, bottom, sole, root', 'origin', 'principle', etc. is in the case formations *mithe*, *mithīro* very much used in the sense of 'under': *namu mithe* 'under the tree', *san mithe* 'under the mountain, at the foot of the mountain'.
- 12) *tui* 'back, behind, after', in compounds: *tuičip* 'the back house', *čiptui* 'the back of the house', is used in the locative *tuije*, *tüije*, *tüje*, and instrumental *tuiīro*, *tüjīro*, *tuuru*, in the sense of 'behind, in the back of'. This word *tui* is identical with Goldi *dui* (*duile* 'behind'). Earlier this *tui* was also used in the sense of 'North' and *alp* in the sense of 'South'. *Tuije* and *aphe* are now mostly used in a purely local sense: *mun tüije* 'behind the door',

mun aphe 'before the door', *san tüije* 'on the other side of the mountain', *san aphe* 'on this side of the mountain'. In other senses, temporal or other, the Chinese words *hu* 'after' and *čēn* 'before, fore' seem to be taking or to have taken over the functions of the Korean words.

- 13) *pat* 'outside, the other side, farther away', stem **patk*, is used in compounds, but as postposition or adverb only in locative *pakke* (earlier *patkai*) and instrumental *pakkīro* (earlier *patkaro*) in very varying senses: *čip pakkīro kasso* 'he went out (of the house)', *i pakke tarin gēsī manso* '(besides this) there are many more', *i mal pakke nīn tā palkso* 'except this word all is perfectly clear', *i put pakke ēpso* 'have you no pens besides this?', *mun pakke* 'outside the door', *čogom pakke ani kidarjesso* 'he didn't wait but a little'. Except in purely local sense, the Chinese word *we*, written *oj* (Chinese pronunciation *wai*) 'the outside', is now preferred: *i weje* 'beside this, over this, above this'.
- 14) *kkaži*, *kkeji*, *kkat*, *kket* 'up to, until and including, till': *iptā kkaži* 'until now', *ilbon kkaži* 'including Japan, as far as to Japan', *čēnjek kkaži* 'until evening'. The word seems to have been **kkaž*, and *kkaži* is perhaps the old instructive case in *i* and the shorter *kkat* the nominative. Sometimes also *kkažu*, *kkažun* is used, but these are, according to UNDERWOOD, not elegant; *kkažu* seems to be a compound with *u* 'over' ('till-up').
- 15) *katčhi*, N.Korean *kathi* 'similar to', 'as, like': *na wa katčhi* 'like me, together with me', *nūn katčhi* 'as snow, like snow', *uri katčhi* 'just as we, like us, with us', *čē čhük kwa katčhi kīrimil sasso* 'together with that book he bought a picture, I bought that book and a picture'. This is the noun in *i* from the verb *katla* (: *katha*: *kathin*) 'to be similar, to be the same'. The substantive *katčhi* also means 'similarity' and 'in a similar way'.
- 16) *kaži* 'sort, kind', *hangaži* 'one sort, likewise, also, in the same way, together with'. Like *hangaži* also *hande* (*han tai* 'one place') and *hamkkīi* (*han pkīi* 'one time') are used. The word *kaži* is the *i*-noun of a verb *katta* (: *kaža*: *kažin*) 'to be in order', factitive *katčhuda*, *katčhida* 'to put in order'. This word *kaži*

- 'order, kind, sort' has been taken over by the Manchu language (*hač'in* 'order, sort') and Tungus (e.g. *tar-gač'in* 'such, of that kind').
- 17) *kkiri* 'among, amidst'. GALE has in his dictionary *kkiri* as 'an ending used with pronouns and nouns having, the force of a plural but implying class separation'. Here we have the *i*-noun from the verb *kilda* 'to be long' and *kiri* means '(along) the whole line of'. The double consonant *kk* is a remaining genitive gemination. E.g. *uri kkiri* 'among us, in our group', *uri kkirie*, *uri kkiriro* 'among us'.
- 18) *čune*, N.Kor. *tjuŋe*, *tune* 'amidst, in, between', is the regular form of the Chinese word *čun* 'the mid, the middle part'.
- 19) *tai*, pronounced *te*, *de*, or its genitive gemination *tte*, is a Chinese word meaning 'place, substitute'. It is mostly used in the instrumental; *ittäro* 'instead of this'. The compound *tai-sin* (translation »substitute-body»), in the sense of 'instead of, for, representing', is mostly used in the locative *täsine*, *tesine*, and in N.Kor. also the compound *tai-pi* 'substitute', mostly in the instrumental *täbirj*, *täbiru* 'instead of'.
- 20) *tai* 'accordance, concordance', in the instrumental *täro*, 'in accordance with, so as, like', is used after nouns as a postparticipial noun (No 8). E.g. *ittäro*, *idäro* 'like this, as this', *maldäro* 'according to the word', *ttil ttäro* 'according to the possibility, as possible' (cf. Manchu *mutere teile*, *mutere i teile* 'according to possibility').
- 21) *ttan* 'from', in for instance *čip ttan* 'from home', *saram ttan* 'from a man', *ittan* 'starting here', is a noun *tan* 'border, limit, hem' and can be declined regularly: *čettane* 'by there', *čettanese*, 'from there, from that side'. The double initial consonant is a remaining genitive gemination. To this *ttan* seems to correspond the Turkish word *jan* 'side' and the old-Turkish ending *-dajan*, *-danjan*, *-dandan* (*oguzdajan* 'from the Oguz-es, from the side of the Oguz-es) which is the oldest known form of the present Turkish ablative ending *-dan*.
- 22) *-ttawi*, *-ttai* 'like, as': *ittawi* 'like this', *saram-ttawi* 'like a man, worthy of a man, human'. Here we find the genitive gemination

- and a word *tabi*, the *i*-noun of the word *tapta* 'to be becoming', or 'worthy of', which was treated above under the heading denominal verbs, *saram-dapta* 'to be human', *janban-dapta* 'to be noble, to be gentlemanly', etc.. With this *tabi*, now pronounced *-ttawi*, cf. Turkish (Uigur) *taby* '-mässig'.
- 23) *taim* 'the sequence, the next, the following'; this is the noun in *-m* from a forgotten verb *tag-*, which meant 'to follow, to be near, to unite oneself to'. The locative *taime* and the instrumental *taimiro* are used in the sense 'after'; *i daime* 'after this, next to this', *i mal taime* 'after this word', *i mal taimiro* 'following this word, after this word'. To the verb **tag-* cf. Turkish *jaguq* 'to be near, to follow' (*jaguq* 'near') and Tungus *daga* 'near, close to'.
- 24) *čherem*, *čherom*, *čhero*, N.Kor. *thjeri*, 'like, as, complying with, compared with', seems to be the instrumental of a noun* *thje*, now lost, together with *ham* (*čherem* from older *čheru ham*), cf. *podem*, *puthem*.
- 25) *kwa* (after consonants) and *wa* (after vowels), N.Kor. *kwa*, *gwa* 'with, together with, and': *mal kwa so* 'horses and cattle', *so wa mal* 'cattle and horses', *na wa kathin* 'similar to me, like me, as I', *ne wa tarinda* 'it is different with you, differing from you'. It is not clear whether this original *kwa* is a noun or a verb, but apparently it is identical with the Tungus ending *ku* in possessive adjectives: *asiku* 'married' (*asi* 'wife'), *kisėku* 'happy' (*kisė* 'happiness'), *ambanku* 'possessed by a devil' (*amban* 'devil, tiger').
- 26) *čari* 'place, bed', is used both in the nominative and in the locative in the sense 'in the place of, instead, owing to'. It may be that we have here a substantive in *-ri* from the verb *ča-* 'to sleep'. It is often used like the Tungus *žarin*, Goldi *žarun*, Manchu *žalin* 'because, owing to'.

A definite line between nouns and what we here call nominal postpositions cannot be drawn. As already mentioned, many of the words above are used quite regularly and stressed.

The verbal postpositions.

This name may be given to words formed from verbs which are used more or less unstressed after a noun. If this verb is a transitive verb, the preceding noun stands logically as its object. Some of these verbal postpositions are used so that the connection with the original verb to which they have belonged seems already lost.

The verbal postpositions most used seem to be:

a) words in *-da*

poda 'looking at', 'compared with', 'than': *ii ga čę poda nao* 'this is better than that'; also *podam* and *podę*: *saŋŋęn podamın čogom naa* 'a little better than it was last year'; *pogo*, see below.

ida, *-da* 'being', 'while': *čibe-da* 'while being at home'; this *-da* seems to be very much used before the verb *poda* 'to look', to indicate the direction: *näje-da poda* 'to look out (-side); *tęre-da poatta* 'looked inside'; *čhje-da* 'upwards', *narje-da* 'downwards', etc.

b) words in *-go*

hago (abbreviated *kho*) 'doing', 'saying', gives an expression for 'and', 'even so' or 'together with': *na hago ne hago kagesso* 'I and you will go';

malgo 'avoiding', and *ępko* 'lacking', used in the sense of 'without', 'except': *ton ępko katta* 'he went without money', *horaŋi malgo čimsāŋi* 'all animals except the tiger'; besides *ępko*, also *ępsi* is used;

kažigo 'carrying', 'having', in the sense of 'with (a thing)': *čohii kažigo watta* 'he came with some paper', *ton kažigo katta* 'he went away with the money';

tariġo 'leading', *mohigo*, *mosigo* 'following', in the sense of 'with (a person)': *anagi ahā tariġo wasso* 'the woman came with the child', *sensāŋ mosigo oo* 'he comes with the teacher';

pogo 'looking on', 'in the face of', see below *poa*.

c) words in *-a*, *-ę*. These are the most frequent, and we give only some of them. The fact of the matter is that no definite line between verbal postpositions and the use of the perfect converb can be drawn.

sje, *sę* 'being' (after all cases except the genitive and the accusative).

This form of the verb **isida* (now *itta*) 'to be' has been discussed under the chapter »Cases» and called the essive particle.

ssę 'using', used in the literary language after the instrumental case; e.g. *himsę*, *himįrossę* 'by force', 'with all one's mind' (*ssida* 'to use').

tere, *terje* (*dere*, *derje*) 'turning towards', used to indicate the object if it is a person, or the objective with verbs with the sense »to say», »to ask»: *abanım dere pıretta* 'I asked it of my father', *na dere* (*na pogo*, *na anthe*, *na aphe*, *na tā haja*, *näge*) *jok hanan ja*, 'do you scold me?', *kı saram dere kidarira kko hajera* 'say to him that he may wait'. The verb *terida* does not seem to be used, but *terje* is explained as corresponding in sense with the Japanese verb *mukau* 'to face', 'to turn towards'.

puthe, *pıthe* (also *puthem*, *pıthem*) 'passing through', 'via', very much used in the sense of 'from', 'starting from', 'counted from', e.g. *atčham puthe* 'from the morning', *ılbon puthe* 'from Japan'. (*putta* 'to pass by', 'to ply', 'to touch on the way').

tebire 'holding the hand', 'leading', in the sense of 'together with (a person)' from *tebilda* 'to hold in the arms'.

kaže 'keeping', 'holding (a thing)', 'with', like *kažigo*.

aolla 'including', 'with' (*aoriġa* 'to unite'), *aoro* id.

ewe 'around' (from *ēuda* 'to surround'): *ewe ssago sęso* 'standing close around'.

qalba, *qarwa* 'together with' (cf. Mong. *qolba*).

tulle 'around', 'about' (*turuda* 'to circle around'); *turu* id.

kke 'through' (*kkeġa* 'to press oneself through').

kenne 'over', 'to the other side of'; *kke ġenne* 'through' (*kenneġa* 'to pass over a river or a mountain').

čina 'passing by', 'by', 'after': *näil čina* 'after tomorrow', *seul čina* 'after Seul', 'having passed Seul' (*činada* 'to pass').

keşarje, *keşille* 'transgressing', 'against' (the laws, the customs, the intentions) (*keşarida* 'to transgress', 'to go against', 'to be opposite').

neġe 'over', 'more than' (*neġda* 'to pass over', 'to come to the other side') and

nama 'over', 'above', 'beyond' (*namda* 'to be left over').

maža 'meeting', 'against' (*matta* 'to meet')

čotěha 'following', 'after', 'as a consequence of', 'from' (the verb *čotta* 'to follow after', 'to chase').

