

A Constraint-Based Typology of Case Systems

March 30, 1997

by

Wataru Nakamura

State University of New York at Buffalo

Abstract

This dissertation proposes an Optimality-Theoretic [OT] (Prince and Smolensky 1993) account of case systems and explores its typological scope, with a particular focus on four accusative languages, Korean, Japanese, Icelandic, and Imbabura Quechua. There are three major claims to be made here. First, the typological variation of case systems is derived from re-ranking of a small number of individually simple constraints which conflict with each other (cf. Legendre et al. 1993). Second, cases (including oblique ones) may best be licensed with reference to a two-tiered system of semantic roles, thematic relations and macroroles, developed within the framework of **Role and Reference Grammar [RRG]** (Van Valin 1993, Van Valin and LaPolla in press). Third, the OT-RRG account may not only handle regular case frames, but also irregular ones displayed by those four languages mentioned above. The latter two claims are improvements over Legendre et al. (1993), the first OT account of case systems.

The bulk of the dissertation follows in Chapters 3-6 after an introduction to OT and RRG in Chapter 2. Chapter 3 is devoted to making the first and second claims, while Chapters 4-6 substantiate the third claim on the basis of Chapter 3.

Chapter 3 proposes a universal set of constraints for nominative, accusative, ergative, and dative case assignment after a critical examination of the previous RRG literature, Van Valin (1991) and Van Valin and LaPolla (in press), and defines the major case systems, e.g. accusative, ergative, active, in terms of their ranking. It is also shown in Chapter 3 how to extend the proposal from those four basic cases to oblique cases with a particular focus on instrumental case in English, Russian, Japanese, and Korean. Chapter 3 closes with a discussion of how to restrict the range of constraint ranking.

Chapters 4-6 apply the OT-RRG case theory outlined in Chapter 3 to a selected set of constructions in Japanese, Korean, Icelandic, and Imbabura Quechua whose irregular case frames have presented a serious challenge to contemporary syntactic theories. Chapter

4 shows that the association between thematic relations and macroroles serves as the basis for case assignment of psych verbs in Japanese, in support of the claim made in Chapter 3 that cases are licensed with reference to a combination of thematic relations and macroroles.

Chapter 5 focuses on "case spreading" and "case stacking" in the four languages. It is proposed that they all involve a multiple association between thematic relations and macroroles, which follow the same set of principles employed in non-linear phonology (see Archangeli 1984 and Goldsmith 1990, for example) and that these two phenomena are also amenable to the OT-RRG case theory.

Chapter 6 investigates case alternations exhibited by a variety of constructions which fall under the label of raising/ascension, i.e. subject-to-object raising and subject-to-subject raising constructions in Icelandic and possessor raising and light verb constructions in Korean. Specifically, it is proposed that possessor raising and light verb constructions involve more than one logical structure (to which thematic relations are assigned) that is associated with a single set of macroroles. This multiple association explains why more than one NP may receive the same case, nominative, accusative, or dative case in those two constructions in Korean.

Chapter 7 concludes this dissertation, noting three areas for further research, accusative/nominative cases marking adverbial NPs which express path, duration, and frequency, case frames exhibited by complex predicate constructions, particularly causative constructions, and a detailed analysis of ergative and active case systems.

Acknowledgements

This is a revised version of my dissertation submitted to State University of New York at Buffalo (on the 31st of January, 1997), with a change in the order of presentation (Chs. 5 and 6) and various additions, revisions, and corrections.

First of all, I would like to thank the members of my committee, Robert D. Van Valin, Jr., Jean-Pierre Koenig, and Karin Michelson. I owe the largest debt to Robert D. Van Valin, Jr., who served the chairman of my committee. His work on typological syntax first sparked my interest and made me want to study at Buffalo. I would like to thank him for giving his time generously when I needed help. I am also grateful to him for developing the framework of Role and Reference Grammar, which allowed me to work on a variety of phenomena. I would not have completed this dissertation if it were not for his guidance and encouragement at critical moments. Jean-Pierre Koenig had a large impact on my view of linguistics. His special way of exploring alternative analyses taught me so much about linguistic methodology. Chapters 5 and 6 may be taken as my attempt to answer his constant challenges. He was also notable in recognizing what I was trying to express and giving it a shape. I am also grateful to Madeleine Mathiot for reading versions of one of my qualifying papers and teaching me how to write very patiently. Furthermore, I would like to acknowledge Bernard Comrie, who agreed to serve as my outside reader in spite of rather short notice and made several helpful suggestions.

