P1906

ACTA ORIENTALIA ACADEMIAE SCIENTIARUM

ADIUVANTIBUS

HUNGARICAE

K CZEGLÉDY, B. CSONGOR, I. ECSEDY, GY. HAZAI, G. KARA, A. RÓNA-TAS, A. SÁRKÖZI, G. URAY, I. VÁSÁRY

REDIGIT

F. TŐKEI

TOMUS XLIV

1990

FASCICULUS



AKADÉMIAI KIADÓ, BUDAPEST

NOMINAL PLURAL FORMATIONS IN THE SECRET HISTORY

JOHN C. STREET (Madison)

Early Middle Mongolian had three different systems for producing what may be called, in the broadest sense, plural forms.

- (1) Personal pronouns show singulars with i in the initial syllable, but plurals with a in place of i: bi/min(na-/nama-) vs. ba/man- for 'I' and 'we (exclusive)': ci/cin-(/cima-) vs. ta/tan- for 'you': *i/in-(/ima) vs. *a/an- in the third-person.
- (2) Some finite verbs form plurals by adding a final y to the masculine singular form: *ire-be* 'he came', *ire-bey* 'they came' (with feminine singular *ire-bi* 'she came').
- (3) Nouns, adjectives, postpositions, etc. share a variety of plural formations entirely different from those above. E.g. noyan/noya-d 'prince/ princes' and a-qsan/a-qsa-d 'having been'; eke/eke-s 'mother/mothers' 'qoa/qoa-s 'beautiful', and metü/metü-s 'like' (postposition); cerig ceri-üd 'soldier/soldiers'.

The present article deals only with the third of these systems, the term nominal plural formations being used rather loosely to cover any means of producing a plural form any base-form that is neither a personal pronoun nor a finite verb. This article will not discuss the semantics or syntactic uses of the forms here described; such matters — especially agreement between an adjectival modifier and its head — require extended consideration elsewhere. Here it is simply assumed that a plural refers to more than one member of some class, whereas (as in Mongolian generally) a form not marked as plural may refer indiscriminately to the class as a whole or to one or more of its members.

¹ In citing forms from the Secret History I essentially follow the text established by de Rachewiltz 1972, but employ a somewhat different system of romanization. Forms marked as names in the Chinese text-editions (and all component words of such names) are here capitalized.

² Hence forms such as the following are not discussed: yabu-d (tede) 'they go' (7340), bu tebci-dkün 'do not abandon' (2103), bu tebci-ldü-gtüd 'do not abandon one another' (2354). The first of these may be historically a -d plural of a praesens imperfect in n (IMCS 263, 267, 276), while the -gtüd may be plural of a metathesized form of -dkün, itself perhaps historically a plural (IMCS 253).

tr. Date to II .. . Thirty rough

Each of the first seven sections below discusses one of the nominal plural formations. Within each, examples are separated into four categories differing in their reliability with respect to the determination of regularity of plural formation in the language of the Secret History.³ These categories are defined as follows.⁴

- (A) A unique, non-complex plural form occurs in the SH for a base found either in the SH or (if parenthesized) in some other MM text; neither of the forms seems problematic, and their interrelationship may be considered regular.
- (B) A unique, non-complex plural form occurs in the SH for a base (or two alternate bases) found in the SH or in some other MM text;

³ The following abbreviations are used below, in addition to the bibliographical and text abbreviations listed in the References section at the end of this article:

CM = Classical Mongolian

HP = hP'ags-pa documents (cited from Poppe 1957 unless otherwise noted)

MM = Middle Mongolian

SH = the Secret History of the Mongols

SMI = Sino-Mongolian Inscription (as specified in footnotes)

YCPS = Yüan-ch'ao pi-shih: the Chinese text-edition upon which our romanized text of the Secret History is based.

Note also the following conventions:

+ marks a few of the more obvious morpheme divisions within stems (before a deviational suffix). A hyphen or equals sign (see category [D] just below) is used for all other morpheme divisions, including those before the plural morpheme.

* marks an unattested form reconstructed as having propably occurred in prior to 1400 AD.

† marks an unattested form which might theoretically have existed at some stage of Mongolian; the present writer does not necessarily believe that such a form ever actually existed in MM.

(n) indicates that a stem is probably a "variable n-stem": one that drops the nasal in certain cases, e.g. when occurring alone as a direct object.

p is distinguished from q only in reconstructed forms (with *), hypothetical forms (with †), and forms (MM or CM) cited from sources written in the vertical script. In all other cases, the symbol q refers ambiguously to a voiced or a voiceless velar.

⁴ In the following statements the term "non-complex" is intended to exclude the well-known double plurals of Mongolian: plurals formed on a base that is already plural. Such forms are here included in category (D).

Ethnonyms referring to Altaic tribes, clans, etc., are generally relegated to footnotes, unless their morphology is transparent within MM. (Full discussion of such forms would greatly lengthen the present article without adding to our understanding of the regularity of nominal plural formations in the SH. For a listing of the chief works dealing with ethnonyms, see Bese 1988, 40-42.) Possible plural forms occurring within personal names (e.g. Alaqus Digid Quri, Qacauratu Subcid) are totally ignored in this article.

one of these forms, or the interrelationship between base(s) and plural, seems somewhat problematic for either formal or semantic reasons.

- (C) A unique, non-complex plural form occurs in the SH for a base not known to occur in MM but probably reconstructible as having existed at some stage of MM.
- (D) Residual forms are included here. In a few cases more than one plural form occurs in the SH for a single base attested in MM; some other forms are, or may be, double plurals. Of the remaining forms, some are surely plurals of bases that happen not to be attested; some may not be plurals at all. (An equals sign rather than a hyphen marks forms which might theoretically be plurals, but whose plurality seems doubtful or merely speculative.)

Of these four categories, only the first two offer reasonably firm evidence for regularity of plural formation, and only the first is absolutely trustworthy. Examples in class (C) are not entirely reliable, since any given base could theoretically be a late back-formation, created form the old plural by analogy to regular base/plural pairs.

In the exemplification within each section, information of the following

sorts is given, in five columns.

(1) Base form. (This is parenthesized if not found in the SH, preceded by * or † if not yet found in any MM text, and sometimes followed by a text- or period-abbreviation).

(2) Number of occurrences of the base in the SH, if fewer than 16. (A form not attested in the SH is marked with a minus-sign; one occurring more than 15 times with a plus-sign.)

(3-4) Plural form found in the SH, and number of occurrences. (A line-reference is given for any plural that occurs only once.)⁵

(5) Gloss for the base form.⁶

At the beginning of each of these sections is given a characterization of the factors conditioning the use of the specific formation being discussed.

Four-digit numbers (cf. Street 1986. 14) are used to refer to "lines" of the Secret History text as represented in the YCPS: the first digit shows the chapter number (with X, Y, Z representing chapters 10, 11, 12), the second and third digits together refer to a leaf ("page") of that chapter, and the last digit (0-9) reflects one of the columns on that leaf. (Thus "line" 2159 is the last column on the verso side of leaf 15 of chapter 2.) Such numbering makes it relatively easy to locate a particular passage in the Commercial Press or Yeh editions of the YCPS, the romantized texts of Haenisch, Shiratori, and de Rachewiltz, and the translation of Cleaves 1982.

⁶ A parenthetical s is added to a few of the glosses, to indicate that an English word, ambiguous as to part of speech, is to be taken as a count-noun. Note that a gloss is not intended to cover all meanings found in the text, but serves merely as a convenient label for a specific lexical item of MM.

§8 presents a synchronic summary of the relative distribution of the various plural formations, with a few notes on their history; §9 adds some remarks on plural formation in later stages of MM.

In the citation of suffixal morphemes below, capital letters are used to represent back/front harmonic alternations. The symbol A represents alternation of a with e, U that of u \dot{u} ; K alternation of q with k; G that of q (= γ) with g.

It should be mentioned that the YCPS glossing system is of no real help in determining which SH forms are plurals and which are not. The morpheme division between a stem and a plural allomorph is almost never overtly marked as such in the YCPS, and while glosses for plural stems do sometimes include the character $\not\equiv$ (mei 'each, every'), use of this character is too unsystematic to be probative. (In Chapter 1, for example, this character is included in the glosses of only 54% of the words — 75 out of 140 — which are undeniably plural in both form and meaning.) Hence the use of mei in glosses is ignored in the remainder of this article; judgments as to whether or not a particular form is a nominal plural must depend on the Mongolian text itself, in its historical and linguistic context.

1. Plurals in -nAr. Bases are kinship terms referring to non-lineal kin (related by blood or marriage)

| (A): | | | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|----|------------------|
| aqa | + | aqa- nar | 15 | elder brother |
| $de\ddot{u}$ | + | $de\ddot{u}	ext{-}ner$ | 51 | younger sibling |
| (nagacu HY) | - | naqacu- nar | 2 | mother's brother |
| (D): | | | | |
| beri | 1 | beri- ne - d ⁷ | 3 | younger female |
| | | | | in-law |

As we shall see below, lineal kin-terms form their plurals differently; see 'father' and 'mother' in $\S 2.2$, 'son', 'daughter', and 'grandmother' in $\S 4.1$. (See $\S 4.1$ [B] for what seems an exceptional -d plural of güregen 'son-in-law'; see $\S 9.1$ for the use of -nAr in later MM, and for haci-nar 'grandsons'.)

2. Plurals in -s. With one exception (§2.3) bases end in vowels other than *i*. The examples below are separated into two major categories defined by the height of the final vowel.

 $^{^7}$ A double plural, with -ner plus d (see § 4.2[D]). The gloss is intended to cover both 'younger sister-in-law' and 'daughter-in-law'; see discussion in SQP 110, 235.

2.1 -s plurals after a high vowel8

| (A): | | | | |
|---------------------|----|----------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| $(*Hindu\ SMI)^9$ | | Hindus-s | 4 | Indian (ethnonym) |
| metü | 4- | $met \ddot{u}$ - s | 7 | like (postposition) |
| mürü | 3 | $m\ddot{u}r\ddot{u}$ - s | 1 (9478) | $\operatorname{shoulder}$ |
| nara Ötögü | 3 | ötögü-s | 17 | ancient; elder(s) |
| (*qadana+du) | | qadana+du-s | 6 | $\mathrm{outside^{10}}$ |
| | 2 | garacu-s | 2 | commoner |
| qaracu | 4 | qoyi+tu-s | 1(2326) | being in the rear |
| qoyi+tu | 5 | üldü-s | 1(3466) | sword |
| üldü uridu | 4 | uridu- s | 2 | former, earlier; predecessor(s) |
| urtu | 8 | urtu-s | 1 (Z270) | long (in space) |
| (B): | | | | |
| $jalau/jalauy^{11}$ | 3 | jalau- s | 1(2354) | young; youth(s) |
| $Suldu(+day)^{12}$ | 1 | Suldu- s | 2 | (ethnonym) |

2.2 -s plurals after a non-high vowel¹³

| (A): |
|------|
|------|

| aqta arqa aula | + 4 - | aqta-s arqa-s aula-s | 27 1 (6420) 1 (Z320) | gelding(s) plan. stratagem mountain |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| berie | 4 | berie-s | 6 | stroke of the rod (as punishment) |
| beye | + | $beye$ - s^{14} | 8 | body |

⁸ Many additional forms could be cited from other MM texts, E.g. HP umsu-8 '(hybrid of yak)' (Poppe 1957, 132); BCA šimnu-s 'devils' (HJAS 17.93 [fn. 31]) and yirtincü-s 'worlds'; SMI 1362 ayalyu-s 'sounds' (= 'text'; HJAS 12. 69, 83).

⁹ The base, spelled *Indu*, occurs in the *SMI* of 1335; see *HJAS* 12.93-4. (The vertical script had no way of writing the initial h- of MM.)

10 In 'outside commanders (of thousands, etc.)', i.e. those not belonging to the imperial guard. For the suffix, cf. HY dotora+du ulus 'the inside empire' (i.e. 'China proper'), emüne+dü qaja-d 'countries of the south'; and MA yadādu dēl 'outer clothing' $(<*yaday\tilde{a}+du)$. Analogous plurals occur in later MM documents: cinadu-s 'adversaries', dorodu-s 'inferiors' (IVLMMT 2.173, 2.91).

¹¹The former solely in jalan-tu (1027); the latter occurs twice, without ending. ¹² Suldu+day 'of the Suldus' occurs in 7012. Additionally the ethnonym Negü-s

(3) might be included here; cf. Neü+dey 'of the Negüs' (4050).