(*kwa*) *mitěhe* 'united with', 'touching', 'arriving at', 'as far as', 'up to', 'and' (*mitta* 'to reach', 'to arrive at' in the same sense as the Japanese word *ojobu* and the word *ojobi* 'and'). The form (*kwa*) *mit* in the sense of 'and' or 'together with' also exists.

poa 'in regard to', 'for the sake of', 'concerning', 'towards', 'to' (a thing or a person); also *pogo* and *poda*, *podam: na pogo mal hajetta* 'he said to me', *i il poa sāngak hajetta* 'he thought about this affair' (*poda* 'to see', 'to look').

malmüama 'in consequence of', 'because of' (*malmüamda* 'to arise from', probably *malmä* and a verb **amda*).

tañhaja 'concerning', 'about' (*tañ hada* 'to concern').

inhaja 'because of' (*in hada*).

wihaja 'for the purpose of', 'for the sake of', 'in regard to' (*wi hada* 'to regard with favour', 'to honour').

tähaja 'in the face of', 'for the reason that', 'because' (*tä hada* 'to be opposite', 'to face').

The last four (*tañ*, *in*, *wi* < *ui* and *tä* < *tai*) are Chinese words, all others are verbs of Korean origin.

d) words in *-i*; the only one is *epsi* 'without' (*epta* 'to lack', 'to be absent'), N.Kor. *epko* 'id'.

The adverbs.

286 Contrary to the European languages, which in most cases have special forms for the adverb, formed from adjectives, the Korean language, from a morphological point of view, has neither adjectives nor adverbs. On the other hand, it is to be noted that

- 1) all cases (nominative, locative, instrumental and the now obsolete instructive) which syntactically refer to the following verbal form are used adverbially.

- 2) most of the words mentioned above as nominal postpositions can be used independently, i.e. without a preceding stronger-stressed noun and then syntactically refer to the verb, i.e. are used as adverbs.
- 3) all those formations of the verbs which are above called converbs point to a following main verb or, in other words, stand in the position of an adverb to their verb.
- 4) those verbal formations which end in *-i* (the ending of a deverbal noun and at the same time of an adverb from the verb) and in *-o*, *-u* (an ending for a derivate which is used as a noun, as an adjective and as an adverb) have been treated above and give, as also the future converb (*-ke*), the necessary adverb of almost any kind of qualitative and active verb.
- 5) the language possesses a number of simple adverbially used words, inherited from the ancient language and with cognate words in one or more of the related languages.
- 6) the Koreans are specially fond of building onomatopoeic expressions of different kinds, almost ad libitum and at the choice of the speaker himself. Such words or quasiwords are syntactically used as adverbs qualifying a following verb or, if no other verb is needed, joined with the verb *hada* 'to say, to do'; they form a class of words to themselves and will be taken up below under the heading Uninflected words.

The adverbs can be roughly classified as follows: adverbia negationis, adverbia localia, adverbia temporalia, adverbia qualitatis and adverbia quantitatis. **287**

Adverbia negationis.

The negation is in Korean referred to the verb. As negative adverbs are used: **288**

- 1) *an*, *ani* (sometimes written *anhi*) and
- 2) *mot* (cf. *mō-* in *mo-žarada* 'to be insufficient', *mo-psida* 'to be of no use' = 'to be impossible, to be bad' and *možilda* 'to be bad' from *čarada* 'to be sufficient', *ssida* 'to use', *ežilda*, N.Kor. *edir-* 'to be kind, to be good').

The use of these words has been already treated above; see *con-verbum negationis*, § 206 and also § 268.

Where the negation is referred to the noun we usually find a periphrase with the verb *ēpta* 'to lack, to be not existing' or a Chinese expression, i.e. a Chinese compound with 1) *pul*, *pu*: *pulpep hada* 'to be illegal', *pulman hada* 'to be unsatisfied' (*man* 'complete'), *pužiren hada* 'to be diligent', *pudon* 'not the same' (*ton* 'the same, likewise'), 2) *mu*: *mubjeŋ hada* 'to be sound', (*pjeŋ* 'sickness'), *muraŋ* 'an eunuch' (*laŋ*, *naŋ* 'testicles'), *mubep* 'lawlessness', *mumat* 'insipid' (Korean *mas* 'taste') or, more seldom, 3) *pi*: *pišij* *pisok* 'neither bonze nor layman', *piin* 'a beggar' ('a nothing-man').

Please note that the use of the verb *malda* 'to avoid, to shun' 'to abstain from' ideologically corresponds to a negation. This verb has been mentioned above when treating the volitive forms of the verbal conjugation.

Adverbia localia.

- 289 Here we have to do with case formations of nouns, among others also those nouns with a general sense of locality which are spoken of above as nominal postpositions. We have to add that the use of case endings is very often quite unnecessary, i.e. that the nominative can be used adverbially; e.g. *edä* (*edäi*) 'where', originally 'what place', *kaondä* 'in the middle' (*kaot* 'the middle'). On the other hand, the words *are*, *arä* 'under', 'beneath' and *ore*, *orä* 'long ago' 'since long' are locatives (of *al* and *ol*), but used mostly as nominatives, also in compounds (*arälčip* 'the under-house, the house below', *orägan*, *oräkkän* 'a long time').

Adverbia temporalia.

- 290 As adverbs are used, either in the nominative (N) or in the locative (L), seldom in the instructive (I), different kinds of nouns, which in one way or another serve as definitions of time. Such are for instance:

enže N 'when' ('what time'), *enže-deŋži* 'anytime, always'
ilčik N, *ilčigi* I 'early'

atčham, *atčhijm* 'in the morning', *atčhame* L id.
naže L 'at noon'
nažu N (*nač-u*) 'in the afternoon'
pam N, *pame* L 'at night'
čjenjek, *čjenek* N (*čje* 'down', *njek* 'direction') 'in the evening'
onal N 'to-day' (probably *ol* 'this', 'right this', *oltha* 'to be right')
orhä N 'this year' (*hä* 'year')
ežekkii, *eže* N 'yesterday' (*kkii*, *pkii* 'time')
kižekkii, *kiže* N 'the day before yesterday'
näil, *mjeŋil* 'to-morrow', *nämjeŋil* 'after to-morrow'
morä 'the day after to-morrow' (probably L)
kimnjen 'this year', *nänjen*, *mjeŋnjen* 'next year'
čanjen 'last year', *čäžanjen*, *kičekkii* 'the year before last',
kičirekkii 'three years ago'
ttä ttä, *ttä ttäro* 'some times', 'from time to time'
iptä 'now-a-days', *čeptä*, *kiptä* 'at those times' (*ptä*, *ttä*)
kot N 'immediately' (*kot* 'place' cf. 'on the spot')
akka (written *a-ska*) 'some minutes ago' (*kka* 'occasion')
pajahiro (I.) 'then, at that time'
palsje, *polsje* (*pelsje*) 'already' (*sje* essive particle)
mənžje 'earlier, already earlier' (*čje* from v. *čida*), N.Kor. *manže*
inže (*iže*) 'now', (Chin.) *čigim* 'now, at present' (Tung. *tikin* id.)
mak 'just now', *siban* (Chin.) 'now'
njethä, *jethä* 'since of old, up to now' (*njet* 'old', *hä* 'year')
orä 'long ago, since of old, from old'; *oräkkän* 'a long time'; *orä-ži*
 (< *iži*) *an haža*, *oräžanä* 'not long ago'
miri 'beforehand'
ažik 'still', 'yet', *ažik ani* 'not yet', *ažikket* N 'still, up to the present
 time, until now' (**kkēč* 'till, until')
ttek 'just then'
nō (*noo*) 'still, continuously, as earlier'
nīl, *nīru* 'continuing, always' (v. *nīlda* 'to stretch')
säro 'again', *särohi* id. (*sä* 'new', *säropta* 'to be new')
matčham 'just then'
mažamak 'at last, in the end'

āi I, *āe* L, *āsie* L 'in the beginning, at first' (*si* 'time')
tīdāe L, *tīdājē* 'then, subsequently' (*tīt?* 'off, apart')
tasi (Chin.) 'another time, again'
hīni (*hīn* *hi*), *tāgā*, *tāžje* 'usually', 'generally'
kakkīm, *čažo* 'often' (Chin. *kak* 'every', *kīm* 'now')
hānjon (Chin.) 'usually'
ponnā (Chin.) 'from the beginning, until now'
ilsaŋ, *haŋ-saŋ*, *čoŋsi* (Chin.) 'always'
kīlphi 'three years later', *kīgīlphi* 'four years later' etc. etc.

Here may also be mentioned some verbal formations, e.g. (*čažo* and) *čakko*, *čakku* 'frequently, often, repeatedly', *itta ga*, *itta* 'by-and-by', 'after a while', *tīmulge* 'seldom, rarely', etc.

Adverbia qualitatis et modi.

291 Under this heading come the two different nominal formations of the verb, i.e. the noun on *-i* and the future converb on *-ke*, which are used or can be used from qualitative verbs as adverbial forms.

Without the intention to give a complete list, we enumerate here some of the most used adverbs of this kind:

čal 'well', *čal mot* 'not well', 'badly',
sūi, *sūipke* 'easily',
am 'certainly', 'of course'
āmā 'perhaps', 'probably'
hok 'perhaps', 'or perhaps', *man-il* 'perhaps'
keu 'hardly', 'scarcely',
čharhari 'rather'
ttok 'just', 'precisely'
kkok 'exactly', 'firmly',
čham 'really', 'truly',
sinsillo, *činsillo* 'in fact',
wen hi 'eagerly', 'willingly',
čjello, *čaen hi*, *sisaro* 'spontaneously', 'of itself',
ese, *ellin*, *elphīt* 'quickly', 'at once',

pjello 'separately', 'specially',
čjok hi, *čjok hage*, *neŋneŋ hi* 'enough',
tēdā, *tēdāge* 'slowly',
čēkke, *čēgi* 'slightly' (*čēkta* 'to be few')
talli, *tariŋe* 'differently',
phēk, *tādan hi* 'very', 'strongly',
pure 'purposely',
kežje, *kežje* 'gratis' (< *kī-žje* 'that shape', 'so as it is')
čhin hi 'personally',
njeŋžisi, *sogiro* 'secretly',
kamanhi, *kaman hage* 'quietly',
nīŋ hi 'ably', 'competently',
kežisi, *keŋige* 'falsely',
časehi 'carefully', 'distinctly',
čosimhi 'with forethought',
kīphi 'suddenly',
ppalli, *ppariŋe*, *sokhi*, *pappi*, *pappuge* 'quickly', 'hastily',
pulgabul 'by good or bad', 'necessarily',
ekčiro, *čēgiro* 'by force',
māu 'awfully', 'very' (*māpta* 'to be acrid?')
ožik, *ožak* 'even so, only; but', 'the very', 'very' (< *ol* + *čgk*)

Adverbia quantitatis.

Also under this heading we have the deverbal formations in *-i*, **292** in *-ke* and in *-o*, *-u*, and, besides these, some primary adverbs. Some examples:

tā 'wholly', 'every one', 'completely',
tto 'more', 'again', (v. *ttada* 'to attack, to go on', cf. Tung. *hatama* 'again', 'near'),
te 'more' (in nominal compounds *tēt*, e.g. *tēkkeri* 'exaggeration', *tēmmul* 'water above the ice'); used in comparisons: *te melli* 'farther', *te čariŋe*, *te čalli* 'shorter', *te khida* 'to be bigger'; *te-ok*, *teuk* 'all the more',

tēl 'less'; like the preceding word, used in comparisons: *tēl mēlli* 'less far', 'not so far', etc. (v. *tēlda* 'to lessen'),
manhi 'much' (*mantha* 'to be much', *manke* 'so that it will be much'),
keun-geun, *kewi* 'almost, nearly'
čhū (*čhar*) 'all', 'entirely', 'by a little'
čom, *čogom*, *čokkom* 'a little'
hāmā 'mostly' (an old verb *hāda* 'to be big'),
hā 'too', 'too much' (perfect converb of the same verb),
kwahi, *kwa hage* 'too',
kažan 'mostly', very,
ažo 'entirely',
modo, *tomoži* 'in all', 'totally' (= skor. *to* 'all' and v. *motta* 'to gather'),
sēro 'mutually' (an old verb **sēlda* 'to be mutual'),
hollo, *honža* 'alone' (N.Kor. *habullu*, *habun-ža*, from a verb *habu*-, cf. *han* 'one'),
ōnža 'in all' (N.Kor. *obun* 'all'),
koro, *koriže* 'equally', *kororo*, *korose* 'all alike' (*koriđa* 'to be alike', *koroda* 'to adjust'),
tjmulge 'seldom', etc. (*tjmulda* 'to be scarce'),
nemu 'too much'.