I am also grateful to many people I had a chance to interact with during my stay in US: Arto Anttila, Katherine Demuth, Donna B. Gerdts, Joan Maling, James Myers, Doris Payne, Yasuhiro Shirai for answering my questions, commenting on my work, and sending me their relevant papers. They made me feel I was doing something meaningful even when I felt most insecure. In this respect, I am especially indebted to Paul Smolensky, who taught at Buffalo in the summer of 1994, for helpful discussion and encouragement. The discussions which I had with Kristin Homer, Koichi Miyakoshi, and

William Raymond at Albuquerque were also helpful. Kiyoshi Ishikawa and Bhuvana Narasimhan deserve my mention here for their comments on part of this dissertation.

Due to the nature of this dissertation, I owe special thanks to native speakers of Korean, especially Kyung-Shim Kang, Heechul Lee, and Yongnam Um for their judgments, insight, and patience. I am also grateful to Martha Islas for her judgments on Mexican Spanish data. I would like to thank my fellow students for their support in various forms, in particular, Matthew Davidson, Diesel Holger, Isao Honda, David Houghton, Shingo Imai, Martha Islas, Kyung-Shim Kang, Kean Kaufmann, David Kemmerer (now at UCLA), Heechul Lee, Suda Rangkupan, Mitsuaki Shimojo, Kiyoko Toratani, Kazue Watanabe, Noriko Watanabe (now at University of Oregon). Alissa Melinger and Shingo Imai are due a special note of thanks for their last-minute help.

From the Japanese end of things, I would like to thank Yuki Ishihara and Junji Hamamatsu for taking care of administrative matters during my temporary absence from University of Tokyo. Among my teachers in Japan, I acknowledge my debt to Kinsuke Hasegawa, Yoshihiko Ikegami, Tadao Kubouchi, Tasaku Tsunoda and Keiichi Yamanaka.

Last, but not least, I would special gratitude to my family, in particular my parents, who provided much of financial support during my stay in Buffalo and always encouraged me to pursue my interest. Their trust in me have kept me going through all the difficulties.

Contents

Abstract

Acknowledgements

1 Introduction

1.1 Preliminaries	1
1.2 The Organization of Lexical Information	2
1.3 Typology of Case Systems: Parametrization and Constraint Ranking	3
1.4 Overview	5
Notes to Chapter 1	7

2 The Framework

2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Optimality Theory	9
2.3 Role and Reference Grammar	
2.3.1 Projection Grammar	14
2.3.2 Constituent Structure: Simple Clause and Noun Phrase	15
2.3.3 Operator Projection	19
2.3.4 Complex Sentences: A Typology of Clause Linkage	24
2.3.5 Semantic Structure	
2.3.5.1 Verbs and Their Arguments	32
2.3.5.2 Adjuncts	47
2.3.6 Semantic/Syntactic Pivot	51
2.3.7 The Syntax-Semantics Interface	
2.3.7.1 General Considerations	56
2.3.7.2 Linking in Simple Sentences	59

2.3.7.3	Linking in Complex Sentences	63
2.4	Summary	73
	Notes to Chapter 2	74
3	The Case System	
3.1	Introduction	79
3.2	Review of Van Valin (1991) and Van Valin and LaPolla (in press)	
3.2.1	Accusative Case Systems	82
3.2.2	Ergative Case Systems	86
3.2.3	Active Case Systems	89
3.3	Problems in Van Valin (1991) and Van Valin and LaPolla (in press)	
3.3.1	Conceptual Problem: What Do Case Systems Share Universally?	90
3.3.2	Empirical Problem 1: Non-Macrorole Nominative Case?	91
3.3.3	Empirical Problem 2: Multiple-Nominative/Accusative Case Frames	92
3.3.4	Empirical Problem 3: PRO Must be Case-Marked	95
3.4	Proposal: Simple Sentences	
3.4.1	Universal Constraints	97
3.4.2	Case Features vs. Case Forms	102
3.4.3	Default Ranking	113
3.4.4	A New OT Typology of Case Systems	116
3.5	Extension: Complex Sentences	
3.5.1	Control Constructions	117
3.5.2	Raising Constructions	123
3.6	Oblique Cases: The Case of Instrumental/Comitative	
3.6.1	Problems with Oblique Cases	129
3.6.2	English	131
3.6.3	Russian	134