13 Many additional forms could be cited from other MM texts; e.g. HP nere-s 'names', ongoča-s 'boats'.

¹⁴ Once spelled bes-iyen (4200), which Pelliot emended to be[ye]s-iyen.

| $b\ddot{o}e$ | , | <i>1</i> | ~ | • |
|-----------------------------|-----|--------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| | 1 | böe-s | 5 | shaman |
| $b\ddot{o}k\ddot{o}$ | + | $b\ddot{o}k\ddot{o}$ - s | 3 | strong man, wrestler |
| $(daru\gamma a \ SMI)^{15}$ | - | daruqa- s | 2 | (official title) |
| $eb\ddot{u}ge$ | 3 | $eb\ddot{u}ge$ - s^{16} | 8 | ancestor |
| ecige | + | $ecige$ - s^{17} | 9 | father |
| eke | + | eke- s | 4 | mother |
| eme | + | eme- s | 7 | wife |
| ere | + | ere- s | 2 | man, male(s) |
| inje | 1 | inje- s | 2 | slave (acquired as |
| \ | | • | | part of dowry) |
| irge | 4 | irge- s | 1 (6441) | wether |
| $m{j}aqa$ | 10 | jaqa- s | 1 (4278) | collar |
| mese | 1 | mese- s | 1 (Z181) | weapon, sword |
| $nem\"urge$ | 1 | $Nem \ddot{u}rge	ext{-}s^{18}$ | 5 | (felt) cloak |
| nudurqa | 4 | nudurqa- s ¹⁹ | 1 (9145) | fist |
| $\ddot{o}lke$ | . 1 | $\ddot{o}lke	ext{-}s$ | 1 (7273) | south side of a |
| \$ | | | | mountain |
| ordo | + | ordo- s | 3 | palace |
| qoa | 4 | qoa-s | 2 | beautiful |
| $s\ddot{u}ke$ | 3 | $s\ddot{u}ke	ext{-}s$ | 1 (Z481) | axe; $ingot^{20}$ |
| $(s\"ume\ H\ Y)^{21}$ | | $s\ddot{u}me	ext{-}s$ | 1 (Z097) | image of Buddha |
| tana | 1 | tana- s | 3 | (large) pearl |
| tusa | + | tusa- s | 3 | help, service |
| $\ddot{u}ge$ | + | $\ddot{u}ge	ext{-}s$ | 52 | word |
| $\ddot{u}yile$ | 13 | $\ddot{u}yile$ - s^{22} | 6 | deed |

 $^{^{15}\,}SMI$ of 1335, and one other text; the plural is more widely attested. See detailed discussion in HJAS 16. 237-55.

¹⁶ The plural occurs always before ecige(s) 'father(s)'. (Note that ebüge is 'ancestor', but ebügen 'old man'.)

 $^{^{17}}SQP$ 64 points out that ecige-s köü-d twice means properly 'father and sons': the first word seems a plural by attraction to the second. (The same phenomenon may perhaps be seen in instances of eke-s köü-d, seemingly referring to one mother and several children.)

¹⁸ Occurs only in the toponym Dalan Nemürge-s, lit. '70 felt cloaks' (PFEH 13.64).

¹⁹The form actually occurring is nudurqa-š-iyan, which is unique in showing palatalization of the plural s before initial i of an ending

²⁰ For the semantics, see *PFEH* 31.82, *HJAS* 13.118 (fn. 123).

²¹ For occurrences (in HY and other texts) and meanings ('temple; image'), see SQP 223.

²² Once an emendation, $\ddot{u}yi[le]s$ (Z559).

| yara | 2 | yara- s | 1 (6189) | wound |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|
| $oldsymbol{y}eke$ | + | yeke- s | 5 | big, great ²³ |
| (B): | | | | |
| $bariya^{24}$ | 1 | baria- s | 2 | fetters |
| Barula | 4 | $Barula-s^{25}$ | 5 | (ethnonym) |
| Genige(+dey) | 1 | $Genige	ext{-s}$ | 3 | (ethnonym) |
| (C) : | | | | |
| (*alaša) | W-MARIN. | alaš a - s 26 | 1 (Z260) | (type of horse) |
| (*darda, as CM) | | darda- s | 3 | (type of damask) |
| (*nura CM) | | nura- s | 1 (4358) | ravine |
| $(*Qabqana)^{27}$ | .— | Qabqana- s | 1 (X148) | (ethnonym) |
| (*serke CM) | | serke- s | 1 (Y524) | castrated goat |
| (Seüse [name]) | 1 | seüse-s | 1 (Z261) | slave |
| (*Solanqa CM) | Auditorium | $Sola\eta qa$ - s | 1 (Z280) | Korean $(ethnonym)^{28}$ |
| (*sübe CM) ²⁹ | | $s\ddot{u}be	ext{-}s$ | 1 (X192) | mountain pass |
| (D) : | | | | |
| cinô (?) | 6 | $Cino$ - s^{30} | 2 | wolf/(ethnonym) |
| (?†hünjiüle) | ******** | $h\ddot{u}nji\ddot{u}le	ext{-}s$ | 1 (1172) | dead tree |
| (?†itüge) | - | $it\ddot{u}ge	ext{-}s$ | 1 (4104) | leather bucket |
| (lausa HY) | | $lawsa-s-ud^{31}$ | 1 (Z272) | (type of mule) |

²³ Also 'elders, ancestors'; for the meanings, see SQP 21-22, 245; PFEH 26.55.

²⁴ Base occurs only (once) in bariya güün 'prisoner' (2184); see SQP 24, and cf. CM bariya 'fetters'.

²⁵ This morpheme division, rather exceptionally, is shown in the YCPS text. The plural, however, refers to more than one Barula tribe rather than to several members of one tribe.

²⁶ Discussion and further references in *PFEH* 31.67; *alaša, probably referring to a particular type of piebald horse, was borrowed from Turkic.

²⁷ The base, spelled *Qamqana*, occurs in Chinese texts: cf. *HJAS* 19.390–406, esp. pp. 399–401, with a Turkic etymology in fn. 81. PFEH 26.67 provides additional bibliographical references. For the syllable-final $b \sim m$ alternation cf. SH $Kibca-ud \sim Kimca-ud$ 'Kipchaks'.

²⁸ See $\widehat{P}FEH$ 31.71, and Ledyard in CAJ 9.17—19. The base is listed in Kowa-lewski (1400); SH has once a homonymous base meaning 'weasel'.

²⁹ Lessing: 'eye of a needle; narrow pass, defile'.

³⁰ It is not certain that this ethnonym is etymologically 'the Wolves' (cf. Bese 1988. 23). Several additional ethnonyms might perhaps be included here: *Ikire-s* (4), $Qa\eta qa-s$ (1), Qabturqa-s (1), Ta-s (1), Tuba-s (1), Tuqa-s (1), Uwa-s (Merki-d) (5) and Qoa-s (Merki-d) (1; cf. PFEH 18.79), Qorola-s/Qorula-s (3/2).

³¹ Base from Chinese *lo-tzu* 'mule' (*PFEH* 31.70, Cleaves 1982.214-5 [fn. 55]). The double plural is listed also in §6.3 below.

| qabirqa | 2 | $*qabirqa-s^{32}$ | 1 (1083) | rib |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------|----------|--------------------|
| $(?\dagger qojiula)$ | | qojiula- s | 1 (1172) | dead tree |
| $(\dagger tura)$ | | tura- s ³³ | 1(4376) | rectangular shield |

2.3 An exceptional case

(D):

nogay

 $12 \quad noqa-s^{34}$

5

-dog

3. Plurals in -n. 35 Most bases end in the vowel i or the consonant y; these two types of bases are separately exemplified below, with a miscellaneous category following. (Within each type and category, bases containing an inflectional ending or high-frequency derivational suffix are listed before bases that are monomorphemic or contain some rarer derivational suffix.)

3.1 - n plurals of i-stems

(A):

| ab- $uqci$ | 1 | ab- $uqci$ - n | 1 | (7355) | taker |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------|---|--------|--------------------|
| (*tono-qci) | *************************************** | tono- qci - n | 1 | (7354) | |
| And: | | | | | • |
| aduu+ci | 2 | aduu+ci-n | 4 | | horseherd |
| aqta+ci | 7 | aqta+ci-n | 1 | (3469) | $horseherd^{36}$ |
| (daruya+ci SMI) | - | daruqa + ci-n | 3 | , | (official title)37 |
| $(e\ddot{u}te+ci)^{38}$ | . 1, | $e\ddot{u}de+ci$ - n | 3 | | door-keeper |
| $(h\ddot{u}ge + ci \text{ HY})^{39}$ | | $h\ddot{u}ke+ci-n$ | 2 | : | cow-herd |
| (jiqa+ci HY) | | $jiqa\!+\!ci$ - n | 1 | (3141) | fisherman |
| $*nuntu+uci^{40}$ | . 1 | nuntu+uci- n | 4 | , | camp master |

³² qabirqas is Pelliot's emendation for an otherwise baffling qabirqar (1083).

³³ An emendation proposed by Pelliot changes this form to part of a toponym; see *PFEH* 10. 81. (See Poppe 1955. 41 for the putative base-form.)

³⁴ Three times spelled $noqa\langle y\rangle s/noqa\langle y\rangle si$. Two other plural forms are found: noqa-n (twice) and noqo-d (once, Y470). See §8.3 below.

³⁵ Such plurals were discussed at some length in Haenisch 1950. 4—13, and other works there cited.

 $^{^{36}}$ Also 'groom; equerry' (*PFEH* 18.62).

 $^{^{37}}$ Lit. 'oppressor' (PFEH 30, 157); based on darnya, for wich see §2.2 (A). The form in SMI 1338, 1362.

³⁸ Base is spelled $e\ddot{u}te\langle n\rangle ci$ in its sole occurrence (7205); the emendation is mine (JCS). The root is $e\ddot{u}ten/e\ddot{u}den$, occurring 22 and 7 times, respectively.

³⁹ The root is HY hüger 'cow' (= *hüker).

⁴⁰ Only nutucitani actually occurs (Z479), perhaps for nutūci-ta-n-i < †nuntuy-ūci-ta-n-i. For what appears to be a long connecting vowel here see HJAS 15. 438 (fn 23), and cf. PFEH 31. 82.

| qoni+ci | 2 | $qoni+ci-n^{41}$ | 3 | shepherd |
|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------|----------------------------|
| qor+ci | + | qor+ci-n | 32 | quiver bearer |
| (tariya+ci HY) | - | $tariya+ji-n^{42}$ | 1 (Y380) | farmer, peasant |
| (tölge+ci HY) | | $t\ddot{o}lge+ci$ - n | 1 (Z213) | $\mathrm{soothsayer^{43}}$ |
| $(ul\bar{a} + ci \text{ HY})$ | | ulaa+ci-n | 5 | posthorse keeper |
| And: | | | | |
| bawurci | 10 | bawurci- n | 6 | cook, steward |
| $b\ddot{u}ri$ | 9 | $b\ddot{u}ri$ - n^{45} | 13 | each, all |
| cerbi | + | $cerbi	ext{-}n$ | 6 | $(title)^{46}$ |
| dorom ji | 2 | dorom ji- n | 1(7104) | lowly one(s) 47 |
| elci | + | $elci-n^{48}$ | 33 | envoy, courier |
| (sāli MA) | ***** | $saali$ - n^{49} | 1 (Z540) | milch-animal |
| (B): | | | | |
| $olo\eta\!+\!ki$ | 1 | $olo\eta + ki$ -n | 2 | a majority ⁵⁰ |
| (C): | | | | |
| $(*amu + ci)^{51}$ | | amu+ci-n | 2 | grain-keeper |
| (*balaqa+ci) | winnerster. | $balaqa\!+\!ci\!-\!n$ | 2 | $storeman^{52}$ |

41 A double plural qoni+ci-d also occurs (with +ci plus plural -n plus plural -d); it is spelled $qoni\langle n\rangle+ci-d$ in both occurrences (3301, 3307). For such spellings see footnote 78 below.

- 42 For *tariya+ci.
- 43 For the root see SQP 227-8.
- ⁴⁴ Historically this is probably a +ci derivative based on hypothetical *bayur 'liver', which is found throughout Turkic languages (see TMEN 1. 202-5 for details).
- 45 One might perhaps think that būri/būrin represented a variable n-stem were it not for the phrase aqa-nar deŭ-ner būri-n 'all the elder and younger brothers' in Z520 (cf. ūdūr būri 'every day' in 2511, etc.). Cf. line 21 of SMI 1362: būrin qadqulduqui-tur 'when all [of them] engaged in battle with one another'.
 - 46 See PFEH 26.57, SQP 145.
 - ⁴⁷ Cf. PFEH 18.74.
- 48 In 6487 not plural in meaning. Historically this is from el plus +ci; see TMEN 2.203-7 for this and many other details.
- Western romanized editions have erroneously saarin here; only Shiratori's edition correctly romanizes the l-form found in both of the earliest Chinese text-editions. MA (p. 305a) $s\bar{a}li$ 'milking(s)', CM sayali (Lessing) 'milch animal; milking', and forms in Khalkha and Kalmyk agree in having l in this word.
- 50 Cf. HJAS 13.111 (fn. 58). Within the SH the forms might be taken as a variable n-stem, but the present analysis is supported by the following forms found in later MM documents: tende+ki-n 'those [who were] there' (loc. cit.), ende+ki-n '[those who are] here' (HJAS 25.51 [fn. 12]), (HJAS 25.51 [fn. 12]), delekei degere+ki-n irgen 'people [who are] on earth' (IVLMMT 2.90).
 - 51 The root is HY amun 'rice, millet'.
 - 52 I.e. 'storehouse keeper'; cf. balaqa-d 'cites; storehouses' in §4.1. (B).

| (*buluqa+ci) | | buluqa+ci-n | 1 (3141) | sable-hunter |
|--|---------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| $(*g\ddot{o}r\ddot{o}\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}+ci)^{53}$ | manage. | $g\ddot{o}r\ddot{o}\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}+ci$ - n | 1 (3141) | game hunter |
| $(*jam+uci)^{54}$ | | jam-uci-n | 3 | post-station |
| | | • | | keeper |
| (*saali+ci) | | $saali\!+\!ci$ - n^{55} | 1 (Z4 78) | mare-milker |
| (*tamma + ci) | _ | tamma+ci-n | 3 | garrison troops ⁵⁶ |
| (*temee + ci) | - | temee + ci- n ⁵⁷ | 2 | camel keeper |
| And: | | | | |
| $(*k\ddot{o}t\ddot{o}ci)$ | _ | $k\ddot{o}t\ddot{o}ci$ - n | 3 | servant, |
| ! | | | | ${ m attendant}^{58}$ |
| $(*Qa \eta li)$ | | $Qa\eta li$ - n^{59} | 3 | Qangli Turk(s) |
| (D): | | | | |
| (?†arbi CM)60 | | arbi- n | 2 | sufficient in |
| (! arot CM) | ****** | aroi=n | <i>L</i> | number |
| $(? \dagger ere + bi)$ | | ere + bi = n | 1 (Z060) | male (or 'manly'?) |
| jabaji (?) | 1 | $jabaji$ - n^{61} | 2 | corner (of mouth) |
| $J\ddot{u}rki/Y\ddot{u}rki$ | 2/3 | $J\ddot{u}rki$ - n | 23 | (ethnonym) ⁶² |
| (?†hööši) ⁶³ | • | $h\ddot{o}\ddot{o} ec{s} i$ - n | 1 (X087) | |
| $Qatagi^{64}$ | 8 | Qatagi-n | 2 , $^{\prime}$ | (ethnonym) |
| (3) | | qauci- n | 2 | old (cf. fn. 79) |

⁵³ The root is spelled görüüli in 1063.