There must perhaps be mentioned here expressions like *sēisje* 'three in company', *nēisje* 'four in all', 'all four', etc., where we have the essive particle.

CHAPTER IV

The uninflected words.

Among the different kinds of *adverbs* we have already met with **293** many words which show no kind of inflection and which themselves cannot be derived from a more simple stem word (e.g. *phēk* 'very', *čal* 'well', *tē* 'more', *am* 'certainly' etc.). There are still three groups of words which are to be discussed. These three groups are:

1. The Enclitica.

These are short words (mostly one single syllable) which are used directly joined to the preceding word. We have called them simply particles. Some of them join themselves to a noun and some to a verb.

1. We must first mention the interrogative particles. They **294** are *ka* with its variants *ko*, *kā* (dial. *kje*, *ke*), *ja* with its variants *jō*, *jī*, *i* and the combination *kaja*, *kaj*. They were discussed when we were dealing with the interrogative forms of the verb. It is interesting to note that the interrogative particles seem to agree with those in the Japanese language and with the interrogative pronouns in the Altaic languages. Here, as in Japanese, the interrogative particles are *used only at the end of the sentence and, with verbs, only after participles*; see above §§ 150—154.

2. A particle *či*, N. Kor. *tī*, which is used as a kind of query **295** after the participles, has evidently been a substantive in the sense 'fact' or 'thing', e.g. *kallanži mallanži morigesso* 'I can't know whether he has gone or not', *kalčindä* < *kalči-in-dä* 'when he went', 'when one was to go', *kalčira do* < *kal či-ira do* 'in going', 'even if one is to go', v. Postparticipial nouns, § 225, N:o 6.

296 3. Among other particles there is the connecting *ka*, pronounced *ga* and now used only attached to the subject, i.e. after the nominative. It seems to correspond on the one hand to the Japanese particle *ga* (e.g. *sō desu ga* 'so it is, but'), and on the other to the Goldi and Olcha word *ka* 'but', 'and'. It has been described as the ending of the nominative, but this is not quite the case. It is now used after vowel stems in the same way as the determining *i* is used after consonant stems. (This *i* is, as explained above, originally a pronoun for the third person. E.g. *seī* 'the three', 'three of them', *nāi manhin saram* 'an aged man', 'his-age being-many man').

297 4. The particle *nin* has been called above the emphatic particle; cf. Tungus *nun* 'if', 'as to'. It has the variants *in* (after preceding consonant) and *nan* (after preceding vowel) and in some dialects *ini* and *-n*. The use of this particle, which is found affixed to words specially stressed and standing at the beginning of a sentence, has been explained under the heading »Declension». This same particle is also affixed to converbum presentis and converbum perfecti, and it has entered as an essential part in the endings *-mjēn* (< *-m iē nin*) and *-keḍen* (< *-ke iḍē nin*) of the conditional and hypothetical verbs.

298 5. Further we have the particle *to*, *do* (N.Kor. *tu*, *du*) 'also', 'too', which we have called the augmentative particle and which corresponds to the particle *da*, *dā* in the Tungus, Mongol and Turkish languages. E.g. *na do ne do* 'both I and you', *hanā do ēpsō* 'there isn't even one', 'there is nobody', *siban ka do kī saramil mannal su ēpsō* 'even if you go now, you are not able to meet him'; *amori poa do moriḡesso* 'however much I may look on it, I can't find it out'; *amori poasse do* 'how much ever I have looked on it'.

299 6. A particle *a*, *ja*, *ija* is used at the beginning of a sentence affixed to a substantive as a special sign for the vocative. The vocative has not here been included among the cases because this particle can be affixed also to other forms than the nominative. The same particle is used also in calling; e.g. *i saramā* 'you there', *i nomā* 'you, fellow'. It may be that we have the same particle in that *ā* which we found in the promissive form of the verb, where it is

affixed to the verbal noun in *-m* (*čumā* 'I will give then', *megimā* 'I will eat'), in the preventive form in *-llā* (*megillē* 'look out, he will eat it') and also in the converbum admissi, where *-ā* is united to the ending of the perfect participle (*issinā* 'be it as it may', *onā* 'although he comes') and the indirect imperative (*megirā*); *kirjā* (< *kire ja!*) 'that's so!' 'yes!'

7. The particle *ra*, *ira* is used at the end of a sentence to indicate 300 that it is finished and to point out a word as the precise word. We have had it in the regressive (*hadēra* 'he does there', 'he did then', *hajetēra* 'one has done', etc.) and after the participles (*hananira*, *hanira*, *harira*). It is a common rule to use it in the strong or impolite imperative (*hajēra* 'do!', *poara* 'see!', 'look!' and the type *ikkēra* 'be!', *kagēra* 'go!'). With the verb *hada* 'to say' it indicates the word to which it is attached as the proper name of a thing, i.e. a quotation. E.g. *namphu-ra hanan gēsī* 'that which is called a lamp', *Pag-ira hanan saram* 'the man who is called Pak', *sapkwa-ra hanan gēsīl uri ga poža-ra hao* 'we say *poža* for what they call *sapkwa* (hat)'. It is used also before the particle *do*: *čohin-ira do* 'although it is good'; *kalči-ra do* 'even if he goes', *kalčirā do*, *kalčira hā do* 'if you say that he goes'. This particle corresponds to the Turkish particle *-la*, which is used as a verifying extra ending at the end of a sentence.

8. The particle *ok*, *uk* is used in the words *teok* 'all the more', 301 *pirok* 'for instance', *tok* 'just', 'precisely', *amo žoro-ok*, *amožorok* 'by any means' and in the endings *-torōk* (converbum efficiendi) and *surōk* (see under post-participial nouns), here joined to the instrumental case. It apparently has the sense of 'just', 'just that', and corresponds to the Turkish particle *oq*, *ök*: *bān-ök* 'just I, even I', *ol-oq* 'just that'.

9. When from the participle in *-nan* (*hanan*) and in *-n* (*čohin*) 302 we have special indicative forms (*hane* 'does' and *čohē* 'is good'), we probably have the same element as in the regressive in *-e* (*hade*, *mekte* side by side with *hadēra*, *mektēra*). This may have been some particle *i* which has been used only at the end of a finished sentence. Possibly it is only a kind of finishing sound or sound change without any special etymological value.

303 10. The same may be the case with that *-u*, alternating with *-e*, which we have in the present tense of the type *hamu*, *hame*, which started from the verbal noun in *-m*. If this has any historical value, it may be identical with the vowel in the Mongolian present tense ending *-mu*, *-mui*.

304 11. The particle *ja* with the sense »only (that)«, »just that«, which in old documents is sometimes written *ña*, sometimes *sa*, may originally be two different words, and is perhaps not identical with the vocative particle *ja*. E.g. *hunnjene-ja olla kagetta* 'the following year then (I am sure not earlier) he will go to the country', *inže-ja kjeriri ikko wassimneda* 'only now have I a little leisure and have come', *samgjeje-ja čadera* 'only when it is the third watch does he go to bed'. This *ja* is also used after the present and perfect converbs (scil. *-ko-ja*, *-kosje-ja*, *-a-ja*, *-asje-ja*); after the present converb it is an emphasizing particle and after the perfect converb, this emphasis results in the meaning 'only that', which amounts to 'must'. E.g. *sul mekkosje-ja etči hagetta* 'drinking brandy so, what will it be', *ette hadenži (ette-thenži) ka-ja haja* 'in any case I must go', *ča, mal tha-ja* 'well, we must mount', *poa-ja algenne* ('only having seen, I will know') 'I must see to know'. This use of *ja* in the sense of 'must' has already been mentioned under the perfect converb.

305 12. The particle *sje*, which we have called the *essive* particle, is in fact an abbreviated *isje*, the perfect converb of *isida*, later *isida*, now *itta* 'to be'. We have found this used after some cases of the noun, after present and perfect converbs and also after the ending *-mjēn*.

306 13. Also the instrumental particle *sse*, found after the instrumental case, is a perfect converb (*ssiida* 'to use', *sse* 'using').

307 14. The particle *ko* (variants *kko*, *go*), which is used after an oratio recta, is some old form of the pronoun *kī* 'that'. E.g. *onda-go handa* 'he says that they come', *čuma-kko hajetta*, 'he promised to give', *kara-kko hajera* 'tell him to go', etc. The use of this particle has been mentioned under the desiderative, promissive and indirect imperative.

15. Last we have to mention a particle *-na*, which is used as **308** a sign of wondering or admiration, sometimes also in an assuring sense at the end of a clause. E.g. *čokho-na* 'really, it is good', *čham, mal čal hago-na* 'really, he talks well', etc. It is chiefly used after the present converb and is sometimes found without a vowel (*hagon*, *ikkon*); the ending of the converb is here often *-ku* instead of *-ko* (*haguna*, *hagun*). When we find *kon*, *kun* used after the perfect participle (e.g. *čohingun*) it is to be understood as an abbreviation of the verb *ida* 'to be' (*čohingun* for *čohin igon*).

The Interjections.

The Koreans very often use different kinds of exclamatory **309** sounds, especially at the beginning of a sentence. It is almost impossible to enumerate them or give an account of their sense, but the most frequently used interjections seem to be:

a 'Ah!'

atta (a shortened *a-itta*), *atča* (*a-haža*), *apta*, *aptana* (*a-ipta*),

aja, *ai*, *ai-go*, *āgo*, *ä*, *āgonā* 'Oh!'

e, *etta*, *era*, *eja* 'Oh, stop!'

o, *oha*, *oja* (expression of fear)

a, *e* (expression of surprise)

in 'What do you say!'

ja 'Listen!' or 'Stop that!'

ča, *če* 'Well!', 'Yes!'

jē, *njē*, *onjē*, *onjā* 'Yes!', 'Of course!'

je 'Here! (take)', *jetta* 'Here it is!'

ha, *hai* 'Is that so!'

he 'so!', 'what!'

Besides these exclamations, there are also more wordlike expres- **310** sions for different feelings or outbursts of interest.

As such are used:

čham 'Really!', *ha čham* idem.

kilse 'So!', 'So it is!', 'Yes!'

egjo 'How bad!'

ēlsa 'How nice!', *ēlla* (by small children)

wen 'What!', 'How!', 'Not at all!'

pudä, pudj 'I beg, I pray!' 'Sure!'

ani 'No!'

ēgi (< *ēg igi*) 'Ugh!'

Some examples:

aigo, čiwegra 'oh! it's cold!'

heg, ki jambani tora gatta-n mar io 'do you say he is dead?'

atēha, ēal mot hajekkona 'dear me! I have done wrong'

apta, pēlse iže berjetta-n mar io 'now, have you already forgotten it!'

atta, ki saram ēham mariļ ēal hagona 'oh, how well he talks, really!'

aptana, ki saram 'oh, what a man!'

onja, kekčjeņ mara 'oh, don't worry about that!'

ha, ēham ējim pogenne 'oh, now I see it for the first time!'

Onomatopoeia.

- 311** The Koreans quite frequently use imitations of different kinds of sounds. Such new creations are used at the will of the speaker and have no real history behind them, but this fondness for onomatopoeia goes beyond the sphere of sound and includes positions and movements. Such sound complexes of the moment are mostly used reduplicated, and stand in the sentence as adverbs to the following verb. They consist of one or two syllables, seldom of three. In some of the one-syllable onomatopoeia, either a Chinese word or some form of a Korean verb seems to have been the base, but it is scarcely possible to find any relation between the two-syllable onomatopoeia and the inherited inflected words which the language possesses. But on the other hand from these onomatopoeia verbs can be derived with the endings *-kerida*, *-kida* and *-täda*.