3.6.4	Japanese	138
3.6.5	Korean	140
3.6.6	Extension: Allative, Ablative, and Locative	144
3.7	Deriving Individual Case Systems	148
3.8	Typological Extensions	
3.8.1	Typology in Optimality Theory	156
3.8.2	Markedness Reversal in Dyirbal	158
3.8.3	What Causes Markedness Reversal?	161
3.8.4	How to Restrict Constraint Ranking	165
3.9	Conclusion	167
	Notes to Chapter 3	168
4	Psych Verb Constructions	
4.1	Introduction	176
4.2	Configurational Account: Takezawa (1987)	178
4.3	Proposal: Japanese Psych Verbs	181
4.4	Evidence: Quantifier Floating and Control	186
4.5	Extension: Halkomelem	188
4.6	A Semantic Typology of Psych Verbs	191
4.7	Conclusion	194
	Notes to Chapter 4	196
5	"Case Spreading" and "Case Stacking"	
5.1	Introduction	198
5.2	Data: Korean, Japanese, Icelandic, and Imbabura Quechua	199
5.3	Previous Accounts	
5.3.1	Relational Grammar Accounts: Gerds (1986) and Gerds and YOUNG	

	(1988)	203
5.3.2	Case Tier Hypothesis: Yip et al. (1987) and Maling (1993)	206
5.3.3	Incorporation Account: J.-S. Lee (1992)	208
5.4	"Case Spreading" and "Case Stacking" in Korean	
5.4.1	Proposal	213
5.4.2	Evidence: Passivization and Quantifier Floating	219
5.4.3	Semantic Change	225
5.5	Extension	
5.5.1	"Case Spreading" in Japanese and Icelandic	226
5.5.2	"Case Spreading" in Imbabura Quechua	236
5.6	What Triggers Macrorole Spreading?	
5.6.1	Ditransitive Constructions	242
5.6.2	Double-Nominative Constructions	246
5.7	Conclusion	254
	Notes to Chapter 5	255
6	Raising Constructions	
6.1	Introduction	263
6.2	Data	
6.2.1	Subject-to-Subject Raising and Subject-to-Object Raising Constructions	263
6.2.2	Possessor Raising and Light Verb Constructions	269
6.3	Subject-to-Subject Raising and Subject-to-Object Raising Constructions	
6.3.1	Previous Account: Yip et al. (1987)	285
6.3.2	Proposal	290
6.4	Possessor Raising Constructions	
6.4.1	Previous Accounts	296

6.4.1.1	Choe (1987)	297
6.4.1.2	Yoon (1990)	300
6.4.1.3	Y.-J. Kim (1990)	302
6.4.1.4	Chun (1986)	304
6.4.2	Proposal	
6.4.2.1	Possessor Raising Constructions	307
6.4.2.2	Adversative Passive Constructions	317
6.5	Light Verb Constructions	
6.5.1	Previous Accounts	319
6.5.1.1	Monoclausal Account: Choi (1988)	320
6.5.1.2	Biclausal Account: K.-S. Lee (1991)	321
6.5.2	Proposal	323
6.6	Conclusion	326
	Notes to Chapter 6	328
	Appendix A: Constituent Structures in Possessor Raising Constructions	335
	Appendix B: Case Mismatches in Possessor Raising Constructions	338
7	Conclusion	
7.1	Summary	342
7.2	Areas for Future Research	343
	Notes to Chapter 6	351
	References	354