⁵⁴ The base seems (occur in MM only as a person's name; cf. HJAS 12. 122 (fn. 185), with discussion HJAS 15.438. For the literature on this form and ulaa+ci (just below), see PFEH 31.83.

⁵⁵ The plural occurs only in the form spelled $saari\langle n\rangle cintani$; the emendation is mine [JCS]); see the note to saali-n 'milch-animals' in (A) above.

⁵⁶ The root tamma was borrowed from Chinese, and meant properly 'scout horse'. For the meaning of the MM derivative in +ci see PFEH 31.67-8.

⁵⁷ Once spelled temeci-n (= $tem\bar{e}ci-n$).

 $^{^{58}}$ PFEH 23.145. Perhaps this was historically $k\ddot{o}t\ddot{o}l + ci$, originally 'one who looks after the reserve horses'; but if so, it had become idiomatized.

 $^{^{59}}$ One further occurrence spelled $Ka\eta lin.$ For these people see PFEH 21.35.

⁶⁰ Lessing lists arbi as 'abundance; thriftiness'; Kowalewski (156b) has only arbin. SH arbin occurs in 8189, Z177, and may well be monomorphemic.

 $^{^{61}}$ This and the putative base may rather represent a variable n-stem.

⁶² See note to *Qatagi*, just below. Several further ethnonyms might perhaps be added here (pace Poppe 1975, 165): Adargi=n (1), Baari=n (7), Borjigi=n (1), Jirgi=n (12), Jüyi=n (5), Noyaki=n (2), Qasi=n (5; but twice glossed as toponym), Ünji-n (1).

⁶³ Lessing lists a CM form $\ddot{o}gesi(n)$, a variable n-stem; but an n plural was often reinterpreted as variable n (in the CM suffix +ci(n) for example).

⁶⁴ Base occurs only in $Buqu\ Qatagi$ (once $Buqu\ Qadagi$), probably to be interpreted as 'Buqu the Qatagi'; cf. $Yisügey\ Kiyan$ in 1480, and $Sorqatu\ \check{J}iirki/Yirki$. There are three additional occurrences of the putative plural showing d rather than t.

| (;) | | unuquci-n | 3 | herder of milch-mares ⁶⁵ |
|---|---|---|--------------------|--|
| 3.2 -n plurals of | y-stems ⁶⁶ | | | |
| (A): qaan-u-ay ⁶⁷ | 3 | qahan-u-a-n | 1 (7484) | (something) belong- ing to the khan |
| And: kedüy maηlay šiliuy ⁶⁸ | 5 11 1 | $ked\ddot{u}	ext{-}n \ ma\eta la	ext{-}n \ $ šili $u	ext{-}n$ | 1 (8335) 5 2 | how many? forehead; vanguard upright, honest |
| (B): $-KU -KUy$ $-tU \text{ and } -tAy$ | +++ | -KU- n $-tA$ - n 70 | 170 246 | (nomen futuri) ⁶⁹ (possessor ending) |
| And: dutau dutauy edü edüy gergey 'wife' | $egin{array}{c} 3:1 \ 1:15 \ 7 \end{array}$ | dutau-n edü-n gerge-n | 2 3 1 (3464) | lacking this many domestic(s) ⁷¹ |

65 This gloss simply translates that in the YCPS (cf. PFEH 31.82); either that gloss or the transcription may perhaps be incorrect.

66 The clearest example of this formation is HY qulaquen 'robbers', with base

qulaqay (SH bis).

 67 There are two additional occurrences of qan-u-ay. The suffix -Ay is one occurring most commonly after the genitive; cf. Bi Temüjin-ü-ey buy 'I belong to Temüjin' (2450). A plural -A-n seems to occur only in the one form here cited.

68 Base form occurs only in the dative (3316): šiliuy-a 'straightforwardly' (or, as

PFEH 5.160, 'completely').

69 The 170 plural-occurrences involve sequences formed from 84 different stems, most of which occur also with the bare (non-plural) nomen futuri morpheme. E.g. the form $b\ddot{u}$ - $k\ddot{u}y$ (formed on the copular verb $b\ddot{u}$ -) has plurals $b\ddot{u}$ - $g\ddot{u}$ -n (before a vowel-initial ending; 4 occurrences) and bü-kü-n (without ending; 13 occurrences); bayi-qu and bayi-quy have bayi-qu-n as their plural. (Note that occasional transcriptions of the nomen futuri by means of characters representing voiced-initial gü and gün reflect a purely orthographical problem.)

70 Back -ta-n in 116 instances, front -te-n in 130. Phrases ending in -tA-n after an animate noun refer most often either to a group of male beings or to a mixed class of males and females. That the sequence is sometimes a true plural of the feminine

-tAy is shown by gor-ta-n emege-d 'women with quivers' (Z301).

 71 See HJAS 12.523-5 for discussion. Cleaves assumes that gergey originally meant 'one who is connected with the house; a domestic, a servant' and hence 'she who stays at home; the wife'. Both de Rachewiltz and Cleaves translate gergen tudqar as 'domestics and servants', without commenting on the lack of plural-marking on the second word.

sacau

leyimü

(D):

(?)

| mawu/mawuy | 4:17 | $mawu$ - n^{72} | 4 | bad |
|---------------------|-------|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| ügeü/ügeüy | 12:3 | $\ddot{u}ge\ddot{u}$ - n^{73} | 8 | without, lacking; poor |
| (C:) | | | | |
| (*degeley CM) | | $Degele-n^{74}$ | 2 | jacket, coat |
| (D) : | | | | |
| noqay | 12 | $noqa-n^{75}$ | 2 | dog |
| $Urya\eta qay$ | 1 | $\overline{Urya\eta qa}$ - n | 1 (3347) | $(ethnonym)^{76}$ |
| 3.3 Residual -n plu | ırals | | | |
| (A): | | | | |
| $eyim\ddot{u}$ | 11 | $eyim \ddot{u}$ - n | 2 | such |
| keceü | 1 | keceü-n | 1 (Z 179) | hard (obstinate) |

4. Plurals in -d. Most bases end in n (stable or variable), r, or l, each of which disappears before the -d.⁷⁸ These three types of bases are separately exem-

9

3

equal

such

valiant (?)

bold (?)

⁷² Clearly plural in meaning; cf. SQP 183—4, 158.

sacau-n

teyimü-n

erekü=n⁷⁷

omoqu=n

18

73 In 50% of their occurrences, the base(s) and plural are spelled with an otiose y: $\ddot{u}ge\langle y\rangle\ddot{u}$ etc. HY and HP (IVLMMT) have only forms without the y. Note that of the two base-forms here, the first is masculine, the second feminine.

⁷⁴ Only in Hulaan Degele-n (Y124, Y131) 'Red Coats' (PFSH 30.122).

 $^{75}\,\mathrm{Two}$ other plurals occur: noqa-s (five times) and noqo-d (once, Y470). See §8.3. below.

⁷⁶ Three more ethnonyms might perhaps be included here: $Qu\eta qota - n$ (11). $S\ddot{u}ke - ke - n$ (1; cf. man's name $S\ddot{u}gegey/S\ddot{u}kegey$ [5/4]). $T\ddot{u}be(g)e - n$ (8/[1]).

⁷⁷Possibly cf. ere+bi-n in §3.1 (D) above. The form is always directly followed by omoqu=n (just below), twice with no indication (in the YCPS) of intervening word division.

⁷⁸ It used to be thought that forms such as $qoni\langle n\rangle cid$ (3307), $qoni\langle n\rangle di$ (3456), $ho\langle n\rangle d$ (Y518), $mori\langle n\rangle tu$ (1189) represented actual and archaic pronunciations; but it is now seems rather that they result from a conflict of orthographic principles in the YCPS (which matter will be discussed in a separate article). $Ho\langle n\rangle d$ 'years' (based on hon), for example, must have been so written to distinguish it from hod 'stars' (6460; base hodun).

plified in the subsections below, with a miscellaneous category following. (Forms ambiguously interpretable as containing either -d or -Ud are included only under the latter plural formation, in §6.8.)

4.1 -d plurals of n-stems⁷⁹

(A):

| aurasu(n) | 14 | aurasu- d | 1 (Y058) | satin(s) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|
| buluqan | 15 | buluqa- d | 3 | sable(s) |
| $\ddot{coe} + ken$ | $^{-2}$ | $c\ddot{o}e + ke - d$ | 1 (7110) | rather few |
| dabaa(n) | 5 | dabaa- d | 2 | (mountain) pass |
| (dargan SMI)80 | | darqa- d | 2 | freeman |
| ebceün | 5 | $ebce\ddot{u}$ - d | 1 (Z301) | bosom, breast |
| eje(n) | · · · · · · · 9 | eje- d | 3 | lord |
| emegen | 7 | emege-d | 2 | paternal grand- |
| v | | | | mother; old |
| 4 | | | | woman |
| erkin | 4 | erki- d | 4 | important |
| $et\ddot{u}gen^{81}$ | 4 | $et\ddot{u}ge	ext{-}d$ | 1(7167) | Earth; place |
| (gešiün HY) | ; | g e $reve{s}i\ddot{u}$ - d | 1 (4104) | branch (of tree) |
| $ge\ddot{u}(n)$ | 3 | $ge\ddot{u}	ext{-}d$ | 4 | mare |
| -GsAn | + | $-GsA$ $-d^{82}$ | 99 | (past participle) |
| $g\ddot{u}j\ddot{u}\ddot{u}(n)^{83}$ | 5 | $g\ddot{u}j\ddot{u}\ddot{u}$ - d | 1 (3467) | neck |
| g ü $reen^{84}$ | 13 | $g\ddot{u}ree	ext{-}d$ | 1 (4043) | circular camp |
| hara(n) | + | hara- d | 1 (3441) | people |
| harban | + | harba- d | 9 | ten; squad |
| helige(n) | 9 | elige-d (= he) | 1 (4224) | liver |
| hon | 15 | ho- d | 1 (Y518) | year |
| (imaan HY) | | imaa- d | 3 | goat |

⁷⁹ Many additional forms might be added from other texts, e.g. *BCA toyi-d* (base toyin 'monk'); *HP tegirme-d* 'mills' (base tegirmen [IVLMMT 2.229; from Turkic (Poppe 1957. 93)]). From letters written in the vertical script (Mostaert/Cleaves 1962) sulta-d (base sultan 'sultan') and qayuci-d (base SH qaucin 'old', which might itself be historically a plural). And from SMI 1340 (HJAS 25.43, line 5) irge-d (manu) '(our) peoples'.

 80 SMI 1362. See HJAS 12.51 (fn. 95) and TMEN 2.460-474 for historical details. In the SH the plural is cone spelled $darqa\langle n\rangle dun$ (3120), but is written without

the nasal in 9256.

⁸¹ One further occurrence in the spelling ötögen (Y301).

 83 One further occurrence in the spelling güjühün (4474).

⁸² Both bases and plurals occur also with a connecting vowel: -UGsAn, -UGsA-d. The -d plural occurs after a total of 50 different sequences of verb-plus-participal-ending; there are 67 occurrences of 34 back-harmonic stems, 32 of 16 front-harmonic ones.