Some examples:

sugin sugin hada 'to whisper'

phallan phallan hada 'to flutter'

tallan-dallan hada 'to rattle'

allan-allan hada 'to flatter, to pretend to admire'

teņsil-teņsil hada 'to cut capers, to frolic'

tesun-tesun hada 'clumsily'

tebuk-tebuk 'in tufts', 'in bunches'

tebek-tebek 'stupidly, clumsily'

tephul-tephul 'flying up and down'

talmak-talmak 'shaking, rattling'

tamssak-tamssak 'squeezing'

sellēn-sellēn 'cool'

nällim-nällim 'quickly'

tām tām hada 'to have the mouth closed' (*tamilda* id.)

ttan ttan hada 'to be firm'

thiņ thiņ hada 'to be strong'

phjeņ phjeņ hada 'to be smooth, to be plain' (skor. *phjeņ* 'plain')

pjeņ pjeņ hada 'to be fair, to be all right'

ttit ttit hada 'to be hot' (*ttida* id.)

kät kät (*käkkät, kkäkkät*) *hada* 'to be clean' (*kai*- id.)

ēwal ēwal 'flowing', *ēol ēol* id.

teņ deņ hada, toņ doņ, teņduņ teņduņ h. 'to drum'

ssēk ssēk 'very, much'

ogil ogil 'congregating, in swarms'

umil umil 'in swarms'

oksil oksil hada 'to move in swarms'

ugit ugit 'turning inwards, beaten inwards' (*ukta* 'to batter in')

amil amil 'indistinctly, almost hidden' (sinokor. *am* 'hidden')

omil omil 'gumming (in eating)'

ēžil ēžil 'dizzily, giddily'

adik adik 'giddily'

ēkpak ēkpak 'uneven, prickly' (as from smallpox)

ellek ellek 'in spots, pitted'

allak allak 'in (big) spots, variegated'

ektek ekte 'variegated'

elsun elsun 'multicolored, variegated'

elsun teļsun 'with irregular spots'

elmeņ elmeņ 'full of holes'

akpak akpak 'full of bigger holes'
elgi elgi 'tangled', *elgi selgi* 'in many ways tangled'
engim engim 'on all fours'
en en 'crying', *en-en-gerida* 'to bawl, to cry'
enkhim enkhim 'with long strides'
sänil sänil 'laughing'
sengit sengit, sengil sengil, singil singil 'grinning'
sillok sillok, sällök sällök 'twitching, pulling'
silkhim silkhim 'unwillingly'
sältčuk sältčuk 'sideways, askew'
ssigin ssigin 'panting, with a gasp'
sidik sidik 'halfdry'
sidil pudil 'partially half dry, partially dry, warping'
orok čorok 'in (uneven) lumps'
užil pužil 'boldly'
uri buri 'imposing, majestic'
ureṇ ureṇ 'sounding deep and full'
udun udun 'idiotically, stupidly'
edun edun, adun adun 'stupid' (Skor.)
walkhak walkhak 'precipitately'

Note. We have the old Instructive in:

čip čibi 'in every house', 'every house'
nal nari, nanari 'every day'
tal dari, tadari 'every month'
hä häi 'from year to year', 'every year', etc.
 etc, etc.

A few sentences may show their use:

Nunseḃil ččingut ččingut, ibil ppitčuk ppitčuk handa 'he twitches his eyelids and moves his lips'
čhol čhol hiriṇan muri sul sul narje ganda 'the river flows turbulently and beats downwards strongly'
eže pame čhoṇil thaṇ thaṇ nōthera 'last night they shot off guns repeatedly'
čjosu ga čjem čjem na gadera 'the tide has gone out little by little'

seṇo mäk mäk hi podera 'they looked at each other, not recognizing'
pada ga maṇ maṇ haja kai epso 'the sea stretches out, boundless as space'
pap čak čak megera 'eat moderately!'
nunbaṇuri hyl hyl nallidera 'the snowflakes came sweeping down'
mure ori ga toṇ toṇ tlettera 'the duck went on bobbing up and down in the water'
parami punikka namukkitči hiitčhaṇ hiitčhaṇ hadera 'as the wind blew, the branches of the trees lashed backwards and forwards'
nabiṇiga phel phel nalla gadera 'the butterflies flew fluttering away'
pami tömjen pjeri panžak panžak hao 'when night comes, the stars twinkle'
kč haksäṇi čhoṇmjen haja pähon gešil čul čul ödera 'that student is bright and recites his lesson fluently'.

CHAPTER V

Word formation.

N o u n s.

- 312 Nouns which are not simple root words are either derivations or compounds. It is sometimes quite easy to discern the original components in a compound, but as there are many phonetic changes and variations it is frequently difficult to find out how the language has acquired a particular word, — whether we have to do with a compound or a derivative. It would demand a great deal of special study to give a reliable survey of the Korean word formation, but as a preliminary introduction the following may be of some use.

N o u n s w i t h s u f f i x e s.

- 313 To the deverbal nouns in *-ki*, *-m*, *-i*, *-o* (or *-u*), we may add some more with different endings, but as the same endings are also partly to be found in nouns, it is almost impossible to be sure whether the stem word is a noun or verb. The denominal and deverbal derivations are therefore given together.
- 314 1) *-ak*, *-ek*, *-k*: *thēr*, *therēk* 'hair' (*jaŋ theri*, *jaŋ theregi*, *jaŋ thełgi* 'sheep wool'); *tłil*, *tłirek* 'courtyard'; *ka* 'boundary, border', *kaak hada* 'to border on'; *nal* 'raw, uncooked', *narak* 'unhulled rice'; *tol*, *torok* 'stone', *tolžä*, *tolžäjak* 'a small stone'; *kēmēk*, 'black' (*kēmāda* 'to be black'); *kirek*, *kirekči*, *kiri* 'the length' (*kilda* 'to be long'); *nełbekči* 'the breadth' (*nełpta* 'to be broad'); *nełbek* 'flat' (*nepta* 'to be flat'); *pusekči*, *pusirek*, *pusiregi*, *pusirekči* 'offal, crumbs' (*pusida* 'to crumble'); *pirek-čil* *hada* 'to ask for

alms, to beg', *pirek-čan* 'a beggar' (*pilda* 'to pray, to beg'); *karak*, *karakči* 'ring'; *sak* 'price, wages' (*sada* 'to buy'); *čhak* 'closely, firmly' (*čhada* 'to grasp firmly', *ča gada* 'to arrest').

Perhaps we have to count among these also *säak* 'a young woman' (*sä* 'new'), *anak* 'a wife', *anakne* (*anaŋne*) 'wife' (*an* 'inside', *ankhan* 'the inner room', 'the wife'). But as to *murip*, *muriphak* 'the knee', the vowel makes difficulties, and the word is perhaps a compound as probably is *nimaphak*, (= *nima*, *nimä*, *nimaŋi*) 'the forehead'. The ending *-ak* seems to be sure in *ččarim*, *ččarimak* 'short' (*ččarida* 'to be short'), *pusirem*, *pusilmek* 'a boil'.

- 2) *-kä* (*-ke*), *-ä* (*-e*): *nalgä*, *nallä* 'the wing' (*nalda* 'to fly'); *tolgä* 315 'whirlwind' (*tolda* 'to turn round'); *ssagä* 'a wrap' (*ssada* 'to wrap in'); *pjegä* 'a pillow' (*pjeda* 'to lean the head against'); *ssige* 'a veil' (*ssida* 'to cover the head'); *čige* 'a hod' (*čida* 'to carry on the back'); *čipke* 'a pincer' (*čipta* 'to pinch'); *tepkē* 'a bed cover' (*tepta* 'to cover').
- karä* (older *kalgä*) 'a spade' (*kalda* 'to dig'); *karä* 'a millstone' (*kalda* 'to grind'); *kallä* 'a branch of a family' (*kariäda* 'to divide'); *magä* 'a stopper, cork' (*makta* 'to stop, close'); *kure*, *kulle* 'a bridle' (*kulda* 'to treat'); *ppallä*, *ppalgä* 'the wash' (*ppalda* 'to wash'); *ssire*, *ssiregi* 'sweepings, dust' (*ssilda* 'to sweep'); *norä* 'song', *nori* 'play', *norim* 'amusement' (*nolda* 'to amuse oneself'); *sorä*, *sori* 'sound'; etc.

With this type of deverbal noun seem to be analagous words like *kol*, *korä* 'valley' and *jerim*, *jerimä*, *jelmä* 'fruit', where the ending *-ä* (original *-ai*) is apparently joined to a noun.

- 3) *-či*. This ending seems to be denominal, e.g. *kirekči*, *nełbekči*, 316 *pusirekči*, etc. (see above); *abaži*, *abanim*, *abä*, *abi*, 'father'; *emeži*, *emenim*, *emi* 'mother'; *aguŋi*, *aguŋži* 'the stove'; *paži* 'the trousers' (cf. *Goldi pa* 'trousers', 'liver'); *pełgi*, *pełge*, *pełgeži*, *pereži*, *pełgäŋi* 'insect'; *jagä*, *jagaži* 'the nape of the neck'.
- 4) *-aži*. This ending is a diminutive termination, for instance in words like *käaži* 'a pup' (*kä* 'dog'), *soaži* 'a calf' (*so* 'a cow'),

maaži 'a colt' (*mal* 'horse'), *tojaži* 'a pig'; *mogaži*, *mok* 'the neck'; *son-mogaži*, *son-mok* 'the wrist'; *pagaži* 'a half gourd, used as a vessel' (*pak* 'the gourd'); *muggži* 'remains', *mugi*, *mukči* id. (*mukta* 'to remain, to stay'); (*lan* >) *nan-tteręži* 'a precipice' (*tlelda* 'to fall'); *namaži* 'remainder, surplus' (*namda* 'to remain').

It is possible that the endings *-či* and *-aži* are of the same origin, but it is also possible that in *abaži*, *emeži* we have an original *-či* and in some other words an original *-adi*, *-di*.

- 318 5) *-ari*, *-eri*, *-ri*. The vowels vary according to the vowel of the stem, and *-ri* is found after a vowel stem. This formation seems to have been favoured for denoting concrete things. E.g. *thęk*, *thęgeri* 'the chin'; *tįn*, *tįneri* 'the back'; *pon*, *ponari* (*ponori*) 'the top, the head'; *teń*, *teńeri* 'a lump', *hılkteńi*, *hılkteńeri* 'a clod of earth'; *mugi*, *mugeri* 'remainder', 'shorts'; *miłhe* 'under', *miłheri* 'sandals'; *tolmańi*, *tolmańari* 'a stone block'; *hań*, *hańari* 'a jar'; *tok*, *toguri*, *tokkuri* 'a pot', 'a bottle for brandy'; *padari* 'the bumble bee' (Mongol. *batagana* 'a fly'); *sadari*, *sadaktari* 'ladders' (Mongol. *šatu* 'ladder'); *tothori* 'an acorn' (*toth* 'pig?'); *sońi*, *sońori* 'the bunch'; *tagari*, *tągari*, *tägü* 'the head (of a slaughtered animal)'; *męguri* 'the edible frog' (*mękta* 'to eat'); *muńi*, *muńuri*, *muńeri*, *muńči* 'a ball, a round thing'; *theń* 'empty, wide', *theńeri* 'wideness' (cf. Turk. *tāńiz* 'the ocean, the sea'); *tan* 'alone', *tańari* 'a single piece'; Chinese *čoktu*, Korean *čoktu*, *čokturi* 'a kind of hat'; *aguri* 'mouth, snout' and **ak* in *akpuri* 'snout' (*puri* 'nose'), (cf. Turk. *agyz* 'mouth'); Chinese *ku*, Korean *kui*, *kui* 'the oats'; Chinese *akpo*, Korean *akpo*, *akpari* 'a bad fellow'; etc.

- 319 Here we have also to reckon with *keri* 'a thing, a piece', derived from *kes* 'thing', 'piece', and probably earlier *keseri*, *keheri*. This word seems to be much used as an ending, and will be taken up under compounds, § 332.