⁸⁴ Two further occurrences in the spelling gürien. For the gloss, see PFEH 4.161.

| | jaarin | 2 | jaari-d | 2 | heavenly sign |
|---|------------------------------|----------|--|-------------------|--------------------------|
| | jau(n) | 13 | jau- d | 24 | hundred |
| | ken | + | ke- d 85 | 5 | who? |
| | Kiyan | 4 | Kiya- d | 1 (1441) | (ethnonym) |
| | $k\ddot{o}k\ddot{o}(n)^{86}$ | 3 | $k\ddot{o}k\ddot{o}$ - d | 2 | breast |
| | $k\ddot{o}\ddot{u}+ken$ | 9 | $k\ddot{o}\ddot{u} + ke - d$ | 1 (2111) | young boy |
| | $k\ddot{o}\ddot{u}(n)$ | + | $k\ddot{o}\ddot{u}$ - d | 165 | boy, son |
| | $minqa(n)^{87}$ | + | minqa- d | 49 | thousand |
| | modu(n)/mudu(n) | 6:3 | $modu	ext{-}d/mudu	ext{-}d$ | 2:1 | tree |
| _ | mori(n) | + | $mori$ - d^{88} | 6 | horse |
| | mün | + | $m \ddot{u}$ - d | 14 | the same (one) |
| | müren | + | $m\ddot{u}re	ext{-}d$ | 6 | river |
| | $niruu(n)^{89}$ | 11 | niruu- d | 1(6452) | spine; ridge |
| | noyan | + | noya- d | 48 | prince |
| | numu(n) | 4 | numu- d | 1 (Z481) | bow (weapon) |
| | nuun | 3 | nuu- d | 1 (Z 098) | boy |
| | $\ddot{o}e + s\ddot{u}n$ | + | $\ddot{o}e + s\ddot{u} - d$ | 2 | $self (root \ddot{o}er)$ |
| | $\ddot{o}ki(n)$ | + | $\ddot{o}ki$ - d | 19 | girl, daughter |
| | (önecin MA) | Windows. | $\ddot{o}neci$ - $d/\ddot{o}n\ddot{o}ci$ - d | 3:2 | orphan |
| | qa(n) | + | $qa - d^{90}$ | 9 | khan |
| | qatu(n)/qadu(n) | + | qatu- $d/qadu$ - d ⁹¹ | 4:2 | princess, queen |
| | qalawun | 1 | qalawu- d | 2 | goose |
| | $Qaldun^{92}$ | + . | qaldu- d | 1(5362) | eliff |
| | qancu(n) | 3 | qancu- d | 3 | sleeve |
| | qonin | 8 | $qoni$ - d^{93} | 10 | sheep |
| | qoton | 2 | qoto- $d/qota$ - d | 4:2 | town |
| | qulan | 1 | qula-d | 4 | wild ass |
| _ | qun | 11 | $qu-d^{94}$ | 2 | eliff, bluff |
| | quruun | 1 | $\hat{q}uruu$ - d | 4 | finger |
| | | | - | | · · |

⁸⁵ Once ke[d] (4343).

⁸⁶ The only SH forms occurring are $k\ddot{o}ken$ (once) and $k\ddot{o}k\ddot{o}$ (twice: as direct objects); from these the base $k\ddot{o}ke(n)$ or $k\ddot{o}k\ddot{o}(n)$ is reconstructible.

⁸⁷ Equivalent to /miηqan/; the YCPS syllabary had no character representing miη.

⁸⁸ Twice mori[d].

⁸⁹ Two further occurrences in the spelling niriu(n).

⁹⁰ Twice spelled $qa\langle n\rangle d$.

⁹¹ Twice spelled with otiose $\langle n \rangle$: $qadu\langle n \rangle$ (8012), $qadu\langle n \rangle daca$ (Z014). The base is written 19 times with t, 27 times with d.

⁹² Occurs solely as a toponym (= $Burqan\ Qaldun = Qaldun\ Burqan$).

⁹³ Five of the ten occurrences are spelled with otiose $\langle n \rangle$: $qoni\langle n \rangle d \cdot i$ (3456, 3457), $qoni\langle n \rangle d$ (3458, 3459), $qoni\langle n \rangle d \cdot iyan$ (7172).

⁹⁴ The plural occurs only in toponyms, the base usually so; cf. PFEH 16.52.

| sau + ri(n) | + | sau+ri-d | 3 | seat; station |
|--------------------------------|----|---------------------------------------|----------|------------------------|
| sayi(n) | + | sayi- d | 9 | good |
| secen | + | $sece	ext{-}d$ | 1 (2063) | wise |
| sumu(n) | 13 | sumu- d | 2 | arrow |
| $(tariyan \ HY)^{95}$ | | tariya- d | 1(6281) | grain, crop |
| (tarqun HY) | | tarqu- d 96 | 2 | fat (= not thin) |
| temeen | 8 | temee-d | 6 | camel |
| terge(n) | + | $terge	ext{-}d$ | 5 | wagon |
| $teri\ddot{u}(n)$ | + | $teri\ddot{u}$ - d | 1(6421) | head |
| toqoan | 1 | to qoo-d | 1(4049) | eauldron |
| $Toquraun^{97}$ | 7 | Toqurau-d | 1 (9081) | (ethnonym) |
| torqan | 1 | torqa- d | 2 | silk |
| (turuqan HY) | | turuqa- d | 6 | thin $(= not fat)$ |
| $t\ddot{u}me(n)$ | + | $t\ddot{u}m\dot{e}$ - d^{98} | 16 | ten thousand |
| $\ddot{u}c\ddot{u}gen^{99}$ | 12 | $\ddot{u}c\ddot{u}ge	ext{-}d$ | 6 | small |
| $\ddot{u}nien$ | 1 | $\ddot{u}nie$ - d | 1 (7121) | cow |
| unuqan | 1 | unuqa- d | 1 (7352) | foal |
| usu(n) | + | usu- d | 2 | water |
| yabuqan | 6 | yabuqa- d . | 2 | on foot |
| yau(n) | + | yau- d | 3 | what? |
| $yis\ddot{u}n$ | + | yisü- d | 4 | nine |
| (B) : | | | | |
| $balaqa + su(n)^{100}$ | + | balaqa- d | 25 | city; storehouse |
| burgasun | 1 | $Bur\langle u \rangle qa$ - d^{101} | 2 | willow (branch) |
| $cig\ddot{o}rs\ddot{u}n^{102}$ | 1 | $cig\ddot{o}$ - d | 2 | juniper |

⁹⁵ In HY 'cultivated field'.

 $^{^{96}}$ Plural occurs also three times as ethnonym; base occurs in SH only in Botoquy Tarqun (3), presumably 'Botoquy the fat'.

⁹⁷ toquraun 'crane' also occurs twice.

⁹⁸ Spelled $t\ddot{u}me\langle n\rangle diyer$ in 3107.

⁹⁹ Two further occurrences in the spelling ücüügen.

^{*}balaqa (cf. Turkic baliq [TMEN 1.216, 2.257-8]). They HY has additional plurals that would belong in this section: anjasun/anja-d 'plough', qubcasun/qubca-d 'clothes', and qulu-d with base CM qulusun 'bamboo'.

¹⁰¹ Only in the toponym $Hulaan\ Bur\langle u\rangle qa\text{-}d$ (once $Hulaan\ Bor\langle u\rangle qa\text{-}d$), whose literal meaning seems guaranteed by an Arab source ($TMEN\ 1.225$). For the base form see $SQP\ 37-38$.

¹⁰² Only the form with -n occurs in the SH, but this (with narasun, noqosun, etc.) must be historically a derivative in +su(n). Presumably $\check{c}ig\ddot{o}-d$ is historically the plural of a *cig\"{o}r (cf. HJAS 14.103 [fn. 161]).

| $coorqa(n)^{103}$ | 2 | Coorqa- d | 2 | lock |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|
| $eki/eki(n)^{104}$ | •• 2 | eki- d | 2 | head(s) |
| (elesün HY) | | Ele- d 105 | 5 | sand, dune |
| genen | 1 | $gene$ - d^{106} | 5 | unprepared, un- aware |
| $oldsymbol{g}$ üre $oldsymbol{g}$ en | 14 | $g\ddot{u}rege$ - d^{107} | 4 | son-in-law, bride- groom |
| hodun | - 5 | $ho 	ext{-}d^{108}$ | 1 (6460) | star |
| (narasun HY) | - Polyments | nara-d | 2 | pine |
| nicügün | 6 | $Nic\ddot{u}g\ddot{u}	ext{-}d$ | 1 (5017) | $naked^{109}$ |
| $nogosu(n)^{110}$ | 1 | noqo-d/noqu-d | 2:1 | duck |
| $ne\ddot{u}+ri(n)^{111}$ | 2 | $ne\ddot{u}\!+\!ri\!-\!d$ | 1 (1033) | migrating camp |

 ^{103}SH and HY have each one occurrence of the form without -(n), SH probably one occurrence of the *n*-form (corqan ? = $c\bar{o}rqan$ 3247). The putative plural occurs only as a place name, with 'locks' applied figuratively to a strong position; cf. PFEH 31.45, 5.171.

104 SH shows once eki, once heki, as direct objects that could represent eki(n); HY has once heki (and heki-d). The expected n-forms seems to occur im MM only in the "countersign" of a letter to Pope Boniface VIII (HJAS 15. 478ff): Üredün kesigün ekin ödür 'first day of the kesig of Üred'. But in two other MM texts (IVLMMT 2.275) the nasal is missing in this construction: namur-un/übül-ün eki sar-a 'the first month of fall/winter'.

¹⁰⁵ Occurs only in toponyms.

¹⁰⁶ See SQP 87-8. In 6505 the form seems singular in meaning.

 107 SH has güregen/gürigen for the singular (11:3), gürege-d/gürige-d (6:3) for the plural. These forms are problematic for several reasons, and deserve separate treatment elsewhere.

- (a) In five occurrences, $g\ddot{u}rVge$ -d-te (twice emended from a form lacking the -d) is singular in meaning; $g\ddot{u}rege$ -d-te talbi- 'leave as a son-in-law' occurs four times (1469, 1470, 1472; with i 1491), $g\ddot{u}rige$ -[d]-te yabu- 'live as a son-in-law' once (3357). Presumably this form had some special idiomatic meaning or usage.
- (b) Since this seems to be a non-lineal kinship term, one would expect a plural in *-ner* rather than *-d*; possibly the fact this is an *n*-stem prohibited such a plural formation; or perhaps the basic sense was 'bride-groom', so that the form was not considered a kinship term.
- (c) Except for the cases noted in (a) all SH occurrences refer to the imperial sons-in-law of Chinggis Qan, and hence may, in effect, represent a title rather than a true kinship term.
- 108 In spoken MM hod (possibly haplologized from *hodu-d) was homonymous with the plural of hon 'year' (§4.1[A]); the latter is spelled $ho\langle n\rangle d$ in its sole occurrence, presumably to distinguish it from 'stars'. See §8.2 (e) below, and note there.
- 100 Plural only is ethnonym Nicügüd Baarin 'the Naked Baarin' (PFEH 13.59, HJAS 19, 202).
 - ^{110}SH has only noqosu; HY has the full form with -n.
- ¹¹¹ The form with -n occurs only in a name, which may be unrelated to the stem here; but this base is certainly a deverbal +ri(n) derivative.

| $s\"{o}ni/s\"{o}ni(n)^{112}$ | + | $s\"{o}ni$ - d | 5 | night |
|---|------------------|--|--|--|
| (C): (*doryun CM) ¹¹³ (*Jürchen) (*omoriyu[n] CM) (*subusun CM) ¹¹⁵ (*tere+ken) (*törkün) | | $dorqu$ - d $J\ddot{u}rce$ - d^{114} $omoriu$ - d $subu$ - d te - de + ke - d [sic!] $t\ddot{o}rg\ddot{u}$ - d^{116} | 2 5 1 (3467) 3 2 1 (1421) | unfinished (ethnonym) breastbone (small) pearl those (derogatory) wife's kin |
| deresün (†Kitay* Kita-n) noqa-n (pl.) qoni+ci-n (pl.) (*quriya+ci-n pl.) | 1 12 3 | $*Der[e]s\ddot{u}$ - d^{117} $Kita$ - d^{118} $noqo$ - d^{119} $qoni\langle n \rangle$ + ci - d $quriqa$ + ci - d | 1 (5090) 28 1 (Y470) 2 | feathergrass (ethnonym) dog shepherd (simple base qoni+ci; see §3. [A]) lamb-herd (simple base HY quriqan) |

There are many instances of $s\ddot{o}ni$, but only one with n ($s\ddot{o}nin\ddot{u}$, 8186). One of the instances here counted as a plural is the result of emendation: $s\ddot{o}ni[d]$ (6510).

113 Cf. PFEH 31.59. The base seems not to occur in MM.

"... the so-called 'Eastern Jurchens' of Manchuria" (PFEH 31.71, 86).

115 Kowalewski 1391b; existence of this word in older stages of Mongolian seems assured by occurrence of a cognate in Kalmyk and a borrowing in Chagatai. But Ibn al-Muhannā may have a *subun* (*HJAS* 12.125 [fn. 213].)

ar-Muhama may have a sawar (12.25 to 12.25 to 12

117 Emendation proposed (by Pelliot) for the text's Tersüd; see PFEH 13.60.

The base occurs in Y094; the plural deresü-d in the Subhāṣitaratnanidhi.

118 SH Kitad refers to "the Jurchen people of China and the Chin dynasty" (PFEH 31.85). HJAS 12.523 cites Mostaert to the effect that (*)Kitan is a plural of †Kitay; but neither form seems attested in MM. (A Qitad occurs once, in the SMI of 1338; cf. HJAS 14.104 [fn. 176.]) Presumably Kita-d is a double plural: †Kitay plus -n plus -d The 28 occurrences include two of Qara Kitad, six of Qara Kidad; both refer to "the Qara Khitai, or Western Liao, empire of central Asia." (PFEH 13.62).

119 Inclusion of this form here (rather than in §4.4 [D]) assumes that it is a double plural formed on the -n plural. But the form occurs only once, in töbüd-üd noqo-d 'Tibetan dogs' (Y470), and is perhaps simply an error — with -d written because of the prior -üd. (Note that this noqo-d is identical to the plural of noqosu[n] 'duck', for which see §4.1 [B].)

*** *** 1000

4.2 -d plurals of r-stems 120

(A):

| baatur | + | baatu- d | 11 | brave warrior |
|--------------------------------|---------|---|----------|-----------------------------------|
| $b\ddot{u}le\ddot{u}r$ | 1 | $b\ddot{u}le\ddot{u}	ext{-}d$ | 1 (4104) | churning staff |
| $G\ddot{u}c\ddot{u}g\ddot{u}r$ | 1 | $G\ddot{u}c\ddot{u}g\ddot{u}$ - d^{121} | 1 (5280) | (ethnonym) |
| $n\ddot{o}k\ddot{o}r$ | + | $n\ddot{o}k\ddot{o}$ - d | 19 | comrade |
| $\ddot{o}er$ | + | $\ddot{o}e	ext{-}d$ | 1 (5465) | self |
| qor | + | qo- d | 1 (Z481) | guiver |
| $oldsymbol{ec{s}i\eta qor}$ | 4 | $ar{\check{s}i}\eta qo	ext{-}d$ | 2 | gerfalcon |
| $\ddot{u}d\ddot{u}r$ | + | $\ddot{u}d\ddot{u}$ - d | 4 | day |
| ündür | + | $\ddot{u}nd\ddot{u}\text{-}d$ | 1 (5361) | high, height(s) |
| (B): | | | | |
| qar | + | qa - d^{122} | 2 | hand |
| (C) : | | | | |
| $(*Bajigir)^{123}$ | | Bajigi-d | 4 | Bashkir (ethnonym) |
| (D): | | | | |
| (*beri-ner pl.) | | $beri	ext{-}ne	ext{-}d$ | 3 | younger female in-law (see §1) |
| $(?\dagger kicir)$ | | $kici$ - d - ud^{124} | 1 (Z271) | (type of camel) |
| (qacir MA, L) | ******* | $qaci$ - d - ud^{125} | 1 (Z272) | (type of mule) |

120 Additional clear instances from HY: amasar/amasa-d 'opening, pass', qajar/qaja-d 'country', temür/temü-d '(of) iron', yoηqor/yoηqo-d '(type of silk fabrie)'. SMI 1338 has also üker/üke-d 'cows'.

121 Plural also once Gücüü-d, in Gücüü-d Nayman-u Buyiruq Qan (4307). This is equivalent to a phrase showing the single clear occurrence of the base: Gücügür+tey Buyiruq Qan (6284) 'Buyiruq Khan [of] the Gücügür'. See Bese 1988. 26—7.

122 Occurs only in an idiom: 9423 has beye qad ebecin ügey 'without being sick in body', 3456 has beye qad-iyer 'by himself'. Though qa-d is probably historically a plural of $qar (= \gamma ar)$ 'hand', Cleaves (HJAS 12.126 [fn. 222]) notes that reflexes of beye qad (idiomatically 'stature') in Urdus and Khalkha have a voiceless initial for the second word.

 $^{123}\,\mathrm{See}\ PFEH\ 30.156$ for references to the literature.

124 Cleaves 1982.214 (fn. 54) suggests that this may be a double plural of a $\dagger kicir$ (plus -d plus -ud). De Rachewiltz (PFEH 31.70) thinks the term refers to the single-humped dromedary, as opposed to the double-humped Bactrian camel ($el\ddot{o}\ddot{u}d$).

¹²⁵ See PFEH 31.70, Poppe 1955. 40, TMEN 3.391-2, and § 6.5 below.

| Uyur(+tay) | 2 | Uyu- d | 4 | Uighur (ethnonym) |
|------------|----------|---------------------|----------|-------------------|
| | Also | $Uyiqu$ - d^{126} | 1 (5114) | Uighur (ethnonym) |
| | | | | 1 |

4.3 -d plurals of l-stems¹²⁷

(A):

 $k\ddot{o}l$ 15 $k\ddot{o}$ -d 1 (2466) foot $k\ddot{o}t\ddot{o}l$ 3 $k\ddot{o}t\ddot{o}$ -d 1 (7325) led horse

(D):

+Al — $qori+a-d^{128}$ 1 (Z539) by 20s, 20 each

4.4 Residual -d plurals

(A):

(D): See also $\S6.8$; plurals that could be interpreted as containing either -d or -Ud are listed only in that section.

 $(?)^{131}$ — abi-d 1 (1083) entrails

 $- Arula-d 2 \qquad (ethnonym)^{132}$

126 Occurs in genitive *Uyiqud-un*, probably an error for *Uyud-un* (occurring 4 times) resulting from a misinterpretation of the script spelling *Uiyud-un* (*IVLMMT* 2.63) formed on base *Uiyur* (*IVLMMT* 2.213).

127 Later texts show also tüsimel/tüsime-d 'high official' (HY and other documents),

boyol/boyo-d 'slave' (SMI 1338, etc.).

128 Based on qorin '20'; according to IMCS 250 +A-d is plural of the suffix found in niji+el 'one by one, individually'. (But HY has niji+e-d 'one each', by analogy to the higher numbers; loc. cit.)

There are (in lines 3041-3125) three additional instances of interrogative forms which, in the present system of romanization, must be written busu-t- \bar{u} (vs. the

direct object form busu-d-i in 2140, for example).

130 The plural is found only in edőe-d-tür (occurring also in HY, TDB, and SMI 1362), apparently 'for the present'.

131 See SQP 228-30 for discussion.

132 Several additional ethnonyms might be included here: Bayi-d (1); Belgünü-d (1); Besü-d (4); Bügünü-d (1); Buriya-d (1); Donqayi-d (8); Jaqu-d (2; PFEH 31. 86); Jeüre-d (1); Jewüreyi-d (1); Kereyi-d/Gereyi-d (20/2); Manqu-d (14); Merki-d (+); Ongi-ra-d (9); Öngü-d (5); Onqoji-d (Kereyi-d) (1); Oyira-d (9); Qaa-d (Merki-d) (3); (Qori) Tuma-d (5+); Saqayi-d (1); Söni-d (1); Uduyi-d (Merki-d) (6). Note also the ethnonym Budaa-d (1), which is folk-etymologized as a plural of budaan 'rice-gruel, millet' in line 1280.

| (?) | ******** | $Balju$ - d^{133} | 3 | (in toponym) |
|-----------|----------|--|----------|----------------------------|
| (?) | ****** | $geyi$ - d^{134} | 3 | $\operatorname{dwellings}$ |
| (??Majar) | 1 | $Majara$ - d^{135} | 1 (Y495) | Hungarian (ethnonym) |
| (?) | | $naci$ = d^{136} | 2 | (type of brocade) |
| (?) | | Qalaqalji=d | 3 | $(in toponym)^{137}$ |
| (?) | - | qaulqa- d | 1(2066) | $(?)^{138}$ |
| (?) | | qoluqa- d | 1(2135) | $(?)^{139}$ |
| (?) | | šilüge-d | 1 (2135) | $(?)^{140}$ |
| (?) | ******* | $\check{S}il\ddot{u}gelji$ = d^{141} | 2 | (in toponym) |

5. Plurals in -de. The demonstratives ene/eun- 'this' and tere/teun- 'that' form plurals e-de/e-den- and te-de/te-den-. Historically these must be -d plurals of roots *en and *ter, with addition of a deictic or emphatic *-e- (plus pronominal -n- in most case forms); cf. IMCS 225—8.

(A):

| $ene(/e\ddot{u}n$ -) | + | $e	ext{-}de/e	ext{-}den	ext{-}$ | 77 | $	ext{this}$ |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|----|--------------|
| $tere(/te\ddot{u}n$ -) | + | $te	ext{-}de/te	ext{-}den	ext{-}$ | 99 | that |

¹³³ Only in the toponym *Dalan Balju-d* 'The Seventy Marshes' (*PFEH* 10.56, *HJAS* 18.375 [fn. 98]). Possibly the base occurs in the toponym *Baljun Aral* 'Baljun Island' (1158).

¹³⁴ Glossed as though a plural of *ger*, which otherwise has no plural form; but formal relationships between these words seems most unlikely. (Note that the meaning 'dwellings' is older than 'monastery' [Poppe 1957. 88].)

135 Less likely is the morpheme division Majad-ad. De Rachewiltz (PFEH 30. 156) states that Majarad is plural of Majar 'Magyar, Hungarian'; but within Mongolian, this plural would have to have the unattested and unlikely base $\dagger Majaran$. Possibly Majar-ad is an error for $\dagger Maja$ -d. (Note, however, that a parallel passage has simply Majar in Z159.)

¹³⁶ Cf. naci-d-ud in § 6.5, and see PFEH 31.69.

¹³⁷ Occurs only in the toponym *Qalaqaljid Eled* 'The Qalaqaljid (?) Sands', which is probably to be emended in some way (*HJAS* 18.379, *PFEH* 16.45).

¹³⁸ In $qaul\langle u\rangle qad$ $k\ddot{o}ud$: the first word is not glossed and not elsewhere attested (Cleaves 1984. 21 [fn. 6]).

139 De Rachewiltz translates 'the chicks', Cleaves 'the wicked'. See next footnote.

140 The form is used metaphorically, referring to children. Cleaves (following the YCPS gloss) translates 'the drivellers'. De Rachewiltz, in translating rather 'the lambs' (PFEH 4.138), has presumably interpreted this plural as based on an n-stem of the silüge (qonin) '3-year-old sheep' found in Kowalewski 1497a. For discussion of silüge-d and qoluqa-d (above) see now Vietze (forthcoming), where it is duggested that the forms are plurals — presumably double plurals — of adjectives *silügey and *yoluqay.

¹⁴¹ Occurs only in the name of a river: *Ulquy Šilügeljid*.

6. Plurals in -Ud. Bases end in consonants other than n, r, l, y; i.e. in m, η, s, b (?), d, g, and voiced q. The last two consonants drop before this ending, showing that this ending contained a primary long vowel. Lach of these base-types is separately exemplified below, with a miscellaneous category following.

6.1 - Ud plurals of m-stems¹⁴³

| (A): jam | 5 | $jam	ext{-}ud$ | 4 | post-relay station |
|-------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|--------------------|
| (B): | | | | |
| erdem | 5 | $erdem$ - $\ddot{u}d^{144}$ | 4 | skill, ability |
| jarim | 4 | $jarim$ - ud^{145} | 6 | half |
| qorum | 2 | $qorum$ - ud^{146} | 4 | minute, short time |

6.2 - Ud plurals of η -stems¹⁴⁷

| (\mathbf{D}) : | |
|------------------|-------------|
| $(*sa\eta$ | $HY)^{148}$ |

/D).

- $sa\eta$ -ud

1 (Z482) public granary

vowel (pace Poppe IMCS 179, where one notes that the vowel is also long in modern dialects). More likely -Ud was historically a -d plural either of a collective in -Ul < *-GUl; cf. Sarta+ul 'Moslem[s], qoyitu+ul [hapax X410] 'those behind'), or of some †-GUn suffix.

143 Examples from later MM are em/em- $\ddot{u}d$ 'medicine', and nom/nom-ud 'book', both found in BCA (HJAS 17.60, 64). Also gem/gem- $\ddot{u}d$ 'diseases' (HP) and $t\ddot{o}k\ddot{u}m/t\ddot{o}k\ddot{u}m$ - $\ddot{u}d$ 'valley, hollow' (IVLMMT 2.259).

144 Occurs only in erdemüdten, three times spelled without the d, i.e. erdemü[d]ten; see SQP 250.

145 Though formally a plural of jarim 'half', the form seems usually singular in reference; e.g. jarimud irge(n) is 'half of the people'. But in X061-2 Tergen-tür jarimud-iyan caqla-ju talbi-tuqay is literally 'measuring their halves, let [them] leave [one] at the cart[s]'.

146 Once spelled qurumud (X382). It is not clear that the plural form differs in

meaning from the base.

147 From texts written in the vertical script also forms such as BCA-3 amuyulang/amuyulang-ud 'well-being' (line 32c), BCA jiryalang/jiryalang-ud 'joy', jobalang/jobalang-ud 'suffering'; and HP senšiη/senšiη-ud 'Taoist monk', based on Ch. hsien-sheng (Poppe 1957. 83). Less clear is the form Berenggüd/Wirenggüd 'Franks' of letters edited in Mostaert/Cleaves 1962 (see their pp. 44, 59).