A combination of an older ending **-di* and *-eri* may be supposed in the words *tįńderi* 'the back' and *ponđori* 'the head, the top'.

- 6) *-ań*, *-ęń*. Deverbal formations are: *nijen* 'the straw thatch' 320 (*nitta* : *nije* : *nijn* 'to lay side by side, to arrange'), *niphjen* 'the dress' (*niphida* 'to dress'). Perhaps also in N. Kor. *pireń*, *pireńgure* 'the beggar', *pireńbani* 'a poor beggar'.

From nouns are derived: N.Korean *kodjan* 'a place' (*kot*, *kod-i* 'place'), *kęmdjen* 'darkness' (*kęmda* 'to be black'). On dialectical differences depend N.Korean *narań* 'country', *tań* 'earth', *padań* 'the sea', *mađan* 'each', etc. against S. Kor. *nara*, *ta*, *pada*, *mada*. This seems to allow one to conclude that among the words with a long final vowel there may be words where an ending is absorbed.

- 7) *-ańi*, *-ęńi* : *kuri*, *kureńi* 'snake', 'a serpent'; *koi*, *koja*, *konja*, 321 *kojanı* 'the cat'; *tiłkkä*, *tiłkkanı* 'clothes taken apart for dyeing' (*tiłtta* : *tiłre* : *tiłrin* 'to pull to pieces'); *pathanı* 'a jar'; *nureńi* 'a yellow dog' (*nuruda* 'to be yellow'); *nima*, *nimańi* 'the forehead'; *palganı* 'the carp' (cf. Turkish *balyq* 'fish').
- 8) *-agi*, *-egi*. This ending is difficult to get clear because *-a*, *-ę* may 322 belong to a secondary stem and thus only *-gi* should be the ending; on the other hand *-i* may be the determinative ending and the real suffixes should then be *-ak*, *-ęk*. *Ssiregi* 'sweepings', *ssire* 'sweepings, dust' (*ssilda* 'to sweep'); *niagi* 'a story, a tale' (? *nida* : *nije* : *nin* 'to connect, to continue'); *oragi* 'a thread in weaving' (*ori* 'strings', 'band', *ol* 'the threads of warp and woof'); *kuregi* 'a hero' (? *-kure* in *pireńgure* 'a beggar'); *ppigi*, *ppiregi* 'the buds of reed grass'; *mikkuri*, *mikkuraži*, *mikkuragi* 'the lamprey', *mikkurani* id.; *močori*, *močoragi* 'the quail'; *namu*, *namo*, (*namak*), *namkkagi* 'the tree, wood'.
- 9) *-gi*. This ending, which is the termination of the verbal sub- 323 stantive and signifies the action or the state expressed by the verb, seems also to be used in concrete nouns. E.g. *pogi* 'an example', 'something for show' (*poda* 'to see'); *nagi* 'a native of': *seul-lagi* 'a native of Seoul'; *muripčigi* 'clothes that reach down to the knees' (*čida* 'to fall down'); N.Korean *tshigi-tshä*, S.Korean *čhe*, *čhje* 'a sieve'; *čaragi* 'a grown-up' (*čarada* 'to increase in size'); *nägi hada* 'to lay a wager' (*näda* 'to emit, to give out').

- 324 10) *-ami*, *-emi*, *-mi*. From the verbs we have *kkuremi*, *kkuri* 'a wrapper, a ball of twine' (*kkurida* 'to wrap', 'to wind'); *elgemī*, *elmeñi* 'a coarse sieve' (cf. Turkish *algāk* 'a sieve'). Perhaps also words like *mēlmi* 'seasickness' and *pilmi* 'reason', 'cause', *narami* 'the length' (*nalda* 'to run lengthwise').
- 325 11) *-tū*, *-tā*: *hje*, N.Korean *šg*, *šettā* 'the tongue'; *pä*, *pättä* 'the stomach'; *tjñ*, *tjñtä* 'the back'; *čē*, *čē*, *čettä*, *čettä* 'the beginning'. This ending is quite obscure and may be a shortened composition; one can hear both *pättä* and *pättägi*, *koktā* and *koktāgi* 'the mountain' (see below *thak*, under the compounds).
- 326 12) *-gak*. In North Korean, 'to mow hay' is *pida* or *pigak-čir hada*; this gives a word *pigek* with the sense 'sickle', where we should have a deverbial ending *-gak*. The word *čugek* 'a rice ladle' (cf. *čuda* 'to give') is possibly a second example.
- 327 13) *-i*. We had, § 239, among nouns derived from verbs with the ending *-i* the noun for actions, for the result of the action and also for many different kinds of noun which in one sense or another are connected with a verb. E.g. *sari* (*sariṃ*) 'the life', *čabi* 'the handle' (*čapta* 'to take, to fetch'), *čhai*, *čhā* (*ap-čhā* 'the front place in a two man chair', *tui-čhā* 'the rear handles of a chair', *čhada* 'to grasp firmly'); *čhai*, *čhā* 'full-size', *čip-čhā* 'as big as a house' (*čhada* 'to be full', 'to be up to the size of'). From nouns which denote members of a family there is a kind of diminutive formation in *-i*: *emeži*, *emi* 'the mother'; *abaži*, *abi*, *ābi*, N. Korean *abā* (*aba-i*) 'the father'; *až-abi*, *aži* 'the uncle'; *gi* 'mother (of animals)'. This kind of diminutive is known in other Altaic languages as well.
- 328 14) *-kki* (? *-ski* or ? *-tki*). This ending is not clear; we have the few examples *säkki* 'youngster, young animal' (*sä* 'new'), *thokki* 'hare, rabbit' (Chin. *tho* id.) and *tokki* 'an axe' (probably from *tol* 'the stone, the hammer'; according to Prof. OGURA 'the axe' is in fact called *tol* and *tok* in some dialects).
- 329 15) *-im* is found as a denominal ending in *teim* 'the surplus' (*te* 'more'), *čheim* 'the beginning' (*čhe*, *čhet* 'the first').

- 16) *-t* (original *-s* and *-t*). From most of the qualitative verbs there is a form in (written) *-s* used in connection with the verb *hada*: *pulgit pulgit hada* 'to be reddish', *hjit hjit hada* 'to be whitish', *nurut nurut hada* 'to be yellowish', *ttit ttit hada* 'to be hot', *kät kät hada* 'to be clean'. Here is a suffix **-s*, and the same suffix is perhaps also to be found in the formations *pulgisirepta*, *hjisirepta*, etc., where the ending *-r-op-* is the formative of a secondary verb stem. To this *-s* correspond among others the Tungus verbs in *-sse-*: *bu-* 'to die' and *busse-* 'to be sick to death' and the deverbial nouns in *-s* in the Mongolian language. The Tungus ending *-sse-* contains an ending *-s* and the verb *se-*, which is used independently in Manchu and both as to sense and etymology is identical with the Korean verb *hada* 'to do, to say' (< *he-* << **se-*).
- Besides this *-t* (original *-s*) there is another ending *-t* which is found in denominal formations, e.g. *te* 'more', *tet* 'the second one, one more' (cf. Mongolian *det* 'vice', as in titles); *čhe*, *čhet* 'the first, number one'; *he* (*hetek*) 'uselessly, vainly', *het* (*het-ket*), 'a failure, a useless thing'; etc. Whether the ending of this kind of derivation really is *-t* or perhaps *-s* or something else is quite uncertain.
- 17) *-ii*, *-ui*. Such an ending can perhaps be supposed in examples like *nabii*, *nabun* 'butterfly', *čju*, *čjudii*, *čjudun* 'the muzzle of animals'; *homi*, *homii*, *homāni* 'a weeding hoe'; *agui*, *agii* 'strength (as in strong drinks or poison)', cf. Turk. *agu*, Mongol. *ag* id. Whether this ending is a special ending or perhaps an abbreviation (*čjudun* is perhaps shortened to *čjudii*), is difficult to decide without special study.

Composition of nouns.

The Korean language has a trait which distinguishes it from all cognate languages, and this trait consists in its great aptitude for nominal compositions. Perhaps this is a consequence of Chinese influence. In any case this usage is very old. As such a fondness

for compounds exists, it is impossible to enumerate all the possibilities the language possesses, and therefore only a few examples can be given of compounds where the second part seems to have sunk to little more than an ending.

- 1) *-hii*, found as a kind of plural sign: *ne* 'thou', *nehii* 'you'; *če* 'that', *čehii* 'those, they'; *a* 'child', *ahii*, *ahä* 'children' and 'child'.
- 2) *-čja* (Chin.), 'person': *puža* 'the rich man', *kiža* 'that fellow, he', (*nimža*) *imža* 'the owner, the master', 'my own beloved', etc.; see Postparticipial nouns No. 20 § 225.
- 3) *čjan*, *čjani* (Chin.) is very much used to indicate the craft or trade: *not* 'brass', *notčani* 'the maker of brass goods'; *sin* 'shoe', *sinžani* 'the shoemaker', *čil-kirit* 'earthenware, crockery', *čil-kiritčani* 'the potter'; *tam* 'the wall', *tamžani* 'the plasterer, wallmaker'; *täžani*, *täžanžani* 'the smith'; *kui-megi* 'the deaf', *kui-megeri* or *kui-mekčani* 'the deaf person'; *tij-gop* 'the hunchback', *tij-gop-čjani* 'the humpbacked person'; *apčjan* 'the foreman'; *tüižan* 'the last man'; *kep* 'fear', *kepčjan*, *kepčjani* 'the hare-hearted man'. The same Chinese word has also entered the Turkish language, where we have *söz* 'word', *sözčän*, *kkir. söščän* 'wordmaster, orator', *öjränü* 'the learning', *öjränüčän* 'apt to learn', etc.
- 4) *nim* (Chin.?) is used after names and titles of honoured persons: *abanim* 'the father', *emnim* 'the mother', *hjeņnim* 'elder brother', *son*, *sonnim* 'the guest', *sensän*, *sensännim* 'the teacher', 'mister', *sinim* 'colleague, you' (used between monks of the same sect), *hānanim* 'God' (*hānal* 'heaven'), etc. In daily speech this *-nim* is very often shortened to *-ni* and *abani*, *emni* also to *aban*, *emēn*.
- 5) *-nom* is used in a contemptuous sense: *tožek* 'a thief', *tožeknom*, id.; *čap* (Chin.) 'various, mixed', *čamnom* 'a low fellow'.
- 6) *-kun* (Chin.) is used in names for workmen: *il* 'work', *ilgun* 'a worker', *norim* 'play', *norimgun* 'a player', *kjo* 'a palanquin', *kjogun* 'a palanquin bearer'; *mori* 'the drive' (*molda* 'to drive'),

morikkun 'the driver, the coachman', etc. This is to be kept apart from *-kun* (Chin.) 'royal person', e.g. *nimgun* (*imgun*) 'the Ruler, the Emperor'.

Also *su*, *sju* (Chin.) 'hand' is found in names for workmen or professionals: *moksu* 'carpenter' ('wood hand').

- 7) *-kuregi* is used to show contempt: *ton* 'money', *tonguregi* 'a money lover'; *kekčēn* 'worry', *kekčēnguregi* 'an anxious person' and 'a cause of anxiety'; *pap* 'food', *papkuregi* 'a food lover, a great eater'.
- 8) *-paŋ* 'the chamber' and *-paŋ* 'the poor person', two Chinese words which seem to have been mixed and given the ending *-paŋi*: *sjeban* (*sjē* 'writing utensils'), 'secretary, mister, Mr' (*kim-sjeban* 'Mr Kim'); *anžinbani* 'a lame person, a cripple' (*anžin* 'sitting'); *allanbani* 'a flatterer' (*allan allan hada* 'to pretend to admire'); *pirenbani* 'a beggar' (*pilda* 'to beg').
- 9) *patčhi* 'an actor'; *norimbatčhi*, *norimbani* 'a clown', *norimžani*, *norimgun* 'a gambler' (*nolda* 'to play').
- 10) *ne*, **nqi* 'man', an old word now replaced by *saram* and used only in compounds, especially as a sign of the plural: *pumo* 'the parents', *pumone* id.; *njephjenne* 'a woman' (Chin. *nje* 'woman' *phjen* 'side'), *namphjenne* 'a man' (*nam* 'man', *phjen* 'side'); *taŋsin* 'you' (*taŋ* 'to touch', *sin* 'body'), *taŋsinne* id. or plural; *saramdille*, *saramnedil* (see under Declension, § 82).
- 11) *katčhi* 'value, worth', is mostly pronounced *-atčhi*; *sipčēn-atčhi* 'worth ten sen'; *il weŋ-atčhi* 'of the value of one yen'.