148 This borrowed root is written logographically in the HY and SH, with Chinese $rac{1}{2} = ts'ang$. HP documents write the initial with a foreign letter (Poppe 1957. 83 et passim). Monolingual speakers of MM probably pronounced the root with /s/ rather than /č/ or [ts]; cf. CM sang = Khalkha sa η .

TT TTT - \$400

6.3 -Ud plurals of s-stems¹⁴⁹

(A):

ulus + ulus-ud 1 (6388) people(s), nation

(C): $(*Kirgis)^{150}$ - Kirgis-ud 4 Kirghiz (ethnonym)

 $(*Kirgis)^{150}$ — Kirgis-ud 4 Kirghiz (ethnonym) (*Orus) — Orus-ud 8 (Y495) Russian (ethnonym)

(D):

 (*lausa-s pl.)
 —
 lawsa-s-ud
 1 (Z272) (type of mule; see §2.2 [D])

 (†Serkes)
 —
 Serges-üd
 2 (Y495) (ethnonym) 151

 (†Urs/†Urus) 152 —
 Urs-ud
 1 (X143) (ethnonym)

6.4 -Ud plurals of b-stems

No examples, unless the kibuud of §7 (D) be emended by deleting one of the u vowels. Note however MA $\gamma arib/\gamma arib-ud$ 'strange; poor (?)' (borrowed from Turkic, which got it from Arabic), and HP, BCA-3 kalb-ud 'aeons' (formed on Uighur kalp [Sanskrit kalpa]). For discussion of the latter form see p. 1189 [note 25] of BCA-3. For three other such forms — plurals of Persian bases in b — see HJAS 16. 37, 39, with discussion on pp. 60 (fnn. 11—12) and 68—9.

6.5 -Ud plurals of d-stems

(A):

 $Ta\eta ud$ + $Ta\eta ud - ud^{153}$ 3 (Z060) (ethnonym)

(B):

 $(*T\ddot{o}b\ddot{u}d)$ — $T\ddot{o}b\ddot{o}d$ - $\ddot{u}d$ 1 (Y470) Tibetan (adjective)

(D):

(*kici=d) — kici=d-ud 1 (Z271) (type of camel); cf. §4.2 (D)

¹⁴⁹ A further example is *BCA luus-ud*, plural of *luus* 'dragon', itself a plural of *luu* (borrowed from Turkic, which got the word from Chinese); see *HJAS* 17.110 (fn. 208), 15.85 (fn. 3).

¹⁵⁰ Borrowed from Turkic (Poppe 1955.39-40).

¹⁵¹ Serkes = Cherkes, i.e. the Circassians' (*PFEH* 30, 156); cf. pp. 127, 129 of Paul Pelliot, *Notes sur l'Histoire de la Horde d'Or* (Paris; 1950).

¹⁵² Cf. HJAS 19.394 (fn. 20).

¹⁵³ The Tanggud = the Hsi-Hsia; see HJAS 19.246 (fn. 504), and references there. The base is once spelled Tangud.

| naci= d | 2 | naci= d - ud | 1 (Z 270) | (type of brocade); |
|---------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| (*qaci-d pl.) | | qaci- d - ud | 1 (Z272) | cf. §4.4 (D) (type of mule); cf. §4.2 (D) |
| 6.6 - Ud plurals of | g-stem | \mathbf{S}^{154} | | |
| (A): | | | | |
| $es\ddot{u}g$ | 2 | $es\ddot{u}	ext{-}\ddot{u}d$ | 1 (4399) | mare's milk, kumiss |
| kešig | + | keši-üd | 14 | portion; company (of soldiers); turn (of duty) ¹⁵⁵ |
| külüg | 5 | $k\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}$ - $\ddot{u}d$ | 13 | hero; bravery |
| (C): | | | | |
| (*örlüg SQP 70) | ************************************** | örlü-üd | 1 (8194) | brave, valiant, fierce |
| (D): | | | | |
| (*minqa + lig) | *** | $minga + li$ - ud^{156} | 4 | commander of 1000 |
| $(?*t\ddot{u}b\ddot{u}g)$ | | tübü-üd | 1 (5321) | difficulty $(?)^{157}$ |
| $(?*T\"{u}lkinceg)$ | | $T\ddot{u}lkince$ - $\ddot{u}d$ | 1 (7150) | $(toponym)^{158}$ |

(A):

alaq 3 $Ala-ud^{160}$ 1 (4039) multi-colored, mottled

¹⁵⁴ Further examples from vertical-script texts include BCA čečiglig-üd 'gardens', BCA-3 bürküg-üd 'darknesses' (p. 1198 [fn. 126]). HP has also kereg-üd 'deeds, affairs'.

¹⁵⁵ See SQP 244—9 for discussion.

¹⁵⁶ Cf. PFEH 23.145. In two instances we find minqaliuday with the ending seen in the first entry of § 3.2 above. Cf. HY noya $\langle n \rangle$ li-ud 'officers'.

¹⁵⁷ Although the YCPS gloss is 'main points, essentials', de Rachewiltz (PFEH 13.70) follows Damdinsüren in assuming that the base is an unattested † $t\ddot{u}b\ddot{u}g = CM$ $t\ddot{u}beg$ 'difficulty, trouble'.

 $^{^{158}}$ 'The Tulkin (?) Hills' (PFEH 18. 66). For the hypothetical ceg cf. the last syllable of Šilinceg (SQP 260).

¹⁵⁹ Further examples are HY caq/ca-ud 'time, period', joriq/jori-ud 'intention', and quyaq/quya-ud 'cuirass'; MA has $ba\gamma/ba\gamma$ -ud 'bunch'. In vertical-script texts we find also $quwra\gamma/quwra\gamma$ -ud 'community [of monks]' (HJAS 17.101 [fn. 118]) and &irawag/&irawag-ud 'disciple' (ibid. 111 [fn. 214]) in BCA; also $tangsu\gamma$ -ud (Mostaert/Cleaves 1962. 44-5; base HP tangsuq 'marvelous').

¹⁶⁰ Occurs only in Ala(u)ud Turqaud 'Mottled Sentinels' (PFEH 10.72).

| auruq | 6 | auru- ud | 5 | base camp ¹⁶¹ |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|
| ayimaq | 6 | ayima- ud | 1 (5256) | eamping-group, tribe (cf. PFEH |
| D - 1.1 | 5 | Bolda- ud | 2 | 13.66) hill ¹⁶² |
| Boldaq | Ð | Botaa-ua | Lu . | |
| $(inaq \mathrm{MA})^{163}$ | ******* | ina- ud | 2 | trusted friend or adviser |
| (quduq MA, IM) | _ | qudu- ud | 2 | well(s) |
| turqaq | 10 | turqa- ud | 42 | bodyguard, day- guard |
| uruq | + | uru- ud | 1 (Y309) | family; descendant |
| (C): | | | | |
| (*Kibcaq) | | $Kibca$ - ud^{164} | 5 | Kipchak (ethnonym |
| $(*Qarluq)^{165}$ | | Qarlu- ud | 3 | Qarluq (ethnonym) |

6.8 Other -Ud (or -d) plurals

| , | * | ` | |
|----|----|---|---|
| " | | ١ | |
| ١. | ı, | , | • |

| (§) | | $a laq ci$ - ud^{166} | 2 | multi-colored |
|-----------------------------|---|---|----------|--------------------|
| $(\dagger As/\dagger Asun)$ | *************************************** | \overline{As} - $ud Asu$ - d | 3 | $(ethnonym)^{167}$ |
| (?) | | $Cakirma	ext{-}ud/u	ext{-}d$ | 2 | $(toponym)^{168}$ |
| (?) | ***** | $el\ddot{o}$ - $\ddot{u}d/el\ddot{o}\ddot{u}$ - d^{169} | 1 (Z271) | (type of camel) |

¹⁶¹ For meaning see *PFEH* 10.75, *TMEN* 2.77, *HJAS* 12.112—3.

Many additional ethnonyms could be included here, each perhaps to be segmented either before the d or the ud/üd: Ayiriud (1); Bayaud (5); Buyruud (1; perhaps cf. the man's name Buyiruq); Caηδiud (2); Dutaud (Tatar) (2); Jarciud (1 [1228]); Olqunuud (4); Sasud/Sesüd (1/2; cf. PFEH 30.156); Uruud (16). Three further forms are more interesting: Salfiud (4; cf. Buqatu Salji, perhaps 'Buqatu the Salji'); Tayciud/Tayiciud/Tayijiud (1/38/2; Poppe 1975.165 suggests that the base may be tayiji 'prince', borrowed from Chinese); Teleηgüd (1; cf. Tenleg, perhaps to be emended to †Teleη).

¹⁶⁸ Base possibly a word corresponding to Kirghiz chaghirmaq '3-year-old deer' (PFEH 18.73).

¹⁶² Both the plural and its base occur only in toponyms; cf. SQP 258.

¹⁶³ A borrowing from Turkic (Poppe 1955.39).

¹⁶⁴ One additional occurrence spelled *Kimcaud*. For the base see *PFEH* 30.156, Poppe 1955.39. *SH* has only *Kibcaqcin ayan* 'the Kipchak campaign' Z288.

¹⁶⁵ See TMEN 3.385 for Middle Turkic forms.

¹⁸⁶ On the vexing problem of the bases for such color terms, see § 8.2 (f) below.

¹⁶⁷ Probably refers either to the Alans (*TMEN* 1.221; with citation of Wilhelm von Rubruk) or the Iranian Ossets (Poucha 1956.69; with a reference to Rašid-ad-Din).

¹⁶⁹ PFEH 31.69 suggests a possible base †elöür.

| (?) | | $h\ddot{u}\eta \check{s}i$ - $\ddot{u}d$ u - d^{170} | 1 (1173) | stench |
|-----|---|--|----------|----------------------|
| (?) | _ | $m\ddot{u}s$ - $\ddot{u}d/m\ddot{u}s\ddot{u}$ - d | 7 | rod, arrow-shaft |
| (?) | | naq- ud | 1 (Z270) | $(?)^{171}$ |
| (?) | | $nend\ddot{u}$ - $\ddot{u}d$ \ddot{u} - d | 1 (X040) | $(?)^{172}$ |
| (?) | | qara+qci- ud | 1 (6036) | black |
| (?) | | $qo\eta \S{i-ud} u-d$ | 1 (1172) | stench |
| (?) | | $qo\eta qo + qci - ud^{173}$ | 1 (3457) | yellow(ish brown) |
| (?) | | $Teles$ - $\ddot{u}d$ \ddot{u} - d | 1 (6251) | $(in toponym)^{174}$ |

7. Plurals in -uud, -nuud¹⁷⁵

(B):

| caqaan | + | $caqaan$ - uud^{176} | 4 | white |
|-------------|---|------------------------|---|-------|
| $aa\hat{l}$ | 7 | qal - $nuud^{177}$ | 5 | fire |
| qara | + | qara- $nuud$ | 2 | black |

(D):

| hulaan | 7 | $hulaan$ - uud^{178} | 1 (6220) | rea |
|--------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------|------------------|
| $(kib/kibu)^{179}$ | | $kib	ext{-}uud/kibu	ext{-}ud$ | 1 (Y072) | (type of fabric) |

were probably -Ud plurals of +g derivatives of verbs in - $\check{s}i$ -; cf. HY nigšig 'rank, fetid' based on CM nigši- 'become spoiled (of food)'. But Vietze (forthcoming) points out that the Altan Tobči has öngši'ün and qongši'ün in this passage, and believes the latter to be a back-harmonic form of CM kengšigün 'smell of burning' (Lessing).

171 PFEH 31.69 takes this as a "plural of naq < Persian nakh (nax), a word designating a kind of gold brocade..."; so Cleaves 1982.214, following Pelliot. Poppe 1955.40, while agreeing that the YCPS gloss 'gold ore' is incorrect, takes the form as rather 'money, coins'; he compares it with an Arabic loanword naqd 'cash, ready money' found in MA and in Middle Turkic. TMEN 4.37 states that the base here (*naq) was more likely borrowed from a Persian word referring to a type of carpet.

 172 See discussion PFEH 21.53-4; the form is not known outside the SH, and is there left unglossed.

¹⁷³ Root is qoqqor 'light bay (of horses)'.

 174 Occurs only in the toponym $\it Qurban~Telesiid$ 'The Three Teles/Telesiin (?)'

 $^{175}\,\mathrm{For}$ additional examples in later texts, see § 9.2 below. Note that MM offers no clear evidence for front-harmonic alternants of these suffixes.

of the YCPS. (In the Altan Tobči these forms were replaced by simple čayan and qara.) Quite possibly such forms contrasted in meaning with the regular plurals, some of which occurred at least in later stages of MM (cf. § 8.2 [g] below). But it is conceivable rather that -uud was simply an aberrant spelling for the -Ud plural ending, and reflects a Uighur-script writing with a space between the stem and the suffix.

177 Note that this plural occurs solely before tüle- 'to light [fires]'.

178 The base occurs in toponyms, as well as in the 7 instances noted. The plural occurs only in *Jorgal Qun-u Hulaanuud Boldaud*. De Rachewiltz (*PFEH* 16.52) states

J. C. STREET

8. Synchronic summary. The facts presented above may be summarized by the following descriptive statements. These statements (intended to replace the first paragraph of $\S4.112$ of Street 1957) are claimed to be valid only for the SH; texts from a somewhat later stage of MM show even more irregularity in plural formation than does the SH.

8.1 Regular formations. Nominal plurals are formed with either of two plural morphemes, $\{-nar\}$ and $\{-s\}$. The first of these occurs only with non-lineal kinship terms (examples in §1), the second after bases of all other sorts.

The plural morpheme {-s} has six allomorphs, of which two (-uud and nuud; see §§7, 8.2 [g], 9.2) are extremely rare and probably represent late innovations. The four common allomorphs are, for the most part, in complementary distribution. These are:

-n after bases ending in i or y. See $\S 3.1-2$ (A) for examples.

s after bases ending in a vowel other than i. See $\S 2.1-2$ (A) for examples.

-d after bases ending in an apical sonorant (r, l, or n [stable or variable]), any of which drops before this allomorph of $\{-s\}$. See §4.1—3 (A) for examples.

-Ud after bases ending in a consonant other than those mentioned above (i.e. after b, d, g, q [= γ], s, m, η). Inasmuch as the voiced velars g and q drop before this allomorph, it certainly contained a primary long vowel. See §6.1—7 (A) for examples.

8.2 Exceptions. There are a number of exceptions to the distributional statements just above.

(a) The demonstratives en-e 'this' and ter-e 'that' take an internal -d-in their plurals: e-d-e and te-d-e. See § 5 above.

(b) Two vowel-stem bases apparently form plurals in -d rather than -s: busu 'other', plural busu-d

edöe 'now, the present', plural edöe-d(-tür)

Both of these plurals (§ 4.4) are found also in later MM texts, though the latter — found only in the case-form cited — is rare. Perhaps the bases were once variable n-stems, or could take pronominal -n.

that this, 'Red Hills of the Deer [?] Cliff', is the same as the place called Hulaan Qud or 'Red Cliffs' in section 163 (line 5342).

The form refers to a fabric of heavy silk or taffeta. The base (possibly a borrowing from Korean) occurs as kib in HY, SMI 1453 (HJAS 13.445-6, with references), and TDB. But SMI 1338 has twice kibutan törges, once qibutan törges (HJAS 14.98 [fn. 137]). Since bt is not a prohibited sequence in MM (cf. SH kebte-esü, ilibte, dab+tuar) and since -tU/-tAy/-tA-n never has a vowel-initial allomorph, these forms must represent kibu plus -tan. The base must probably be assumed to be $kib \sim kibu$.

(c) Bases ending in u or \ddot{u} that have any sort of alternate shape with final y — whether or not the shapes differ in meaning — use plurals (§ 3.2 [B]) formed on the y-variant rather than the vowel-final one:¹⁸⁰

-tA-n is plural of the possessing endings -tU (masculine) and -tAy (feminine). E.g. qal-ta-n '[those] having . . . fire[s]' functions as plural of both qal-tu and its feminine qal-tay.

-KU-n is the plural of the nomen futuri forms in -KU (adjectival?) and -KUy (nominal?). E.g. ab-qu-n is the plural of ab-qu and ab-quy.

 $ed\ddot{u}$ -n is the plural of $ed\ddot{u} \sim ed\ddot{u}y$ 'this many'

mawu-n is the plural of mawu \sim mawuy 'bad, evil'

ügeü-n is the plural of both *ügeü* (masculine) and *ügeüy* (feminine) 'without'.

There are a few additional instances (§ 8.3 [A]) of -n -n plurals formed on bases ending in a high rounded vowel; probably all of these had y-final alternate shapes that happen not to be attested in MM. These are:

 $eyim \ddot{u}\text{-}n,$ plural of $eyim \ddot{u}$ 'such, so' (and $?\dagger eyim \ddot{u}y)$ $kece \ddot{u}\text{-}n,$ plural of $kece \ddot{u}$ 'hard' (and $?\dagger kece \ddot{u}y)$

sacau-n, plural of sacau 'equal' (and ?†sacauy)

teyimü-n, plural of teyimü 'such' (and ?†teyimüy)

Note that these four actually-occurring bases appear in contexts such that they could be taken either as masculines (vs. hypothetical feminines in y) or as adjectives (vs. hypothetical nominal forms in y).

- (d) While a few bases ending in +sU(n) have their expected plurals in -d (e.g. $\ddot{o}e+s\ddot{u}n/\ddot{o}e+s\ddot{u}-d$ 'self'), most apparenty drop that suffix before adding -d. Historically such forms must be plurals of the underlying root, without the suffix, though in many cases we cannot tell whether that root ended in n, r, or l. See § 4.1 (B—C) above for full exemplification.
- (e) One base apparently suffers haplology in its expected -d plural: hodun/ho-d 'star'. Contrast this with regular modun/modu-d 'tree'. 181

¹⁸⁰ This seemingly odd situation may be likened to that involving variable n-stems. In effect the term *variable n-stem* could be interpreted to mean 'a vowel-final base having an alternate shape with final n'.

181 However mo-d 'trees' occurs in one hP'ags-pa text (IVLMMT 3.73), as well as in later stages of Mongolian. HJAS 14.99 (fn. 152) suggests that mod is not a reduced version of modu-d, but plural of the mo (or $\dagger mo[n]$) of mo+ci 'carpenter'. Possibly both modu(n) and hodu(n) contained a suffix $\dagger + du(n)$, which should be treated in the same manner as the +su(n) in the paragraph just above. Note, however, one occurrence of hodu-d 'stars' in HP (Bosson, writing in CAJ 6.98); this is distinct from HY $ho-d/ho\langle n\rangle-d$ 'years'.

fall Mill of the

- (f) Three color terms containing some indeterminate suffix form plurals in -ud: alaq+ci-ud 'multi-colored, pied', qara+qci-ud 'black', qoηqo+qci-ud 'yellow(ish brown)'. Poppe (1952. 67, GWM § 274, IMCS 180, MA 64) believed that the usually-feminine -qci(n) was included in such bases, and that the initial gamma of script-writings of this plural suffix (later ca[γa]γčiγud 'white [mares]', for example), was merely a hiatus-filler. One cannot help wondering, however, whether the base of SH alaqciud was not †alaq+ciq, with †+čiq a variant of the +šiq mentioned in footnote 170 above. If we could assume that †+ciq (after a stop) and +šiq (after a nasal) were allomorphs of a single SH morpheme, then this would be equivalent to the Modern Mongolian attenuative suffix +šiq '-ish'. (Forms such as hypothetical †qaraqciq might have been created by false analogy to †alaq+ciq, with the root-final q of the latter misinterpreted as part of the suffix. (182)
- (g) Three color terms and qal 'fire' form plurals in -uud (after an n-stem) or -nuud (otherwise): caqaan-uud 'white', hulaan-uud 'red', and qara-nuud 'black', qal-nuud 'fire(s)'.¹83 (The -nuud allomorph must have been created by false analogy to -uud after n-stems; but the origin of -uud remains obscure.) Note that the expected plurals of two of the bases here do occur in later MM: caγaγa-d (Alexander Saga, HJAS 22.95 [fn. 417]) and no[γo]γa-d (TDB 55v19).
- (h) Certain forms show, unexpectedly and unpredictably, an allomorph of {-s} immediately following either {-nar} or a first occurrence of {-s}; in such double plurals {-s} has always its phonologically correct allomorph. It is by no means clear whether these double plurals were felt by speakers of MM to be "set forms", or whether such pluralization was to some extent productive. The following are probably the only such plurals actually occurring in the SH.

beri-ne-d 'younger female in-laws'; formed on *beri-ner, the expected plural of beri.

kici-d-ud 'a type of camel'; seemingly formed on †kici-d, the expected plural of a possible base †kicir.

Kita-d (ethnonym); formed on *Kita-n, plural of presumed †Kitay. lawsa-s-ud 'a type of mule'; formed on *lausa-s, expected plural of a presumed *lausa, borrowed from Chinese.

naci-d-ud 'a type of brocade'; formed on naci-d, which may be already a plural.

¹⁸² Poppe writing in *UAJb* 46.125 (and earlier in *Keleti Szemle* 20.115 [1927]), considered such velars "of unknown origin", and "rätselhaft".

¹⁸³ The regular plural of qal would be $\dagger qa-d$ (= $\dagger \gamma a-d$), homonymous with that of qar 'hand'.

noqo-d 'dogs'; if not merely a textual error in its one occurrence, this is formed on noqa-n, regular plural of noqay. See footnote 119.

qaci-d-ud 'a type of mule'; formed on *qaci-d, expected plural of *qacir (§ 4.2 [D]).

qoni+ci-d 'shepherds'; formed on qoni+ci-n, regular plural of qoni+ci (root qonin 'sheep').

quriqa+ci-d 'lamb-herds'; formed on *quriya+ci-n, expected plural of unattested *quriya+ci (root HY quriqan 'lamb').

(For two possible additions to this list see footnote 140 above.)

8.3 Anomalous forms. There are a very few plurals forms whose status remains unclear; either they are utterly anomalous exceptions to the rules and exceptions just stated, or they represent errors in the SH text that has come down to us in the YCPS.

jalau-s 'youths, adolescent males' (vis-à-vis the base jalauy; but the formation would be regular for the alternate base jalau).

kibuud 'silk fabrics' (vis-à-vis base kib or possibly kibu; these forms are so rare [§ 7 (D) above] that there seems no way of accounting for the plural formation).

 $\it Majarad$ 'Hungarians' (vis-à-vis possible base $\it Majar$; see § 4.4 and note there).

noqa-s 'dogs' (vis-à-vis base noqay, with its regular plural noqa-n, and noqo-d — which is either an error or a double plural).

However one may explain noqo-d, the base noqay remains the sole SH instance of a base apparently permitting two simple plurals: noqa-s and noqa-n. It seems likely that this situation represents a last remnant of an older distinction between masculine and feminine singulars and plurals; one suspects that earlier $\dagger noqa$ and its plural noqa-s were of masculine gender, noqay and noqa-n feminine.

The form jalau(-tu) seems to refer to a young male (a servant) in 1027; in 7101 jalauy is either 'a young woman' or 'young' (in reference to a woman). If we allowed ourselves to exclude an occurrence of jalauy in 5255 (which seems an abstract noun 'youth'). Then this set of forms would parallel the 'dog' forms, and we might hypothesize an older paradigm of the following sort:

| Masculine base | Feminine base | Masculine plural | Feminine plural |
|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| $\dagger noq a \ jalau$ | $noqay \ jalauy$ | noqa- s $jalau$ - s | noqa-n †jalau-n |

¹⁸⁴ The pertinent phrase is niken jalany sayin gürümele güün, lit. 'a youth-good alert man'; cf. niken gürümele köün güün 'an alert young man' (2289) and öŋge sayid ökid, lit. 'complexion-good girls; girls good with respect to complexion' (1450).

This hypothesis must remain speculative, however, until all the y-variants of section § 8.2 (C) above have been somehow accounted for.

8.4 Forms of indeterminate status. In addition to the above exceptional and anomalous forms, there remain many words whose synchronic status (as plurals or simple stems) is indeterminate; in the text and notes above these have been marked with an equals sign in lieu of the hyphen placed before what the present writer believes to be clearly an allomorph of one of the plural morphemes. (See, for example, footnote 30.)

Many additional forms have sometimes been treated as possible plurals (synchronically or diachronically) in the scholarly literature. Omission of any such form from the lists above indicates that the present writer believes it is not synchronically a plural. The following are just a few of the many instances that could be cited.

kimul 'fingernail' (cf. SQP 8; see IMCS 177 for this and for a YCPS dabaal which, however, must be emended to dabaan 'mountain pass') haran and irgen 'people' (Haenisch 1950. 4—5, with unlikely bases †haray and †irgey!)

Monqol 'Mongol' (base †mongo according to Sinor, in an article cited by Doerfer in CAJ 14. 76 [1970]; Doerfer correctly states "Es gibt jedoch in Mongolischen keinen Plural auf -l...").

8.5 Frequency. Nominal plurals are of very high frequency in the SH. The full text contains approximately 30,620 phonological words, and of these 2217 are the plurals enumerated in the text and footnotes above. (Excluded from this count are all forms marked with an equals sign above, and those whose meaning is unknown.) Thus nearly one out of fourteen phonological words in the SH text is a nominal plural. No comparable statistics seem available for Modern Mongolian, but examination of a few pages of a SH translation published in Ulaanbaatar in 1976 leads the present writer to think that nominal plurals in 13th century Mongolian were about four times as frequent as in the modern written language.

Because of the uncertainties represented by categories C and D in the lists above (not to mention the anomalous and indeterminate cases just discussed), raw frequency-of-occurrence figures for the various plural shapes seem of little significance. But one pattern does emerge from examination of such figures, and that involves rank-order in frequency of the different plural shapes. Whether one sums just occurrences in categories A and B, or adds to these either category C or category D— or both—, it turns out that:

- (1) the -d shape accounts for over one-third of all occurrences of nominal plurals in the SH;
- (2) -n accounts for somewhat less that one-third of such occurrences;

- (3) -s, -de, -Ud, and -nAr are much less common, and together account for roughly one-third of such occurrences, with -s the most common of these four, and -nAr much rarer than the rest;
- (4) -uud and -nuud are vanishingly rare, together accounting for much less than 1% of all nominal plurals.