There is an ending *-atčhi*, which also may originally have been the last member of a compound and now signifies a person as the holder of an office.

- 12) *-kan* (Chin.) 'chamber, room' and 'interval, period of time'; e.g.: *apkan* 'the foreroom'; *imsjekkan* 'dining room'; *ankkan*, *ankhan* 'the inner room, the women'; *čamkan* 'a space between, a little while'; *sigan* 'the period of one hour' (*si* 'hour'); *oräkkkan* 'a long time' (*orä* 'long ago').
- 13) *-kēri* 'thing, piece' (from *kēs*, see above, § 319) is much used in concrete nominations: *tēt* 'more', (*tēt-kēri*) *tekkēri* 'exagger-

ation'; *amsu* 'cheating', *amsugeri* 'a trick'; *sip-čen* 'ten sen', *sip-čen-geri* 'a ten sen piece', also 'something worth ten sen'; etc.

From this *keri* are to be kept apart *keri* 'road' (*kelta* : *kere* : *kerin* 'to walk') in *tugeri* 'a crossroad' (*tu* 'two'), *samgeri* 'crossroad' (Chin. *sam* 'three'), etc., and *keri* 'hangings, strings' (*kelda* : *kere* : *kēn* 'to hang up') in *angjengeri* 'the ear-strings of spectacles' (*angjen* 'spectacles'); *apkeri* 'breast strap of a horse', *okkeri* (< *os-keri*) 'horizontal pole to hang clothes' (**os* 'clothes')

14) *-čhai*, pronounced *čhä* 'size' (see above, § 327): *čip-čhä* 'as big as a house, the size of a house' (*čip-čhä katta* 'to be like a house'); this *-čhä* is mostly used with the verb *katta* : *katha* : *kathin* 'to be similar' and seems to correspond to the Mongol ending *-čai*, Turk. *-ča*, which have the same sense and are used as endings.

15) *-thak*, *tak* 'the ridge, the top, the highest point'; *mallo*, *mallan*, *mallothagi* 'the ridge of a mountain'; *kkok*, *kkoktagi* 'the top of a mountain'; etc.

16) *-ča*, an old word which seems to have meant 'condition' or 'state': *kižge* 'so as it is', 'gratis'; *honža*, N.Kor. *habundza* 'alone, single'; etc.

17) *-ran*, *-ran* (Chin. *lan*) 'beast' is found in some names for wild animals: *ho* (Chin.), *horan* 'the tiger'; *sireŋ*, *sireŋi* 'the wolf', etc.; perhaps also *hwaran* 'sorcerer'. Here we have the ending *-lan*, *-lan* of the Turkish and Mongolian names like *arsalan* 'lion', *qablan* 'tiger', *sirtlan* 'hyena', etc.

18) *-čil* 'work': *panil* 'needle', *panižil* 'needlework', *panižil hada* 'to sew'; *no* 'rudder', *nožil hada* 'to row'; *piđa*, *pigakčil hada* 'to mow'; *māl* 'word', *mālčil hada*, *māčil hada* 'to gossip'; *tām* 'a patch for a hole', *tāmžil hada* 'to mend, to patch'; *njok*, *jok* 'scoldings', *njokčil hada* 'to scold'; *ppallä* 'wash', *ppalläžil hada*, *ppalda* 'to wash clothes', etc.

This *čil* may sometimes mean not only the work but also the worker, and is therefore probably identical with the Turkish ending *-čil* in *ikčil*, *igčil* 'the sick person', *sözčil* 'the slanderer', etc.

19) *teŋi*, *teŋeri* 'a heap': *papteŋi* 'a lot of rice', *hılkteŋi*, *taŋdeŋi* 'a heap of earth', etc.

20) *maŋi*, *maŋari* 'a round piece', 'a ball': *al* 'an egg', *almaŋi*, id. *almaŋdeŋi*, id.; *nun* 'eye', *nunal*, *nunal-maŋi* 'the eyeball'; *tol*, *tolmaŋi* 'a stone'.

21) *ket* (*kes*) 'thing, piece': this word seems to be of the same value as a simple ending for forming concrete names: *su* 'masculine', *am* 'feminine', *sukhet* 'a male', 'a he-', *amkhet* 'a female', 'a she-'; *sekkın* 'mixed', *sekkinget* 'a mixture', *he* 'empty', *hekket* 'vanity, a worthless thing'. This word is used after all participles to denote not only the visible thing as the object or result of an action but also the action itself. We have here something corresponding to the English ending -ing: *pat kănan get*, *pat kal ket*, *pakkalket* 'farming, agriculture'; *čamkan čanan get*, *čamkan žanget* 'the sleeping a little while, a nap'; *ani halsu čmnin get* 'necessity'; etc. On the use of this word see Postparticipial nouns, No 17.

CHAPTER VI

The structure of the sentence.

333 *The simple sentence.* The most important part of a Korean sentence is the predicate. The verbs are, as we have explained above, of two kinds, i.e. verbs of action, corresponding to what are called verbs in other languages, and the verbs which have been called qualitative verbs and correspond to adjectives.

A Korean sentence, where it is not a single word as the answer to a question or a short exclamation, is complete and clear with the verb alone. E.g. *kanda* 'he goes, they go', or 'I go', 'we go'; *palkta* 'it is bright'; *saram ida* 'it is a man'. The lack of a subject seems to be no inconvenience at all to the Koreans, and ideas like 'it' or 'he', 'she' are as a rule not expressed grammatically.

When the subject is expressed it always stands at the beginning of the phrase and is to be understood as limiting the action or quality expressed. If it is clear who or what is being spoken of, the subject is left out.

The action can be closer defined and limited in many ways, and we have thus both subject and object referring to and limiting the idea of the verb. Furthermore, the action can be narrowed by words expressing temporal or local circumstances. In this case we talk of adverbs if the limiting words are nouns and of converbs if the limiting words are verbs. All these limitations, starting from the subject, and proceeding through temporal adverbs, dative objects and accusative objects, stand before the verb, which is thus the last word in a sentence. To repeat the above, the order of the words

in a normal sentence is: subject, local-temporal adverb, dative object, accusative object, converb, main verb or predicate. (For possible variations of this general scheme see below.)

The noun and its attributes. As in other languages the nouns **334** are used in many different ways, i.e. as subject, as object, in local, temporal or other kinds of limitations of the verb. The noun itself is limited or narrowed by attributes. If there are several attributes, they are placed in logical order so that the narrowest comes first; e.g. 'these three young black horses'. This logical order is maintained quite strictly in the Korean language. To use expressions common in European languages, we can therefore state as the general rule that the governing word follows the governed, that the noun follows the adjective it governs and that the whole sentence is governed by the verb at the end.

Logically there is no strict difference between an adjective as attribute to a noun and the relation of the first part of a compound noun to the last. As to grammatical variations of forms, the case endings and particles come after the last noun, but never after the attribute; in other words, the Korean language knows nothing of concordance between the attribute and its main word.

What are above called nominal postpositions are words for some general local ideas ('under', 'in', 'at', 'beside', 'about', 'above', etc.), which are used as the main or governing word to the preceding noun.

Orientation. Varying from the normal sentence, where the **335** local and temporal adverbs as a rule come after the subject part of the sentence and before the object, the Korean sentence often begins with a local or temporal or some similar adverb, which more vividly connects the sentence with the surrounding situation or context. This anticipatory use of a certain class of adverb is quite common in ordinary discussions, but is avoided as much as possible in the literary language. It is natural that this anticipation is caused by the desire of the speaker to prepare the mind of the person spoken to as early as possible. This kind of deviation from the normal order of the words can be called the sentence with *orientation*.

336 *Supplementary correction.* The Korean sentence ends in the verb, but sometimes in daily speech the speaker may find that he has left some word or words out, and in this case the word left out can be put after the verb but always in a voice or tone level which differs from the normal. E.g. *sarami kanda* 'the man goes' or *kanda* 'he goes', but if this *kanda* is not understood and the speaker wants to repair his omission quickly, he can say *kanda, sarami* instead of the regular *sarami kanda*, 'he goes, the man' instead of 'the man goes'. This breaking of the order is strictly avoided in the literature.

337 *The accusative object.* If the object is a more or less occasional or special limitation of the sphere of action expressed by the verb, the accusative case is used, and this accusative has a freer position in the sentence than many other limitations of the verb. The accusative can precede the dative or follow it, and in some cases the accusative can be given at the beginning of the sentence like the anticipatory adverb. But very often the object of a transitive verb is so closely united in thought with the verb that it stands just before the verb and is then without an accusative suffix.

338 *Direct and indirect speech.* A phrase can be the object in another phrase and is then expressed without change, in other words, there is no difference between direct and indirect speech in the Korean language. The particle *ko* (*go, kko*) can be used to point out what precedes as the utterance of another. E.g. *il handa* 'he works', *il handa hao*, *il handa go hao* 'he says that he works'. The middle polite form of the verb, which ends in *-o* or *-so* can stand only at the end of a sentence but not in the included indirect clause: *il hao* 'he works', but never *il hao hao*; instead of this, forms like *il hasinda hao* and *il hamnida hao* may be used. Instead of using *hao* in the sense of 'to say', the noun *mal* 'speech' is very largely used; e.g. *handa han mar-io* (*handan mar-io*) 'the word is: he works', 'he says he works'. This use of the word *mal* 'speech' is quite common.

339 *Oratory questions.* The Koreans, to emphasize causal, local or temporal clauses, often use a conditional clause 'if one asks' with an following question and the answer. 'He has gone to Seoul to study' may be constructed as follows: 'if you ask why he has gone

to Seoul, it is for study', or 'if you ask where he has gone to study, it is to Seoul', e.g. *kī i ga edārīl kanan go hani, seurīl kao* 'if you say where he is going, he goes to Seoul'; *kī sarami elgori we kīrīn go hani pjenī tīreṣe kīresso* 'when you say why this man's face is so (pale), the sickness having entered it is so' — 'his face is so pale because he has been sick'. Here the *ko* after the participle is the interrogative particle *ko*, not the one used in the indirect clause.

Amplified sentence. By using participles with a following noun 340 (see postparticipial nouns § 225), the Koreans can unite a sentence with a following sentence. For the same purpose the numerous converbial forms of the verb are very convenient. There is a distinct tendency in the literary language to unite all clauses and sentences which are logically connected, and the result is periods which may fill whole pages without stops or other dividing marks than the modulation in the voice and the meaning of each converb. That is why the language feels no need for conjunctions. Sometimes the converb may be in some way repeated, using a verb like *kīre hada* 'to be so'. E.g. *ton ikki nīn issīna (issīna kīreṇa) kī saramūge nīn čuži ani hagesso* 'money I have, but (even so) I do not give it to him', *čanšarīl čal hana (kīreṇa) namnīn tonīn epso* 'he does business well, but (nevertheless) he has nothing left over'. It is the verb *kīre hada* (*kīretha*) 'to be so', 'to do so', which in its different converbial forms and other formations of not finite character nearest corresponds to a conjunction, but this is most used in daily speech and is as much as possible avoided in good literary style.

Another word which is largely used when the period is broken into smaller units is the word *man* 'amount', 'size', 'worth', but as adverb almost 'but'. E.g. *jenžanī itči manīn il hal su epso* 'the tools are there, but I cannot work'; *kī saramīl orakko hajetči manīn* (or *hajetta manīn, hajekken manīn*) *ani one* (or *one kīrje*) 'I have told him he should come, but he does not (doesn't, so it is)'. The word *man*, with the emphatic particle, *manīn*, is used after the declarative form in *-ta* and also after the indecisive in *-či*, but most literary and probably most correct is to use it after the participle, like other post-participial nouns.

341 *Two negations.* The negation in Korean is strong enough used alone, and if we have two negations, the sense is strongly positive. E.g. *kirekhe ani hamjen mot tögesso* 'if one doesn't do so, it will not come out all right', the sense is 'one must do so'; *igesin enži an haži anso* 'this is not to be put not over', the sense being 'this is really good', 'this is certainly best'.

342 *Unfinished sentences.* The sentence is normally closed with a verb in a finite form, but the Koreans can also use elliptical or unfinished expressions. In orders, commands and explanations, we find the whole utterance ending in converbs or postpositions or case endings. In this use the rest may be omitted as understood. The same seems to be the rule in answering questions.

Leaving the sentence unfinished can also have a social reason. As explained in the chapter on verbs, the Koreans have many different forms for the predicate, all paying some attention to politeness. If the speaker is uncertain what form or what kind of politeness he has to show, the most convenient way out of the dilemma is to use an unfinished sentence. The perfect converb in *-a*, *-e* has been used from the oldest times as substitute for other forms, and it is this converb from the passive stemformation (i.e. originally *-w-a*, *-w-e*) which has created the middle polite verbal form in *-o*, now used as the most convenient and sufficiently polite way of ending a sentence.

343 *Chinese expressions.* The order of words is quite different in Chinese, where for instance the object follows the verb. When Chinese expressions are used in Korean phrases they are to be understood as a single word, a compound, which corresponds to a Korean noun. By using the word *hada* 'to do', 'to be' after the Chinese expressions, the Koreans obtain the corresponding verbal expression (§ 120). This incorporation of Chinese has gone on since the beginning of Chinese influence, and in more or less scientific or serious writing, we everywhere meet with this *hada* type. Of all the verbs in dictionaries, at least three-fourths are verbs with *hada*. Nevertheless the Chinese order of words in a sentence has not influenced the Korean order.

Appendix.

Texts.

A. Songs.

I

*ägi, ägi, erin ägi,
kkamıl kkamıl kusıl nuni,
tapphul tapphul kemın meri,
etci kırı ejepun-ja?*

Baby, baby, my small baby,
your twinkling pearl-eye,
your forest-like dark head,
how are you so charming?

*thabak thabak kiji wase
ando kathın ipsullo-sse
pangit pangit usımjense
emma ppäme ip mačhune.*

When you come on all fours
and with your cherry-like lips
when you smile your lovely smiles,
mother will kiss you on your
cheeks.

II

*čaža, čaža, čanın-gunā,
uri ägi čal do čanda.
inža toη-a, kimža toη-a,
sjumjen čjanşju pugui toη-a.*

Sleep, sleep, he is sleeping,
our baby sleeps so nicely,
silvery child, golden child,
with long life, great age, riches
and rank.

*inıl čumjen nerıl sal ka?
kimıl čumjen nerıl sal ka?*

Can one buy you by giving silver,
can one buy you by giving gold?

kukkae nin čunsin toni, For the country a noble boy,
pumoŭige hjoža toni. for the parents a faithful son,

hjeŋžeŭige ũä toni, for the brethren a brotherly son,
il-ka čhinsjŋk hwamok toni, for the family and relatives an harmonious son,

tonnä paŋnä jusin ton-a, for the village and the house a renewer,
täsan katčhi kussegera. be strong as the great mountain!

hahä katčhi kipko kipke, Be deep (in feeling) as the rivers
 and the sea!

jumjeŋ čhjenha haja poža, Be renowned over the whole world!
čal do, čal do, čal do čanda, Gently, gently, gently sleeping,
uri ägi čal do čanda. my baby is sleeping sweetly.

III

paŋa, paŋa, mul-paŋa ja, Mill, mill, watermill!
khun khun ččinnin mul-paŋa ja, Strongly pounding watermill,
neŭi himi čaŋ hago-na, your strength is powerful
phokpho katčhi ssonnan mure. in your cascade-like splashing water.

tterŋ-žinŋ koni sori The sound of the falling pounder
süil-sä ėpsi ollimjense you raise high restlessly,
han sem, tu sem ččije näni you pound for us one bag, two bags
päg-ok kathin hiŋ ssal ilse. of the white-jade-like white rice.

i ssal ččije mučt hal ka? What is there to do after pound-
 ing this rice?

jŋžŭi-mäe kara näje, To grind the grain in the horse-
 drawn mill,

čhāro čhjesŋ karu haja, to sift it with sieve to flour,
allak tallak mul tŋrjesŋ, to mix water in it,

kōpke kōpke pižŋ näje to cut it nicely in slices,
ččjel ččjel kŭllin kirim soje in the fiercely boiling oil
masi ikke ččžŋ näje to stir it until it is tasty
sākssi saŋe kōijŋ nōlčha. and to lay it as an adornment on
 the young wife's table.

IV

kaža, kaža, nōllje kaža, Let's go, let's go to play,
tüi-doŋsane nōllje kaža, in the back garden we'll go to play,
kkot to ttago sop-kop nōlkjem picking flowers we will play,
kjŋmsa kjŋmsa nollje kaža. in pairs, in pairs we go to play.

pok-sun-i nin sākssi nägo The Happy-girl we choose as
 bride,
ippuniro sillan sama the Beautiful we make the bride-
 groom,
kkot kwa phuril mowattaga we gather flowers then and grasses
čami ikke nōra poža. and we try to play interestingly.

V

čjenim-ā, mal tŋiso! My love, listen to my words!
kaŭri kŭphe-žjŋne. The autumn has pass'd deep,
i kaŭl kagi čjŋe but before this autumn passes out
kŭdä elgol pogo žigo! I want to see your face.

sonmok mažo čabara! Take my hand by the wrist!
sanŭro do olla pogo Let's go up to the mountains,
ėkke ėkke makkennūra! let's put shoulder against shoulder
mullo do kŋnŋkkena! and let's wade over the waters.

hānari nopta kŋena, They say the heaven is high —
katčhi nalmjŋn nažŭlse-go, when we fly together, it is low.

<i>ttan kkūtēhi mēlda khēna,</i>	They say the end of the earth is
	far —
<i>katēhi kamjēn kakkaptānā.</i>	when we go together, it is near.
<i>i mōm do kuṇṇil-lānnē,</i>	I, this body, am born to poverty,
<i>ējēnim tto han kuṇṇil-lāssō.</i>	you, my love, are also born to
	poverty;
<i>ēṇi-ttan ēṇi-kode-n-dīl</i>	in some country, somewhere,
<i>tu mom tul tā ēpke-sso?</i>	should there not be a place to put
	us two.

B. From the translation of the Bible.

Genesis, Chapter XXXVII.

1. Jagobi ki abi sonnorit haden tta kot Kanaan ttae ke hani
2. Jagobi sažjegi ire hanira. Josebi sipčhil sjee hjeŋdıl gwa hamkkii jaŋıl čhini, ki ttäe ghära tu sje mo Pilhaji adal gwa Silbaji adallo tebire katčhi ittaga čehji čal mot hanan gesil abiige ko-hadeni.
3. Isiraeri Josebi nahil ttäe nai imii nılgin goro jere adal poda te sran haja čhäsäk osil čie niphinan-žira.
4. ki hjeŋdiri abi ga modin hjeŋzje poda Josebi te sran hamil pogo müüwe haja tebire hwaphjeŋ han marıl hal su ga epteni.
5. Josebi kkumıl kkugo modin hjeŋzjeiige nijagi handä hjeŋdiri teuk müüwe hadera.
6. čehiige niradä: »hjeŋ-kheŋ-dä naii kkum kkun gesil tirisio!
7. uri ga pathesje koksik-taŋıl mukkimä, nä tanin nire-na sego, nehi tanin naii taŋıl tulle sesje čel hadera» hani,
8. ki hjeŋdiri karadä: »ne ga uri waŋi töigennan-ja? urirıl tasrigennan-ja?» hago ki kkum gwa ki marıl inhaja teuk müüwe hadeni.
9. Josebi tasi kkumıl kkugo ki hjeŋiige nijagi haja karadä: »posio, nä ga tto han kkumıl kkumä, hä wa tal gwa jel-han pjeri näge čel hadera» hago

10. i kkumiro puhjenjige ko-hal ttäe abi ga kkužižje karadä: »ne ga i kkum kkun gesi muēs-in-ja? na wa ne mo u a ne hjeṇḍiri kwajen ttae eptäje nege čel hagenṇan-ja?» hani
11. ki hjeṇḍirin sügi hadöi ožik abi nin ki maril čanṇjem hadera.
12. hue ki hjeṇḍiri Segeme kasje abiṇi jaṇ tteril čil sä
13. Isiraeri Josebiige niradä: »ne hjeṇḍiri Segemesje jaṇil čhiži ani haṇan-ja? ča, nä ga neril küige ponär-ira» hani karadä: »nä ga jegi innäda»,
14. karadä: »ne ga kasje hjeṇḍil gwa jaṇ tte ga ta čal innan ga pogo tora wa näge ko-hara» hago tidäje Hebiron kolčagiesje ponäni Josebi Segemiro kadaga
15. tšesje paṇhwan hadeni hogi manna karadä: »ne ga muēsil čhanṇan-ja?»
16. karadä: »naiṇ hjeṇḍiril čhannoni čhjenkhendä čhiṇi ga edäsje jaṇil čhinan ga? näge ko-hara!»
17. ki sarami karadä: »čhiṇi ga jegiisje tte nannan-ira, nä ga küi maril tšini, Todaniro kaža» hadera. Josebi hjeṇḍiril čotča-ga Todanesje mannan-žira.
18. Josebi ažik sero kakkahi kaži mot haja hjeṇḍiri mellisje pogo čugigiril hamkküi kköi-haja
19. sero malhadä: »i kkum čal kkunṇžja ga onda.
20. ča, čugje kudeṇie tenžigo ak haṇ čimsäṇi čaba megetta haža! tto haṇ ki kkumi etteke töl kešil porira» hagenil
21. Njuben i tikko ki sonesje ku-haja nürja haja karadä: »uri ga ki säṇmjeṇin sjaṇ-haži malča!» hago
22. tto niradä: »ki phiril hiliži malgo tšie innan kudeṇie tenžigo sonil täži malča!» hani tögä ki sonesje ku-haja näje ki abigero tollje ponärja ham-irera.
23. malčham Josebi nirimä ki hjeṇḍiri osil pekkini kot nibetṇen čhäsäk os-illera.
24. Josebil čaba kudeṇie tenžini kudeṇi ga püije muri eptera.
25. tidäje anžje imsigiṇ mektaga nunil tšie pomä haṇ tte Isimael čoksjogi Killiadiro piṭhe wa muri jaktäriṇ molgo hjaṇ mulphum gwa juhjaṇ gwa mol-jagiṇ sikko Ägubiro nürje kago-žje-hagenil

26. *Juda ga hjeŋžeŋige niradä: »aoril čugigo ki phiril innik hamjen muesi juik har-io?*
27. *Isimael saramiŋe phalgo sonil täži malča! če nin uri ao jo, uri kol-jug-ira» hani hjeŋžediri tittera.*
28. *Midžian čoksjogi sjaŋgo ga ki kodiro činangn-žira. hjeŋdiri Josebil kudeŋiesje kkiŋre näje in i-sim-njaŋil pakko Isimael saramiŋe Josebil phani ki sjaŋgo ga Josebil tarigo Ägubiro kan-ira.*
29. *Niuben kudeŋiro tora-ga kegi Josebi emnang gesil pogo osil čičko*
30. *tora wa aodiril pogo karadä: »ghä ga epsini na nin edäro kal ka?» hani*
31. *čehi ga Josebi osil kažje-daga su-jemsoril čaba ki phiro ose mutčigo*
32. *saramil ponäje čhäsäk osil kažigo abige naa-ga karadä: »uri ga i gesil edessini adari os-in ga? posjosje!»*
33. *abi ga algo karadä: »nä adari os-iroda, pandasi ak han čimsaŋi čaba megessini kwajen Josebi momi čičkjetta» hago*
34. *Jagobi tidäje čagi osil čičko herie kulgin pairo mukko adaril üihaja jere naril ägok hani*
35. *modin adal gwa modin ttari nire na üiro hadöi üiroril patči ani hago karadä: »nä ga čihae narje adariŋe kagi kkaži ägok har-ira» hago abi ga üihaja thongok hadera.*
36. *Midžian sarami Josebil tarigo Ägube nirje Paroi sinha siwidä čjangwan Podiibaŋe phanira.*

Gospel of St. Mark, Chapter IV.