But it is notable that the plurals of three inflectional endings are so extraordinarily frequent that together they account for about 23% of all nominal plurals; these are -GsA-d (4.5%), -KU-n (7.7%), and -tA-n (11%), plurals of the past participle -GsAn, the nomen futuri -KU/-KUy, and the possessing -tU/-tAy. If these plurals were excluded from frequency counts, -d would remain the most frequent plural shape, but -n would be demoted to third rank, becoming somewhat less frequent than -s.

9. Notes of plural formation in later Middle Mongolian. The complexity and irregularity of nominal plural formations in the language of the SH is quite striking when contrasted with the relative simplicity of morphology in Mongolian as a whole. The plural shapes -nAr, -s -n, -d, -Ud (with secondary -de, -uud, and -nuud) are not relatable by phonological rules, and appear to have originated from different sources.

Within a century or so after the SH was written, however, plural formation became still more irregular. An influx of foreign loanwords seems to have been largely responsible for this increasing irregularity; but it appears also that the -s plural and those plural-shapes that contained a vowel became more highly favored than the morphologically ambiguous -n and the stemaltering -d.

9.1 The ending -nAr came to be used not just after non-lineal kinship terms (a SH restriction not apparently noted by earlier researchers), but also after terms referring to divinities and human beings — or later reincarnations of humans — directly associated with Buddhism. A few examples are the following: 185

baysi-nar (IVLMMT 2. 164, 2.267, 5.40) 'teachers', formed on base baysi bodistv-nar (BCA) 'Bodhisattvas', formed on base bodistv(a) (borrowed < Uighur < Sogdian $pwtyst\beta <$ Sanskrit bodhisattva; HJAS 17.94 [fn. 42]. Note that an -ud plural of this base is attested in HP).

 $^{^{185}}$ Stems ending in a velar apparently could not take -nAr; cf. BCA bursang quwray-ud 'monks and clergy', ayayqa tegimlig-üd 'monks' (HJAS 17.100 ff [fn. 118, 125]).

šimnanca-nar (BCA) 'nuns' formed on *šimnanca (< Uighur šimnanč < Sogdian, eventually from Skt. śramaṇerī; HJAS 17.66, 102 [fn. 128]) tngri-ner (BCA; also tngri-s as below) and HP deηri-ner 'gods', based on SH teηgeri/teηgiri (with no plural occurring) ubasi-nar (BCA) 'lay disciples' (< Uighur, eventually < Skt. upāsaka; HJAS 17.117 [fn. 290])

This extension of -nAr must surely have represented, at the start, a conscious and subtle attempt on the part of early Buddhist proselytizers to instill into their Mongol audiences a sense of kinship with Buddhist teachers, nuns, Bodhisattvas, etc.

Despite such usage, which was continued in later stages of the language, a trace of the earlier restriction can be detected in Classical Mongolian, for example. There we find that a kinship-term base takes on a non-kinship sense when occurring before a plural ending which is wrong from the point of view of the SH: eke-ner is never 'mothers', but 'woman', and aqa-s is not 'elder

brothers' but 'older people' (GWM § 261 [end], § 277).

One -nAr plural may perhaps not involve a neologism, though it does seem a possible exception to the above-stated restriction on use of this morpheme: HY has haci köün for 'grandson' (= SMI 1338 ači köbegün), and köün haci-nar for 'son[s] and grandchildren' (cf. SMI 1335, 1338 köbegü-d ači-nar). While haci can indeed refer — as in these texts — to a man's son's child, the essential meaning of the word probably did not include the semantic component 'lineal kin'. For in modern dialects reflexes of the form can refer to children not only of a man's son, but also to children of his own brother, or of his father's brother. (See pp. 331—35 of Herbert H. Vreeland: Mongol community and kinship structure [Human Relations Area Files. New Haven, 1957]; this multiple reference of modern aca is there reconstructed for the Mongol prototype kinship system of ego's patri-lineage.)

9.2 The rare ending -nuud (surely formed by analogy to the -uud ending used after n-stems) became more productive. Some examples are as follows.

From documents in the vertical script (where only back-hamonic -nuyud was written):

arslan-nuγud (IVLMMT 2.163) formed on base arslan 'lion' burqan-nuγud (BCA, SMI 1346, etc.) formed on base burqan 'Buddha' (HJAS 15.92 [fn. 48], 17.91 [fn. 29])

buyan-nuγud (BCA; also HP) formed on buyan 'merit' (borrowed < Uighur < Skt. punya; ibid.)

 $\check{c}\ddot{o}l\text{-}nu\gamma ud$ (SMI 1362) formed on $\check{c}\ddot{o}l$ 'desert'

qara-nuγud (moγai) 'black (snakes)' (IVLMMT 2.186), formed on base qara 'black'

```
sudur-nuyud (SMI 1346; also HP) formed on sudur 'sutra' (< Uighur sudur < Skt. sũtra; HJAS 17.94 [fn. 49])
```

višai-nuyud (BCA) formed on †višai 'objects of sense' (< Uighur < Skt. viṣaya; HJAS 17.104 [fn. 157])

From HP documents (Poppe 1957, 123-133 passim):

ėši-nuud 'empresses' (for base see Poppe 1957. 114, where the form is romanized with uu rather than $\ddot{u}\ddot{u}$)

mandal-nuud 'mandalas' formed on base *mandal (Skt. mandala)

 $maqara\check{c}$ -nuud (read $maqara\eta$ -nuud by Poppe) 'maharajas' formed on a base unattested in MM (Skt. $mah\bar{a}r\bar{a}ja$)

purqan-nuud (as well as the expected purqa-d) formed on purqan 'Buddha' $\S arir$ -nuud 'relies', formed on base borrowed from Skt. $\S arir$ a zara-nuud 'moons', formed on base zara (= SH sara)

9.3 The -n plural lost whatever slight productivity it may have had at the time the SH was written, and began to be replaced by -s, particularly after stems ending in i:

asuri-s 'evil spirits' (BCA; base from Uighur asuri < Skt. asura HJAS 17.109 [fn. 204])

dakini-s 'imps' (BCA; base from Skt. dākinī, HJAS 17.99 [fn. 101]) darni-s or tarni-s 'magic formulae' (BCS, etc.; base from Skt. dhāranī, HJAS 17.99 [fn. 100])

erdini-s 'jewels' (HP, etc.; base from Skt. ratna)

gandarvi-s 'Gandarvas' (BCA; HJAS 17.109 [fn. 205])

körsi-s 'neighbors' (HY)

güri-s 'stones' (Alexander Saga) = gürü-s (Aryun letter; for discussion see Mostaert/Cleaves 1962. 46 [and fn. 161]). The base is gürü in SH, güri in MA.

 $\it nisvani\mbox{-}s$ 'passions' (BCA-3 [p. 1198, fn. 124])

qari-s 'foreign realms' (SMI 1346)

tngri-s 'gods' (IVLMMT 2.210 [Mahākāli hymn])

Also notable — probably as a survival rather than a neologism — is:

mayu-s (BCA) 'evil ones' formed on base mayu (=SH mawu rather than mawuy; § 8.2 (C) above). Cleaves in HJAS 17.90 (fn. 19) notes that mayu-n also occurs in BCA.

9.4 As the -n plural became rarer, double plurals such as qonici-d 'shepherds' and quriqaci-d 'lamb-herds' (§ 8.2 [h] above) became more common, paving the way for the later reinterpretation of the original +ci-n and -Gci-n plurals (with their bases) as variable n-stems. The Twelve Deeds of Buddha, for example, shows saki- $\gamma\check{c}i$ -d 'protectors' (rather than the saki- $\gamma\check{c}i$ -n found in HP); the text has ten such $-\gamma\check{c}i$ -d plurals, but only one instance of $-\gamma\check{c}i$ -n. And that same text shows both qudaldu+ci-d and qudaldu+ci-n for 'merchants'.

In the letter of N \bar{u} r ad-Din (IVLMMT 2.350) we find two more forms of this sort:

aγta+ci-d 'horse-herders' baγurci-d 'cooks, stewards' (cf. § 3.1 above)

9.5 Certain loanwords formed plurals in -Ud rather than the expected -d: bor-ud 'wines' (IVLMMT 2.269); formed on base bor (borrowed from Persian $b\bar{o}r$ [TMEN 1.2, § 780])

 $g\ddot{u}\eta gon\text{-}ud$ (and once $g\ddot{u}\eta gon\text{-}uud$) 'temples' (HP)

yaman-ud 'offices' (SMI 1348; HJAS 27.99 [fn. 9], HJAS 12.126 [fn. 215]; base from Chinese)

References and Abbrevations

(see also footnote 3 above)

- BCA =the $Bodhicary\bar{a}vat\bar{a}ra$, as presented in Francis W. Cleaves: "The Bodistw-a awatar-un tayilbur of 1312 by Čosgi odsir", HJAS 17. 1-129 (1954).
- BCA-3 = Igor de Rachewiltz, "The third chapter of Chos-kyi 'od-zer's translation of the Bodhicaryāvatāra: a tentative reconstruction" In Orientalia Iosephi Tucci memoriae dicata.: Serie Orientale Roma 61, 3 1983. pp. 1173-1200.
- Bese 1988 = Lajos Bese, "On some ethnic names in 13th century Inner-Asia" AOH 42. 17-42.
- Cleaves 1982 = Francis W. Cleaves, The Secret History of the Mongols, Vol. I (translation). Cambridge, Mass.
- de Rachewiltz 1972 = Igor de Rachewiltz, Index to the Secret History of the Mongols.

 Uralic and Altaic Series 121. Bloomington, Ind.
- GWM = Nicholas Poppe, Grammar of Written Mongolian. Wiesbaden, 1954.
- Haenisch 1950 = Erich Haenisch, "Grammatische Besonderheiten in der Sprache des Manghol un Niuca Tobca'an". Studia Orientalia 14: 3 (Helsinki).
- HJAS = Harvard Journal of Asiatic Studies, References are to articles on Middle Mongolian topics written by Francis W. Cleaves, and published between 1949 and 1967.
- HY = the Hua-i I-yü, as presented in Antoine Mostaert, Le matériel mongol du Houa I I lu de Houng-ou (1389), édité par Igor de Rachewiltz, avec l'assistance de Anthony Schönbaum. Mélanges Chinois et Bouddiques 18. Bruxelles, 1977.
- IMCS = Nicholas Poppe, Introduction to Mongolian comparative studies. MSFOu 110. Helsinki, 1955.
- IVLMMT = Louis Ligeti (ed.), Indices verborum linguae mongolicae momumentis traditorum. Budapest, 1970-74.
- Kowalewski = Joseph Étienne Kowalewski, Dictionnaire Mongol-Russe-Français. 3 vols. Kasan, 1844-9.
- L = N. Poppe, "Das mongolische Sprachmaterial einer Leidener Handschrift". Izvestija Akademii Nauk SSSR, 1927-8.
- Lessing = Ferdinand D. Lessing, et al., Mongolian-English dictionary. Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1960.
- MA = N. N. Poppe, Mongol'skij slovar' Mukaddimat al-Adab. Moskva, 1938.

- Mostaert/Cleaves 1962: Les Lettres de 1289 et 1305 des ilkhan Aryun et Öljeitü à Philippe le Bel. Harvard University Press.
- PFEH = Papers in Far Eastern History. References are to Igor de Rachewiltz, "[English translation of] the Secret History of the Mongols". In vols 4-31 passim (1972-1985).
- Poppe 1952 = Nicholas Poppe, "Plural suffixes in the Altaic languages". UAJb24.65-83. Poppe 1955 = Nicholas Poppe, "The Turkie loan words in Middle Mongolian". CAJ 1.
- 36-42.
 Poppe 1957 = Nicholas Poppe, The Mongolian monuments in hP'ags-pa script. Second
- Poppe 1957 = Nicholas Poppe, The Mongolian monuments in hP ags-pa script. Second edition translated and edited by John R. Krueger. Göttinger Asiatische Forschungen, 8 (1957).
- Poppe 1975 = Nicholas Poppe, "On some proper names in the Secret History". UAJ47. 161-167.
- Poucha 1956 = Pavel Poucha, Die Geheime Geschichte der Mongolen als Geschichtsquelle und Literaturdenkmal. Prague.
- SQP = Antoine Montaert, Sur quelques passages de l'Histoire Secrete des Mongols. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard-Yenching Institute, 1953. Reprinted (with new preface [pp. vii—xx], and continuous pagination) from HJAS 13-15.
- Street 1957 = John C. Street, The language of the Secret History of the Mongols. American Oriental Series, vol. 42. New Haven, Conn.
- Street 1986 = John C. Street, On the 14th century punctuation of Mongolian in the Yüanch'ao pi-shih. Mongolia Society Occasional Papers, No. 12. Bloomington, Ind.
- TDB = the Arban qoyar jokiyangyui, as presented in Nicholas Poppe, The Twelve Deeds of Buddha, A Mongolian version of the Lalitavistara. Wiesbaden, 1967.
- TMEN = Gerhard Doerfer, Türkische und mongolischen Elemente im Neupersischen, vols, 1-4. Wiesbaden, 1963-75.
- Vietze (forthcoming) = Hans-Peter Vietze, "Hapaxlegomena auf γ und q- in der 'Geheimen Geschichte der Mongolen'."