1. *Jesukisje tasi pada-gaesje karčhisini, heda han muri ga mohje tilgenil, päe orisja padae tte anžisimä modin muri nin pada kjet ttae sjenang-žira.*
2. *Jesui jere-gaži iril pijuro karčhisini, karčhisil täe nirasadä:*
3. *»tiril-ži-eda! ssiril ppuringan sarami ppurirje na-gasje,*
4. *ppuril sai tere nin kil kae ttere-žimä sä ga wasje čue mekko,*

5. *tere nin hilgi čegin tolžak pathe ttere-žimä hilgi kipči ani hamiro kot ssagi na-ona,*
6. *hä ga toda ččoin-žik ppulhi ga epsje marigo,*
7. *tere nin kasi-dembul soge ttere-žimä, kasi ga čaramiro kii-uni makhje jelmaril mätči mot hago,*
8. *tere nin čohin ttae ttere-žje čaramä phuŋsjeŋ hani, kjelsil hami hok samsip pä do töigo, njuksip pä do töigo, päk pä do töingn-ira» hasigo,*
9. *tto nirasadä: »küi isse tiril-žja nin tiril-ži-eda!»*
10. *Jesukisje hollo kjesil täe hamkii innang saramdil-gwa jeldu čježa ga ki jere pijuril mu'čgoni*
11. *nirasadä: »hananim naraŋi omjo han gesil nehiŋe nin čudöi öi inŋige nin modin gesil pijuro hamin*
12. *čehi ga pogi nin poa do aži mot hago tikki nin tire do kkädätči mot hage ham-ini čehi nin torikhje čöi sja hamil edil ka turjewje hanang-ira» hasigo*
13. *tto karasjadä: »nehi ga i pijuril kkädätči mot hal-či-n-dä ette-khe modin pijuril algennang-jo?*
14. *ppuringn-žja nin toril ppuringan kes-ini*
15. *to ppurin kil kae innang-žja nin toril tirimä Sadani kot wasje mgame ppurin toril ppäannang kes-io*
16. *tto i wa katči tolžakpathe ppurin kode innang-žja nin toril tikko kot kippige padina*
17. *soge ppuri ga epsje čamkan kjendädaga toi jengoro hwannan-ina phippagil tan han-žik kot silhje-parim-io,*
18. *kasi-dembul kaondä ppurin kode innang-žja nin toril tiridöi*
19. *sjesjanji njemnje wa čariŋi joksir gwa tarin mul-jogi tire wa ki toril maga kjelsil-či mot hage töim-io,*
20. *čohin ttae ppurin kode innang-žja nin toril tikko pada kjelsil hagiril hok samsip pä do töigo, njuksip pä do töigo, päk pä do töim-in-ira» hasidera.*
21. *tto karasjadä: »sarami tinburil kattaga mal arä-na, phjeŋsan arä-na tugennang-ja? tinŋjeŋ ue tuži an-khennang-ja?*
22. *tägä sumin-gesi tire naži animi epko kamčhoiŋ-gesi natha naži animi emnani,*

23. küi ga isse tirił-čja nin tirił-či-eda!»
24. tto karasjadä: »tinnin gesil samgara, nehii ga namil hjearingn hjeanimi nehii do hjeanimil padil kes-in-ira. tto nehige te čurini
25. tögä innan sargmüige nin te čugo emnan sargmüige nin innan get-to ppäasir-ira.»
26. tto karasjadä: »hananimii nara nin sargmi ssiril ttae tenžim gwa kathini,
27. pame čago naže nire namä ssi ga nasje čaradöi etteke töin žurin aži mot hanan-ži-ra.
28. ttai sisgro jelmärił mäžimä čejime nin ssagi namje taime nin isagi töigo l i kue nin isage uanžen-han l o' sigil irungni
29. jelmä nigil ttäe nin kot nadil ssingan kesin kedul ttä ga nirim-ini-ra.»
30. tto karasjadä: »uri ga hananimii nararił etteke pi hamje tto musam pijuro-ssę pi ju hal ko?
31. matči kježa ssi han algwa kathini ttae simil ttäe nin tta ue modin ssi čjune čjeil čegin kes-irodöi
32. simin hue nin čarasje modin namul čjune khigo tto han khin kaži ga nasje koč čjune nagan sädiri ki kinire kil tiringn-ira.»
33. Jesui tto i kathin jere pijuro čježiiga ara tinngan-däro toril malsam hasigo
34. tto pi ju ga ani-mjen malsamil ani hasigo taman honža kjesil ttäe nin ki čejäige modin gesil phure nirasidera.
35. ki nari čjemulmä Jesui čeža darje nirasjadä: »uri ga kenne phjeniro kaža» hasini
36. čehii ga muririł tte-namä Jesui kižje päe kjesin däro möisigo kani tto tarin pädiri isse katči han-hal sä
37. kwanphun i khige nire-namje mulgjeri ttüije päe tire oni päe kadik hja-židera.
38. Jesui komuresje pjegä hasigo čumisidęni čezadiri kkäumje karadä: »sjensänim-ije, uri ga čukke töin gesil tora poži anisi-näkkä?» hani
39. Jesui kkäje paramil kkužižisimje pada darje nirasjadä: »čgm čam hago kojo hga!» hasini parami kitčhigo ažo čan čan hagenil

40. ie čejäige nirasjadä: »etči nehii ga musjewe hanan-ja? nehii ga aži to midimi emnan-ja?»
41. čehii ga simhi turjewe sero mal hja karadä: »če ga nu-igie param gwa pada-ra do sjunžjon hanan-ja?» hadera.

Gospel of St. John, Chapter III.

1. parisä-gjo-in čjune Nigodemo-ra hanan sargmi issini Judä kwanwen-ira.
2. i sargmi pamä wasje Jesuril pogo karadä: »Nappi-je, uri ga sjensänkkisje Hananimkkiiro pithe osin sjensän in-žul anäda. Hananimi hamkkii kjesiži ani hasimjen sjensäni hanhasingn izjegil amo sargm do haži mot ham ini ida.»
3. Jesui tädap hja karasjadä: »činsillo, činsillo nege nirgoni: sargmi kedip naži ani hamjen Hananim nararił poži mot hanan-ira.»
4. Nigodemo ga karadä: »sargmi nilgimjen etteke nagessimnäkka? tu-ben emi pä soge tire-gattaga nal su issimnäkka?»
5. Jesui tädap hasjadä: »činsillo, činsillo nege nirgoni: mul gwa sjensiniro naži ani hamjen Hananim narae tire gaži mot hanani
6. juksiniro nan gesin juksin io, siniro nan gesin sin ini
7. kedip na-ja hgetta hanan marił kiihi njegiži malla!
8. parami imiro pulmä sorarił tire do edäsje omje edäro kagan-ži aži mot hanani sjensiniro nan sargmin ta ire han-ira.»
9. Nigodemo ga tädap hja karadä: »etči ire han iri ikkessam-näkka?»
10. Jesui karasjadä: »ne ga Isiraerii sjensäni töije ire han iril aži mot hanan-ja?»
11. činsillo, činsillo nege nirgoni: uri anan gesil mal hago pon gesil činge hadö nehii ga uri čingeril patči ani hanan-doda.
12. i sjesjan iril mal haje do mitči ani hagedin, hamilmje hanal iril mal hamjen nehii ga etteke mikkenngan-ja?»
13. hanallosje narje onžja pakke hanare olla kanžja ga epsini i nin hanare innan inžg-n-ira.

14. *Mose ga kwanjaesje päamül tin get katëhi inža do tto tülje-ja hgrini*
15. *muron nugu-denzji çeril midimjen jensänjl edir-ira.*
16. *Hanganimi sjesjanjl i çerem sarañ hasja toksänžarjl čusjessini nugu-denzji çeril midimjen mjeľman haži ankho jensänjl edir-ira.*
17. *Hanganimi ki adarjl sjesjane ponäsin gesi sjesjanjl čjeñčöi hasirje hanan gesi anio, ki adallo sjesjanjl kuwen hasirje hanan ges-ira.*
18. *čeril minnan saramin čöirjl čen haži ani hago mitëi ani hanan saramin palsje čöirjl čen hajessini i nin Hanganimiji toksänžavii irhimül mitëi ani ham-ira.*
19. *pitëhi sjesjane nim-hadöi sargmi če häñ-hanan iri ak-hamiro pit poda eduun gesjl te čoha hani i gesi čöirjl čen-han ges-ira.*
20. *agjl häñ-hanan saramin ta pitëhl müiwe haja pitëhiro oži ani hanani i nin ki hanan iri čhäñmarjl pol-ka turjewë ham-io,*
21. *čilliril čonnan sargmin pitëhiro ongni i nin ki hanan iri Hanganimil him nibë häñ-han gesjl natha nägo če ham-ira» hasidera.*
22. *i hue Jesu wa čežadiri Judä ttaro kasje katëhi kegii nju-hamje sjerjerjl čugo*
23. *Johanin tto han Sallem kakkaon Anonesje sjerjerjl čuni kegii muldiri manhin jengo-ra sargmi wasje sjerjerjl padini*
24. *ttäe Johani ažik ige kačhiži ani hajennan-ži-ra.*
25. *Johaniji čeža han Judä-iniro tčbure kjelljerjl in-haja pjelloni töijelleni*
26. *Johanige wasje karadä: »Nappi-je, Jodan-kan pakkesje sjensän gwa katëhi issë sjensänkkisje činge haden sargmi sjerjerjl čumä sargmi ta kiro kade-ida.»*
27. *Johani tädap haja karadä: »manil hangresje čuži ani hasimjen sargmi amo get-to padil su emnan-ira.*
28. *čjene nä ga mal-hagirjl: »na nin Kirisido ga an-io, ki apsje ponän saram-ira» han marjl nehii ga činge hal ges-ini-ra.*
29. *sinbuije čjanga tinin sargmin sillan-ini, sillaniji čhingu ga sesje tittaga sillaniji sorärl tirinžik khige kippe hanani, ire han naji kippimi čhjuñman han-ži-ra.*
30. *ki nin hin hajë-ja hagekko na nin söi-hajë-ja hal-či-ra.*
31. *uriro pithe osini nin manmul ue kjesin-ži-ra. ttaesje nani nin*

- ttäe sjok hani, ki mal hanan get-to ttäe sjok hago hanallo osini nin man mul ue kjesini*
32. *ki ga pogo tirin gesjl činge hadöi činge pannani ga eptoda.*
33. *ki čingerjl pannani nin Hanganimi čham töisida haja inil čhjennan-ira.*
34. *Hanganimiji ponäsini nin Hanganimi sjeñsinjl halljan epsi čusjessimä Hanganimiji malsamül hasinan-ira.*
35. *abažikkisje adarjl sarañ hasja manmurjl ta ki sone čusjessini,*
36. *adarjl minnan sargmige nin jensäñi ikko adarjl mitëi ani hanan saramin jensänjl etëi mot hago Hanganimiji činno hasimi ki ue innan-ira».*

Contents.

	pages
Introduction.....	1—2
Chapter I Phonetics	
Consonants	3—19
Vowels	19—25
Vowel harmony	25—28
Hiatus	28—30
Accent	30—31
Chapter II Morphology	
General remarks	32—34
The noun	34—60
Declension	34—46
Pronouns.....	46—53
Indefinite numerals	53—54
Numerals.....	54—59
Classifiers	59—60
The verb	60—149
General remarks	60—69
Verba finita	70—87
Converbalia	87—105
Nomina verbalia	105—128
Secondary verb stems	128—138
Denominal verbs	138—140
The use of some verbs	140—149
Chapter III Postpositions and adverbs	
Postpositions	150—158
Adverbs.....	158—164
Chapter IV Uninflected words.....	165—173
Chapter V Word formation	174—183
Chapter VI Structure of the sentence	184—188
Appendix Texts	189—199